Potentiation of cancer immunity-inducing effect by pH-sensitive polysaccharidemodified liposomes with combination of TGF-β type I receptor inhibitor-embedded liposomes



Figure S1. pH-dependence of pyranine release from EYPC liposomes modified with or

without MGlu-Dex at 37 °C after 30-min incubation. Lipid concentrations were

2.0×10⁻⁵ M.



Figure S2. Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) images of DC2.4 cells treated with DiI-labeled and FITC-OVA-loaded EYPC liposomes modified without (A) or with (B) MGlu-Dex for 4 h at 37 °C in a serum-free medium. Scale bar represents 20 μ m. (C) Relative fluorescence intensity for DC2.4 cells treated with DiI-labeled liposomes modified with or without MGlu-Dex for 4 h at 37 °C in serum-free medium. Lipid concentration was 5.0×10^{-4} M. *p < 0.002.



Figure S3. Individual tumor volumes of C57BL/6 mice treated with PBS (A), SB

lip/intravenously (B), OVA lip (C), both OVA lip and SB lip/intratumorally (D), and both OVA lip and SB lip/intravenously (E). Experimental conditions are presented in the Figure 4 caption.

Comparison	<i>p</i> value
PBS vs SB lip (<i>it</i>)	0.709
PBS vs OVA lip	0.00426***
PBS vs OVA lip + SB lip (<i>it</i>)	0.00426***
SB lip (<i>it</i>) vs OVA lip	0.00815**
SB lip (<i>it</i>) vs OVA lip + SB lip (<i>it</i>)	0.00815**
OVA lip vs OVA lip + SB lip	0.0979
	** <i>p</i> <0.01, *** <i>p</i> <0.005.

Table S1. Survival Analysis by Log-Rank Test for Figures 2E

 Table S2. Survival Analysis by Log-Rank Test for Figures 4B

Comparison	<i>p</i> value
PBS vs SB lip (iv)	0.0162*
PBS vs OVA lip	0.00175***
PBS vs OVA lip + SB lip (<i>it</i>)	0.0108*
PBS vs OVA lip + SB lip (<i>iv</i>)	0.0000326***
SB lip (<i>iv</i>) vs OVA lip	0.922
SB lip vs OVA lip + SB lip (<i>it</i>)	0.762
SB lip vs OVA lip + SB lip (iv)	0.0841
OVA lip vs OVA lip + SB lip (<i>it</i>)	0.835
OVA lip vs OVA lip + SB lip (<i>iv</i>)	0.00701**
OVA lip + SB lip (it) vs OVA lip + SB lip (iv)	0.305

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.005.