Molecular identification of pathogens from excised heart valves of infective endocarditis cases

Authors

Abstract

Akiko Miyazato <u>akikoyo@qc5.so-net.ne.jp</u>, Kotaro Mitsutake <u>kmitsuta@saitama-med.ac.jp</u> Department of Infectious Diseases and Infection Control, Saitama International Medical Center, Saitama Medical University

Infective endocarditis is a life-threatening disease and identification of the pathogenic organisms is crucial for better prognosis. However, in some conditions, such as previous antibiotic usage or infection with fastidious organisms, obtaining positive cultures results is difficult. In addition to conventional culture methods, a molecular approach has been developed over several decades, such as broad-range 16S rRNA gene PCR and sequencing using excised tissues, to detect the pathogens. The current consensus is that this method is useful for the diagnosis and management of infective endocarditis patients and it has been suggested that these molecular results should be included as a new Deke criterion. Although molecular technique cannot replace culture methods and should be interpreted carefully, broad-range 16S rRNA/sequencing has great value in complementing conventional methods. Tissue samples from infective endocarditis cases should be examined by this method for better management of the patients.

Keywords

Infective endocarditic, broad-range 16S rRNA gene PCR/sequencing, pathogenic organisms, excised heart valves, blood culture

1. Introduction

Infective endocarditis (IE)is а life-threatening disease, in which heart function deteriorates because of the destruction of the heart valve. This manifests as thrombosis in several organs, including the brain, spleen, and kidneys. The pathogenesis of IE occurs through infection of the heart valve and subsequent dissemination of the lesion. Early diagnosis and prompt treatment with antibiotics are crucial for good prognosis. Diagnosis of IE worldwide is based on the modified Duke criteria. The criteria are pathological and clinical, with the latter including major and minor criteria (Li et al., 2000). The pathological criterion requires confirming the infection by culture or histological analysis of the infected regions. The major clinical criteria are positive blood culture results and evidence of endocardial involvement. In most IE cases, blood and tissue culture are useful to identify the pathogenic organisms. However, some conditions, such as previous antibiotic usage or infection with fastidious causative organisms. preclude clinicians from obtaining positive culture results. By amplifying and sequencing bacterial DNA

from blood or tissue samples, it is now possible to identify pathogens even in these conditions (Goldenberger et al., 1997). It has been suggested that these molecular results should be included as a new Duke criterion (Millar et al., 2001). In this discuss review. we the utility of polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based identification of organisms, particularly broad-range 16S rRNA gene PCR/sequencing, in the management of IE cases.

2. Pathogenic organisms of IE

IE is caused by bacteremia, through several avenues of suspected bacterial entrance, such as the oral cavity, intestines, and skin. Once the bacteria invade the blood stream, they colonize the heart valves and proliferate there. Common pathogenic organisms are gram-positive bacteria, such as viridans streptococci, Staphylococcus aureus, coagulase-negative staphylococci, and Enterococcus spp. (Vogkou et al., 2016). Others include gram-negative bacteria, fastidious organisms, and fungi. Streptococcus spp. and Staphylococcus spp. account for 70-90% of all IE cases (Mylonakis et al., 2001, Fukuchi et al.,

Molecular identification of pathogens from excised heart valves of infective endocarditis cases

2014. Takayama 2010). et al., Gram-negative bacilli, which include enterobacteriaceae, Pseudomonas ssp., and the HACEK group (Haemophilus spp., Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans, Cardiobacterium hominis. Eikenella corrodens, and Kingella spp.), cause 2-8% of cases (Mylonakis et al., 2001, Fukuchi et al., 2014, Takayama et al., 2010). These bacteria can be reliably isolated using conventional automated blood culture systems (Benett et al.). However, 5-20% of all cases have negative blood culture results (Mylonakis et al., 2001, Fukuchi et al., 2014, Takayama et al., 2010, 9-12 Werner et al., 2003, Nakatani et al., 2013, Hoen et al., 2002, Tornos et al., 2005), and in half of these cases, this is due to infection with fastidious pathogens, such as intracellular, slow-growing, and nutritionally deficient bacteria (Brouqui et al., 2006).

Coxiella burnetii and *Tropheryma whipplei* are intracellular pathogens; the isolation of which require cell culture systems and experienced laboratory staff. *C. burnetii*, the etiological agent of Q fever, causes 5% of all cases of endocarditis (Brouqui *et al.*,

2006), and accounts for 48% of culture negative endocarditis cases in France (Houpikian et al., 2005). When Geißdöfer et al. analyzed cardiac valve tissues from 255 culture negative IE patients by PCR of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene, 6% of the whipplei-induced cases were Τ. IE (Geißdörfer et al., 2012). Bartonella spp. and Brucella spp. are slow-growing bacteria; isolation takes a month for the former and more than 10 days for the latter. Bartonella spp. are facultative intracellular bacteria that cause various clinical syndromes. For example, B. henselae causes cat scratch disease and meningoencephalitis, and *B. quintana* causes trench fever and lymphadenopathy. The prevalence of Bartonella endocarditis is reported to be 1-3% of IE cases in Europe, and 10-15% in African countries (Edouard et al., 2015). Brucella spp. are also facultative intracellular bacteria, which cause brucellosis. Brucella spp. cause only 1-4% of all cases of IE, but their prevalence depends on geographic area (Fernandez-Guerrero 1993). et al., Abiotrophia defectiva, Granulicatella adiacens, and Granulicatella elegans are nutritionally deficient bacteria termed

Molecular identification of pathogens from excised heart valves of infective endocarditis cases

nutritionally variant streptococci (NVS). IE caused by NVS has a very poor prognosis, and a higher mortality rate than that caused by viridans streptococcal or enterococcal endocarditis (Brouqui et al., 2001). These bacteria can be detected using а conventional blood culture system, but subculture requires special conditions, such as supplementation of blood agar with pyridoxal hydrochloride or cysteine. NVS is currently reported to account for 5-6% of microbial endocarditis cases (Ruoff et al., 2001); however, owing to its unique culture requirements and the number of endocarditis cases with negative blood culture results. this figure may be underestimated (Brouqui et al., 2001). Identification of these fastidious bacteria requires techniques other than conventional culture methods.

3. Broad-range 16S rRNA gene PCR and direct sequencing

The sequence of the small-subunit rRNA gene varies across phylogenetic lines, and contains segments that are conserved at the species, genus, and kingdom levels. A molecular approach has been developed over several decades involving the use of broad-range DNA probes to detect and amplify bacterial DNA. enabling sequencing of the genes encoding 16S rRNA (Edwards et al., 1989, Chen et al., 1989. Wilson et al., 1990). In 1989, Edwards reported the first analysis of direct sequencing of amplified DNA fragments without subcloning, and demonstrated the usefulness of their universal primers in the amplification complete and almost sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene of Mycobacterium kansasii. The procedure required much less time (3-4 days) than that required for traditional molecular techniques using cloning (3-6 months) (Edwards et al., 1989). This technique provided an attractive alternative when conventional culture methods failed to identify a microorganism, as in the initial molecular characterization of B. henselae from an angiomatosis lesion (Relman et al., 1990).

The diagnostic value of broad range 16S rRNA gene PCR has been investigated in patients with endocarditis. In 1995, Jalava et al. conducted PCR with broad-range bacterial primers and DNA sequencing to identify *B. quintana* in a case of culture-negative IE (Jalava *et al.*, 1995). In

Molecular identification of pathogens from excised heart valves of infective endocarditis cases

this case, the blood, resected valve, and vegetation all culture-negative. were However, PCR amplification of the vegetation yielded a nucleotide sequence identical to B. quintana (Jalava et al., 1995). Whipple's disease is a systemic infectious disease characterized by fever, weight loss, lymphadenopathy, diarrhea, and occasionally cardiac manifestations, such as myocarditis and endocarditis. The pathogen responsible, T. whipplei, was first identified by amplification of the 16S rRNA gene and sequencing from duodenal samples and lymph nodes of the patient (Wilson et al., 1991, Relman et al., 1992). Later, Raoult et al. succeeded in isolating the organism from the mitral valve of a patient with endocarditis using a human fibroblast cell line (Raoult et al., 2000). Nevertheless, T. whipplei is difficult to cultivate using conventional bacterial culture techniques, and diagnosis of Whipple's endocarditis requires molecular analysis. C. burnetii is a strict intracellular pathogen, which requires a cell culture system for isolation (Mühlemann et al., 1995). PCR is a useful tool to detect C. burnetii from blood or infected heart valve with either broad-range 16S rRNA primers

(Houpikian *et al.*, 2005) or species-specific primers (Harris *et al.*, 2014).

Species-specific PCR primers can be used if a pathogenic organism is suspected based on the clinical characteristics of the patient, or in combination with primers for several commonly isolated IE pathogens. Recent analysis has demonstrated that specific real-time PCR (RT-PCR) is much more sensitive than conventional broad-range 16S rRNA gene PCR. This was indicated by the study conducted by Morel et al., in which both broad-range PCR and specific RT-PCR were performed using blood or valvular tissues from 123 diagnosed endocarditis cases. Of the 123 cases, 76 were established by RT-PCR only (61.7%), whereas only two cases were established by 16S PCR only (Morel et al., 2015). Nevertheless, broad-range 16S rRNA gene PCR allows us to identify bacteria for which specific primers are not available, such as emerging, rare, or unexpected pathogens.

As mentioned previously, cases with negative blood culture results constitute a considerable proportion of all the IE cases,

Molecular identification of pathogens from excised heart valves of infective endocarditis cases

and PCR analysis can be helpful to detect pathogenic organisms in these cases. Houpikian et al. investigated samples from 348 patients suspected of having blood culture-negative endocarditis (BCNE) to identify pathogens. They performed serology tests, shell vial blood cultures, and, when available, analyzed resected valves by culture, microscopic examination, and PCR amplification (Houpikian et al., 2005). They used species-specific primers to detect C. burnetii DNA, genus-specific primers for *Bartonella* DNA, and universal 16S rRNA gene primers when no evidence of any causative agent was available. Of the 167 cases diagnosed as C. burnetii endocarditis by serology test, 41 (24.5%) were also PCR-positive, and of the 99 cases having Bartonella endocarditis, 47 (47.5%) were specifically PCR-positive for Bartonella. In Bartonella cases, PCR was useful to identify the organism at the species level. In addition, the universal PCR primers detected two cases of T. whipplei and one case of A. elegans. Among 58 patients previously treated with antibiotics, PCR of the 16S rRNA gene from valve tissue was performed in 27 detected four cases of cases. and

gram-positive cocci (Streptococcus gallolyticus and Streptococcus mutans; both commonly isolated from IE cases). In their analysis, valvular specimens from 151 cases were analyzed, and pathogenic organisms were identified in 96 (63.5%) by PCR with specific or universal primers. They concluded that PCR analysis of resected valves was of great value for the diagnosis of IE caused by rare or fastidious microorganisms, or when blood cultures have been sterilized by previous administration of antibiotics. The improvement of these identifications has contributed to a significant improvement in patient management (Houpikian et al., 2005). This group subsequently reported analysis of another 819 cases of suspected BCNE using a comprehensive strategy, incorporating serological, molecular, and histopathological assays (Fournier et al., 2010). Of the 227 patients from whom valves were available, 16S rRNA gene PCR/sequencing allowed the detection of pathogens in 150 (66.1%), and provided a diagnosis for 109 patients with negative serological results. Thus, they suggested that broad-range PCR might be preferred as the first-line test for valvular specimens,

although sensitivity was poor when the method was applied to blood (Fournier *et al.*, 2010).

Broad-range 16S rRNA gene PCR can also be applicable to blood culture-positive endocarditis (BCPE) cases, especially those in which the blood culture result is positive only once, is contradictory to clinical features, or the species of the isolated organism is unclear. In these cases, broad-range PCR can provide confirmation of pathogen identity. Moreover, the technique could lead to reclassification of organisms previously obtained by culture methods, such as in Aerococcus urinae and Streptococcus tigurinus IE cases we have reported (Miyazato et al., 2011, Miyazato et al., 2014). The former was initially misidentified as Streptococcus acidominimus because of the limited discriminative power of conventional culture methods, and the latter is a newly described species in the *Streptococcus mitis* group. Automatic identification systems, such as Vitek 2 and MALDI-TOF MAS, incorrectly identified S. tigurinus as S. mitis or S. oralis at the time, owing to gaps in their databases. Broad-range 16S rRNA

gene PCR/sequencing can augment culture methods and identify rare or emerging pathogens.

4. Evaluation of broad-range 16S rRNA gene PCR and sequencing for management of IE

Among IE cases, 25-50% of the patients undergo valve surgery (Tornos et al., 2005). There have been several reports evaluating the routine molecular diagnosis of IE using resected valves (Goldenberger et al., 1997, Harris et al., 2014, Miyazato et al., 2012, Gauduchon et al., 2003, Voldstedlund et al., 2008, Rovery et al., 2005, Marin et al., 2007). In these reports, 16S rRNA gene PCR/sequencing results were compared to those of blood and valve cultures, and the utility of the molecular technique was discussed. Miller et al. reviewed previous reports and found that the broad-range PCR assay has a wide range of performance in patients with definite IE with a sensitivity range of 41.2-100%, specificity range of 61.5-100%, positive predictive value range of 79-100%, and negative predictive value range of 34.4-100%. They suggested that this variability might be attributed to differences in primers, or differences in

Molecular identification of pathogens from excised heart valves of infective endocarditis cases

diagnostic criteria (Miller et al., 2016). Heart valve culture had a less optimal range of pathogen recovery (11.2-32.3%) compared to either blood culture or broad-range 16S rRNA gene PCR/sequencing on heart valve tissue (Miller et al., 2016). Overall, these reports recommended the application of molecular techniques for the microbiological diagnosis of BCNE. In addition, in BCPE, 16S rRNA gene PCR/sequencing could clarify the diagnosis in cases where culture results were positive only once, or were contradictory or insufficient for species identification (Gauduchon et al., 2003). In both blood culture-negative and -positive cases, the molecular method has impacted the diagnosis and subsequent management of patients. Miller et al. described in their report that analysis of heart valve tissue by broad-range 16S rDNA PCR/sequencing contributed to the microbiologic diagnosis of 31% of their patients, and changed the antibiotics administered to 13% of them (Miller et al., 2016). Marsch et al. analyzed 46 valve samples in patients with culture-negative IE or inconsistent microbiological diagnosis by PCR, and the results led them to change the antibiotic regimens of 7 of their 46 patients (15.2%) (Marsch et al., 2015). Gauduchon et al. reported that the 16S rRNA gene PCR assay contributed to the diagnosis and the management of IE in six of 29 (20%) cases; three cases were diagnosed by PCR alone, and three cases were correctly diagnosed by PCR, allowing better patient management (Gauduchon et al., 2003). In our previous work, identification of pathological organisms affected patient treatment in four of 19 IE cases (21.0%) (Miyazato et al., 2012). Taken together, 16S broad-range rRNA gene PCR/sequencing results contributed to better antibiotic use and IE management in 10-20% of the IE patients in these reports.

5. Future perspectives

The current consensus among researchers is that broad-range 16S rRNA gene PCR/sequencing of resected valves is useful for the diagnosis and subsequent management of IE. Several researchers have suggested that results the of 16S rRNA broad-range gene PCR/sequencing should be included in the Duke criteria as a major criterion because of its usefulness for detecting pathological

Molecular identification of pathogens from excised heart valves of infective endocarditis cases

agents in IE. The British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy recommend in their guidelines that excised heart valves from cases of culture-negative IE should be broad-range PCR referred for and sequencing, and that positive broad-range bacterial PCR results can be reliably used to identify the cause of endocarditis (Gould et al., 2012). The European Society of Cardiology (ESC) only mentions specific PCR using blood or surgical material for Brucella spp., Coxiella spp., Bartonella spp., T. whipple, Mycoplasm spp., and Legionella spp. following serology tests (Habib et al., 2015). Conversely, the American Heart Association (AHA) does not mention any molecular diagnostic methods in their statement (Baddour et al., 2015). The modified Duke pathological criterion requires proof of microorganisms, demonstrated by culture or histological examination of vegetation or an abscess (Li et al., 2000). However, heart valve culture has a much lower pathogen recovery rate (11.2-32.3%) compared to broad-range 16S rRNA gene PCR on heart valve tissue (41.2-100%) (Miller et al., 2016). These results indicate that broad-range PCR is superior to valve culture in detecting pathological agents, although antibiotic susceptibility of pathogens cannot be PCR. investigated by Thus, it is recommended that, if possible, samples of excised heart valve from IE cases should be referred for broad-range bacterial PCR and sequencing. PCR-based methods using heart valve tissue are of great value for diagnosing pathological agents in BCNE and even BCPE, by complementing information incomplete about the epidemiology and spectrum of causative agents of IE (Moter et al., 2010). In cases where T. whipplei, Coxiella spp., or Brucella spp. is clinically suspected as a pathogen, specific PCR targeting these organisms should be considered first. When applied to blood, 16S rRNA gene PCR exhibited poor sensitivity, although dedicated real-time PCR assays targeting small DNA fragments and using fluorescent probes exhibited high sensitivity for Bartonella spp., C. burnetii, and T. whipplei (Fournier et al., 2010). Therefore, a real-time PCR assay targeting these bacteria could be useful with blood from patients for whom valve specimens are not available. Recently, a commercially available real-time PCR assay,

Molecular identification of pathogens from excised heart valves of infective endocarditis cases

LightCycler® SeptiFast, has been tested for diagnosis of IE (Cambau et al., 2017. Leli et al., 2014, Menacacci et al., 2012). This system covers most common bacterial and fungal pathogens of IE, but does not cover fastidious or intracellular microorganisms. Further molecular methods and commercial assays will need to be developed to account for the spectrum of causative pathogens of IE. There are also drawbacks of molecular analysis. It requires specific techniques and laboratory equipment, and there is currently no standardized method of 16S rRNA gene PCR/sequencing for IE cases. Clinical samples tested may contain factors that inhibit the PCR reaction. causing false-negative results; contamination may occur, causing false-positive results; and the quality of sequences in gene databases may be insufficient to identify the amplicons. Moreover, PCR-based methods patients.

cannot differentiate between viable and dead microorganisms. Therefore, PCR cannot replace conserved culture techniques, and results should be interpreted carefully and assessed in the context of clinical findings and culture results. However, broad-range 16S rRNA gene PCR/sequencing has great value in complementing culture methods in the identification of pathological agents of IE, particularly fastidious, intracellular, or emerging microorganisms, and in the condition of previous antibiotic administration. Although the molecular method may not be available in most laboratories, tissue samples could be investigated in certain reference laboratories using this technique. Samples of excised heart valve from IE cases, if possible, should be examined by broad-range bacterial PCR and sequencing for better management of IE

References

- Baddour LM, Wilson WR, Bayer AS, Fowler VG, Tleyjeh IM, Rybak MJ, Barsic B, Lockhart PB, Gewitz MH, Levison ME, Bolger AF, Steckelberg JM, Baltimore RS, Fink AM, O'Gara P, Taubert KA; on behalf of the American Heart Association Committee on Rheumatic Fever. Endocarditis. and Kawasaki Disease of the Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, Council on Clinical Cardiology, Council Cardiovascular Surgery and on Stroke Council. Anesthesia, and Infective endocarditis in adults: diagnosis, antimicrobial therapy, and management of complications. Α scientific statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2015;132:1435-1486.
- Benett JE, Dolin R, Blaser MJ. Endocarditis and Intravascular Infection. Principles and Practice of Infectious Disease. 7th Edition. Churchill Livingstone. 2011.
- Brouqui P and Raoul D. New insight into the diagnosis of fastidious bacterial

endocarditis. FEMS Immunolo Med Microbiol. 2006;47:1-13.

- Brouqui P and Raoul D. Endocarditis due to rare and fastidious bacteria. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2001;14:177-207.
- Cambau E, Durand-Zaleski I, Bretagne S, Buisson CB, Cordonnier C, Duval X, Herwegh S, Pottecher J, Courcol R, Bastuji-Garin S; EVAMICA study team. Performance and economic evaluation of the moleculer detection of pathogens for patients with severe infections: the EVAMICA open-label, cluster-randomised, interventional crossover trial. Intensive Care Med. 2017. doi: 10.1007/s00134-017-4766-4.
- Chen K, Neimark H, Rumore P, Steinman CR. Broad range DNA probes for detecting and amplifying eubacterial nucleic acids. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 1989;57:19-24.
- Edouard S, Nabet C, Lepidi H, Fournier PE, Raoult D. *Bartonella*, a common cause of endocarditis: a report on 106 cases and review. J Clin Microbiol. 2015;53:824-829.

- Edwards U, Rogall T, Blöcker H, Emde m, Böttger EC. Isolation and direct complete nucleotide determination of entire genes. Characterization of gene coding for 16S ribosomal RNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 1989;17:7843-7853.
- Fernandez-Guerrero ML. Zoonotic endocarditis. Infect Dis Clin North Am. 1993;7:135-152.
- Fournier PE, Thuny F, Richet H, LepidiH, Casalta JP, Arzouni JP, Maurin M, Célard M, Mainardi JL, Caus T, Collart F, Habib G, Raoult D. Comprehensive diagnostic strategy for blood culture-negative endocarditis: A prospective study of 819 new cases. Clin Infect Dis. 2010;51:131-140.
- Fukuchi T, Iwata K. Failure of early diagnosis of infective endocarditis in Japan – a retrospective descriptive analysis. Medicine. 2014;93;e237.
- Gauduchon V, Chalabreysse L, Etienne J, Célard M, Benito Y, Lepidi H, Thivolet-Béjui F, Vandenesch F. Molecular diagnosis of infective endocarditis by PCR amplification and direct sequencing of DNA from valve

tissue. J Clin Microbiol. 2003;41:763-766.

- Geiβdörfer W, Moos V, Moster A, Loddenkemper C, Jansen A, Tandler R, Morguet AJ, Fenollar F, Raoult D, Bogdan C, Schneider T. High frequency of *Tropheryma whipplei* in culture-negative endocarditis. J Clin Microbiol. 2012;50:216-22.
- Goldenberger D, Künzli A, Vogt P, Zbinden R, Altwegg M. Molecular diagnosis of bacterial endocarditis by broad-range PCR amplification and direct sequencing. J Clin Microbiol. 1997;35(11):2733-2739.
- Gould FK, Denning DW, Elliott TSJ, Foweraker J, Perry JD, Prendergast BD, Sandoe JAT, Spry MJ, Watkin RW. Guidelines for the diagnosis and antibiotic treatment of endocarditis in adults: a report of the working party of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2012;67:269-289.
- Habib G, Lancellotti P, Antunes MJ, Bongiorni MG, Casalta JP, Del Zotti F, Dulgheru R, Khoury GE, Erba PA, Lung

Molecular identification of pathogens from excised heart valves of infective endocarditis cases

- B. Miro Mulder BJ. JM, S. Plonska-Gosciniak E. Price Roos-Hesselink J, Snygg-Martin U, Thuny F, Tornos Mas P, Vilacosta I, Luis Zamorano J. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of infective endocarditis: The task force for the management of infective endocarditis of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Endorsed by: European Association for cardio-thoracic surgery (EACTS), the European Association of Nuclear Medicine (EANM). Eur Heart J. 2015;36:3075-3128.
- Harris KA, Yam T, Jalili S, Williams OM, Alshafi K, Gouliouris T, Munthali P, NiRiain U, Hartley JC. Service evaluation to establish the sensitivity, specificity and additional value of infective endocarditis from resected endocardial material in patients from eight UK and Ireland hospitals. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2014;33:2061-2066.
- Hoen B, Alla F, Selton-Stuy C, Béguinot I, Bouvet A, Briançon S, Casalta JP, Danchin N, Delahaye F, Etienne J, Le Moing V, Leport C, Mainardi JL, Ruimy

R, Vandenesch F. Changing profile of infective carditis: results of a 1-year survey in France. JAMA. 2002;288:75-81.

- Houpikian P, Raoult D. Blood culture-negative endocarditis in a reference center. Etiologic diagnosis of 348 cases. Medicine. 2005;84:162-173.
- Jalava J, Kotilainen P, Nikkari S, Skurnik M, Vänttinen E, Lehtonen OP, Eerola E, Toivanen P. Use of the polymerase chain reaction and DNA sequencing for detection of *Bartonella quintana* in the aortic valve of a patient with culture-negative infective endocarditis. Clin Infect Dis. 1995;21(4):891-6.
- Leli C, Moretti A, Pasticci MB, Cenci E, Bistoni F, Mencacci A. A commercially available multiplex real-time PCR for detection of pathogens in cardiac valves from patients with infective endocarditis. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2014;79:98-101.
- Li JS, Sexton DJ, Mick N, Nettles R, Fowler VG Jr, Ryan T, Bashore T, Corey GR. Proposed modifications to the Duke

criteria for the diagnosis of infective endocarditis. Clin Infect Dis. 2000;30:633–638.

- Marin M, Muñoz P, Sánchez M, del Rosal M, Alcala L, Rodriguez-Créixems M, Bouza E, the Group for the management of infective endocarditis of the Gregorio Marañón Hospital (GAME). Molecular diagnosis of infective endocarditis by real-time broad-range polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and sequencing directly from heart valve tissue. Medicine. 2007;86:195-202.
- Marsch G, Orszag P, Mashaqi B, Kuehen C, Haverich A. Antibiotic therapy following polymerase chain reaction diagnosis of infective endocarditis: a single centre experience. Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2015;20:589-593.
- Menacacci A, Leli C, Montagna P, Cardaccia A, Meucci M, Bietolini C, Cenci E, Pasticci MB, Bisoni F. Diagnosis of infective endocarditis: comparison of the LightCycler SeptiFast real-time PCR with blood culture. J Med Microbiol. 2012;88:1-3.

- Millar B, Moore J, Mallon P, Xu J, Crowe M, Mcclurg R, Raoult D, Earle J, Hone R, Murphy P. Molecular diagnosis of infective endocarditis—a new Duke's criterion. Scand J Infect Dis. 2001;33:673-80.
- Miller R, Chow B, Pillai D, Church D. Development and evaluation of a novel fast broad-range 16S ribosomal DNA PCR and sequencing assay for diagnosis endocarditis: of bacterial infective experience multi-year in large а Canadian healthcare zone and a literature review. BMC Infect Dis. 2016;16:146. doi: 10.1186/s12879-016-1476-4.
- Miyazato A, Ohkusu K, Ishi S, Sasaoka T, Ikeda M, Niinami H, Ezaki T, Mitsutake K. A case of infective *Aerococcus urinae* endocarpditis successfully treated by aortic valve replacement. J J A Inf D. 2011;85:678-681.
- Miyazato A, Ohkus K, Tabata M, Uwabe K, Kawamura T, Tachi Y, Ezaki T, Niinami H, Mitsutake K. Comparative molecular and microbiological diagnosis of 19 infective endocarditis cases in

14

which causative microbes were identified by PCR-based DNA sequencing from the excised heart valves. J Infect Chemother. 2012;18:318-323.

- Miyazato A, Ohkusu K, Tachi Y, Hashikita G, Ezaki T, Mitsutake K. Two cases of infective endocarditis caused by *Streptococcus tigurinus*. J J A Inf D. 2014;88:304-306.
- Morel AS, Dubourg G, Purdent E, Edouard S, Gouriet F, Casalta JP, Fenollar F, Fournier PE, Drancourt M, Roult D. Complemantarity between targeted real-time specific PCR and conventional broad-range 16S rDNA PCR in the syndrome-driven diagnosis of infectious diseases. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2015;34:561-570.
- Moter A, Musci M, Schmiedel D. Molecular methods for diagnosis of infective endocarditis. Curr Infect Dis Rep. 2010;12:244-252.
- Mühlemann K, Matter L, Meyer B, Schopfer K. Isolation of *Coxiella burnetii* from heart valves of patients treated for Q fever endocarditis. J clin Microbiol. 1995;33:428-431.

- Mylonakis E, Calderwood SB. Infective endocarditis in adults. N Engl J Med. 2001;345:1318-30.
- Nakatani S, Mitustake K, Ohara T, Kokubo Y, Yamamoto H, Hanai S; CADRE investigators. Recent picture of infective endocarditis in Japan – Lessons from Cardiac Disease Registration (CADRE-IE). Circ J. 2013;77(6):1558-64.
- Raoult D, Birg ML, Scola BL, Fournier PE, Enea M, Lepidi H, Roux V, Piette JC, Vandenesch F, Vital-Durand D, Marrie TJ. Cultivation of the bacillus of Whipple's disease. N Engl J Med. 2000;342:620-5.
- Relman DA, Loutit JS, Schmidt TM, Falkow S, Tompkins LS. The agent of bacillary angiomatosis. An approach to the identifyion of uncultured pathogens. N Engl J Med. 1990;323:1573-1580.
- Relman DA, Schmidt TM, MacDermott RP, Falkow S. Identification of the uncultured bacillus of Whipple's disease. N Engl J Med. 1992;327:293-301.

- Rovery C, Greub G, Lepidi H, Casalta JP, Habib G, Gollart F, Raoult D. PCR detection of bacteria on cardiac valves of patients with treated bacterial endocarditis. J Clin Microbiol. 2005;43:163-7.
- Ruoff KL. Nutritionally variant Streptococci. Clin Microbiol Review. 1991;4:184-190.
- Takayama Y, Okamoto R, Sunakawa K. Definite infective endocarditis: clinical and microbialogical features of 155 episodes in one Japanese university hospital. J Formos Assoc. 2010;109:788.
- Tornos P, Lung G, Permanyer-Miralda G, Baron G, Dlahaye F, Gohlke-Bärwolf, Butchart EG, Ravaud P, Vahanian A. Infective endocarditis in Europe: lessons from the euro heart survey. Heart. 2005;92:571-575.
- Vogkou C, Vlachogiannis NI, Palaiodimos L, KousoulisAA. The causative agents in infective

endocarditis: a systematic review comprising 33,214 cases. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.2016;35:1227-1245.

- Voldstedlund M, Norum Pedersen L, Baandrup U, Klaaborg KE, Fuursted K. Broad-range PCR and sequencing in routine diagnosis of infective endocarditis. Acta Pathol Microbiol Immunol Scand. 2008;116:190-8.
- Werner M, Andersson R, Olaison L, Hogevik H. A clinical study of culture-negative endocarditis. *Medicine*. 2003;82:263–273.
- Wilson KH, Blitchington RB, Greene RC. Amplification of bacterial 16S ribosomal DNA with polymerase chain reaction. J Clin Microbiol. 1990;28:1942-1946.
- Wilson KH, Blitchngon R, Frothingham R, Wilson JA. Phylogeny of the Whipple's-disease-associated bacterium. Lancet. 1991;338:474-5.

16