

**IDENTIFICATION OF UNCOMMON CLINICALLY  
IMPORTANT YEASTS AND MOULDS BY THE  
BRUKER  
BIOTYPER MATRIX-ASSISTED LASER DESORPTION  
IONIZATION-TIME OF FLIGHT MASS  
SPECTROMETRY  
(MALDI-TOF MS) SYSTEM IN A GLOBAL  
ANTIFUNGAL SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM**

Leah N. Woosley, Rachel R. Dietrich, Shawn A. Messer, Katie M. Simpson, Ronald N. Jones,  
Mariana Castanheira\*, and Michael A. Pfaller

*JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa USA*

\*Corresponding author: Mariana Castanheira, PhD

345 Beaver Creek Centre, Suite A

North Liberty, Iowa 52317

Phone: (319) 665-3370, Fax: (319) 665-3371

**E-mail:** [mariana-castanheira@jmilabs.com](mailto:mariana-castanheira@jmilabs.com)

**Abstract:**

We report the evaluation of the Bruker Biotyper MS (BMS) system for the identification (ID) of 437 isolates of uncommon species of *Candida* (106 isolates; 17 species), non-*Candida* yeasts (100 isolates, 16 species), *Aspergillus* (164 isolates; 11 species) and non-*Aspergillus* moulds (57 isolates; 36 species) collected from 68 laboratories in 2012. Using confidence scores of  $\geq 1.7$  to  $< 2.0$  for genus only ID and scores of  $\geq 2.0$  for species-level ID, BMS correctly IDed 89.8% of yeasts and 85.5% of moulds to the genus level and 71.3% and 73.3%, respectively, to the species-level. Applying a lower threshold of  $> 1.7$  for species-level ID to isolates included in the BMS database improved the accuracy of species ID from 88.7% to 99.0% for *Candida*, from 65.4% to 91.3% for non-*Candida* yeasts and from 78.6% to 85.4% for moulds. BMS gave a result of no identification to those species not included in the database and generated very few (5 total, all moulds) mis-IDs, all of which were correctly IDed at the genus-level.

**Keywords:** MALDI-TOF, yeasts, moulds, surveillance

## INTRODUCTION

The frequency of occurrence of invasive fungal infections (IFI) coupled with an ever-increasing diversity of opportunistic yeasts and moulds capable of causing serious IFIs significantly impact the ability to diagnose and treat these infections even in the present era of echinocandins and mould-active triazole antifungal agents (Alastruey-Izquierdo et al., 2013; Arendrup et al., 2013; Azie et al., 2012; Brown et al., 2012; Pfaller et al., 2009; Pfaller and Diekema, 2010; Pfaller et al., 2012). Serious life-threatening infections are being reported with an expanding array of pathogens including the well-known opportunists *Candida albicans*, *Cryptococcus neoformans* and *Aspergillus fumigatus* (Azie et al., 2012; Brown et al., 2012; Pfaller and Diekema, 2004; 2010.) New and emerging fungal pathogens include species of *Candida* and *Aspergillus* other than *C. albicans* and *A. fumigatus*; opportunistic yeast-like fungi such as *Cryptococcus gattii*, *Trichosporon* spp., *Dipodascus capitatus*, *Rhodotorula* spp., and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*; the mucormycetes; hyaline moulds such as *Fusarium*, *Acremonium*, *Scedosporium*, *Paecilomyces* (*Purpureocillium*) and *Trichoderma* species; and a wide variety of dematiaceous moulds (Alastruey-Izquierdo et al., 2013; Brown et al., 2012; Miceli and Lee, 2011; Miceli et al., 2011; Pfaller et al., 2012; Pfaller et al., 2013). Accurate identification (ID) of these organisms is important in guiding therapy and determining prognosis in these IFIs as well as in epidemiological surveys (Balajee et al., 2009; Borman et al., 2012; Brandt and Park, 2013; Linton et al., 2007; Miceli and Lee, 2011; Pounder et al., 2007). Conventional methods of yeast and mould

ID take into account biochemical and morphological characteristics and are both slow and labor intensive requiring considerable mycological expertise (Alastruey-Izquierdo et al., 2013; Balajee et al., 2009; Borman et al., 2012; Cendejas-Bueno et al., 2010; Latouche et al., 1997; Meletiadis et al., 2011; Sanguinetti et al., 2007). Furthermore, classical methods of ID that rely on phenotypic characteristics increasingly have been shown to mis-ID the less common yeasts and moulds with potentially deleterious effects on informed therapeutic choices and patient management (Alastruey-Izquierdo et al., 2013; Borman et al., 2012; Castanheira et al., 2013; Pfaller et al., 2012). Whereas molecular methods for ID of yeasts and moulds represent improvements in timelines and accuracy of fungal ID, even DNA sequence-based fungal ID has several limitations (Cassagne et al., 2011). Issues with DNA extraction, cost and the need for highly trained personnel put molecular ID methods out of reach for most clinical microbiology laboratories (Balajee et al., 2009; Cassagne et al., 2011). Indeed, in 2007 only 17% of clinical laboratories in the United States performed molecular analysis of fungi (Balajee et al., 2007).

In light of these concerns the introduction of a new proteomic technology, matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) has revolutionized the ID of microorganisms, including yeasts and moulds (Buchan and Ledebor, 2013; Cassagne et al., 2011; Patel, 2013). MALDI-TOF MS is a technique that generates a protein-based spectral profile that is unique to a given species of microorganism and has been shown to be highly accurate, rapid and

cost-effective in the ID of bacteria and most yeasts of clinical importance (Buchan and Ledebor, 2013; Patel, 2013). The application of MALDI-TOF MS to the ID of moulds has been more limited to date with the greatest experience having to do with the ID of *Aspergillus* species (Alanio et al., 2011; Cassagne et al., 2011; De Carolis et al., 2012).

In the present study, we assessed the utility of the Bruker Daltonics MALDI Biotyper (Freemont, CA) for the ID of yeasts and moulds submitted during the course of the SENTRY Antifungal Surveillance Program (2012) that presented significant challenges to conventional methods of ID: rare species of *Candida* (other than *C. albicans*, *C. glabrata*, *C. parapsilosis*, *C. tropicalis* or *C. krusei*), non-candidal yeasts, *Aspergillus* spp., and other moulds. The reference ID for each isolate was determined using DNA sequence-based methods as described previously (Pfaller et al., 2012).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Organisms. Among the 2,190 viable fungal isolates received during the SENTRY Surveillance Program in 2012, 437 moulds and uncommon yeast strains from 68 hospitals worldwide were IDed by MALDI-TOF MS and DNA sequencing methods. The SENTRY Program collects consecutive clinically significant fungal isolates from blood cultures (all fungi) as well as from respiratory tract specimens of patients diagnosed with pneumonia caused by *Aspergillus* spp. or other moulds from each participating medical center. Isolates were previously identified at participant institutions using methods routinely employed at the submitting laboratory. Purity of isolates was confirmed by

subculturing the isolates in appropriate media followed by visual inspection. All *Candida* species isolates that were not confirmed upon receipt at JMI Laboratories (North Liberty, IA USA) by morphology on CHROMagar (Becton-Dickinson, Sparks, MD, USA) (*C. albicans*, *C. tropicalis* and *C. krusei*) growth at 45°C (*C. albicans*, *C. dubliniensis*), or assimilation of trehalose (*C. glabrata*) as well as all non-*Candida* yeasts and all moulds were submitted to molecular ID. In an effort to provide a more rigorous challenge to ID by MALDI-TOF MS, we did not include the more common species of *Candida* (*C. albicans*, *C. glabrata*, *C. parapsilosis*, *C. tropicalis* or *C. krusei*) in this analysis. All experiments were carried out in the Mycology and Molecular Research Sections of JMI Laboratories.

### DNA sequencing-based identification.

Isolates were subcultured from storage and IDed to species or species complex (SC) level by molecular methods. DNA extractions were performed using QIAquick Extraction kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany; yeasts only) or UltraClean Microbial DNA Isolation kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Carlsbad, California, USA) and amplification and sequencing of the following genes; internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region, 28S ribosomal subunit,  $\beta$ -tubulin (*Aspergillus* only), translation elongation factor (TEF: *Fusarium* only) and IGS (*Trichosporon* spp. and *C. neoformans* SC) were carried out as described previously (Balajee et al., 2009; Castanheira et al., 2013) (Lew et al 2006; Gilgado et al 2005; O'Donnell et al 2008). Nucleotide sequences were analyzed using Lasergene<sup>®</sup> software (DNASTar, Madison, WI, USA) and compared with available sequences using

BLAST. TEF sequences were analyzed using the *Fusarium*-II database (<http://www.isolate.fusariumdb.org/index.php>) and the *Fusarium* multilocus sequence typing (MLST) database (<http://www.chs.knaw.nl/fusarium/>.)

Results were considered acceptable if homology was >99.5% with other entries in the databases used for comparison. Available sequences that were considerably different from the majority of entries for one species were considered outliers and discarded in the analysis. Additionally, if no match was found in the database, the ID was based on species complex, genus, family, or order, according to the most current classification system.

**MALDI-TOF MS.** All isolates were tested via MALDI-TOF MS using the Bruker Daltronics MALDI Biotyper (Freemont, CA, USA) by following the manufacturer's instructions and previously described guidelines for yeast and mould ID (Cassagne et al., 2011; Cassagne et al., 2013; Van Herendael et al., 2012). All yeasts were extracted from cultures on Sabouraud dextrose agar (Remel, Lenexa, KS, USA) by the complete extraction procedure as recommended by the manufacturer. Moulds were grown on potato dextrose agar slants (Remel) until mature and transferred to Sabouraud dextrose broth (Becton Dickinson, Maryland, USA). These tubes were placed on a rotator and incubated for 24 to 48 hours at 35°C or room temperature, as appropriate. Fungal material was centrifuged, and the pellet was washed twice with sterile water. The pellet was then suspended in water and ethanol (proportions??), centrifuged and decanted; 50 µl of 70% formic acid was added, mixed and allowed to incubate at room

temperature (?) for at least 10 minutes (?range?). Acetonitrile (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was then added and following centrifugation the supernatant (?volume?) was applied on a spot of polished steel target (Bruker) and air dried. Each spot was then covered by a matrix solution of 2-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (Sigma) in 50% acetonitrile and \_\_\_\_\_ (scan got cut off!) whether an isolate ID was classified as species-level, genus-level or unidentified was based on confidence scores as determined by the manufacturer: confidence scores of  $\geq 2.0$  are considered secure species-level ID, scores of 1.7 to <2.0 are considered genus-only ID, and scores of <1.7 are considered unreliable ID and termed unidentified.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Fungal isolates.** Among the 437 isolates IDed by molecular methods in this study, 106 were *Candida* spp. (17 species), 110 were non-*Candida* yeasts (16 species), 164 were *Aspergillus* spp (11 species) and 57 were non-*Aspergillus* moulds (36 species) (Tables 1-3). Overall the ID provided by the submitting laboratory was confirmed by DNA sequence analysis in 83.5% of the isolates (84.3% of yeasts and 82.8% of moulds).

**MALDI-TOF MS identification of *Candida* spp.** Among the 106 isolates of uncommon species of *Candida*, 93.4% were IDed correctly to genus and 81.1% were IDed correctly to species by MALDI-TOF MS (Table 1). There were seven isolates (6.6%) for which the MALDI-TOF MS result was unidentified (no reliable identification; confidence score <1.7) of which *C. bracarensis* (1 isolate), *C. fabianii* (2 isolates), *C. fluviatilis* (1

isolate), *C. thasaensis* (1 isolate) and *C. thermophia* (1 isolate) were not included in the Bruker database. One isolate of *C. guilliermondii* was not IDed due to a failure of extraction (no peaks detected) despite three different attempts. Among the 11 species (97 isolates) of *Candida* that were represented in the Bruker database, 88.7% were IDed correctly to species and 100.0% were IDed correctly to genus. Notably, of the 13 isolates that were IDed to genus but not to species, 10 isolates were *C. dubliniensis* for which the Bruker MS ID was correct to species but the confidence scores ranged from 1.803 to 1.996 (Table 4). The 3 isolates of *C. fermentati*, a species not included in the database, were all IDed as *C. guilliermondii*, but with confidence scores <1.7 (Table 4). It should be noted that *C. fermentati* is included within the *C. guilliermondii* species complex. MALDI-TOF MS did not produce any incorrect IDs among the 106 isolates of *Candida* in this challenging collection.

MALDI-TOF MS identification of non-*Candida* yeasts. Among the 110 isolates of non-*Candida* yeasts, 86.4% were IDed correctly to genus and 61.8% were IDed correctly to species by MALDI-TOF MS (Table 2). There were 15 isolates (13.6%) that were unidentified by MALDI-TOF MS, 6 of which were not contained in the Bruker database and 9 of which were isolates of *C. neoformans* where ID was not possible due to a lack of detectable peaks despite multiple attempts at extraction. Among the 53 isolates of *C. neoformans* for which extraction was successful, all were correctly IDed at the genus level, 33 of which were IDed correctly to species with a confidence score of  $\geq 2$ . The remaining 20 isolates of *C. neoformans* were also correctly IDed to

species but with confidence scores ranging from 1.731 to 1.913 (Table 4). Similarly, the 2 isolates of *C. gattii* were IDed correctly to species but with confidence scores of 1.802 and 1.970 (Table 4).

Among the remaining 9 species (40 isolates) of non-*Candida* yeasts that were represented in the Bruker database, 87.5% were IDed correctly to species and 100.0% were IDed correctly to genus. As with *Candida* spp., of the 5 isolates that were IDed to genus but not to species, 4 isolates of *R. mucilaginosa* and 1 isolate of *S. cerevisiae* were correctly IDed to species but with confidence scores ranging from 1.875 to 1.995 (Table 4). None of the non-*Candida* isolates were misidentified by the Bruker system.

MALDI-TOF MS identification of moulds. Among the 221 mould isolates from the 2012 SENTRY survey, 164 (74.2%) were species of *Aspergillus* (Table 3). The Bruker Biotyper correctly IDed 95.7% of these isolates to the genus level and 90.9% to the species level. There were seven isolates that were not identified either due to the lack of representation in the database (*Asp. candidus* and *Asp. udagawae* [1 isolate each]) or due to failed extraction (*Asp. flavus* SC and *Asp. nidulans* [1 isolate each]). An additional 3 isolates (*Asp. nidulans* [1 isolate] and *Asp. terreus* SC [2 isolates]) represented species included in the Bruker database that were unidentified due to confidence scores of <1.7. Among the eight species of *Aspergillus* that were represented in the Bruker database (161 isolates), 96.9% were IDed correctly by genus and 92.5% were IDed correctly by species. Of the eight isolates of *Aspergillus* that were correctly IDed by genus but not by species, one isolate each of *Asp. clavatus*, *Asp. niga* SC, *Asp. nomius* and *Asp. sydowii* and three

isolates of *Asp. flavus* SC were correctly IDed to species but with confidence scores ranging from 1.752 to 1.996 (Table 4). The sole misidentification among the *Aspergillus* spp. in the 2012 SENTRY collection was an isolate of *Asp. tubingensis* that was misidentified as *Asp. niger* with a confidence score of 2.251 (Table 4). *Asp. tubingensis* is in the *Aspergillus* section Nigri.

There were 57 non-*Aspergillus* moulds representing 36 different genera or species in the collection (Table 3): 56.1% were IDed correctly by genus and 22.8% were IDed correctly by species. This diverse group of organisms was underrepresented in the Bruker database and 25 isolates were unidentified either due to a lack of inclusion in the database or a confidence score of <1.7 without an accompanying ID (19 isolates) or due to a failed extraction (six isolates). Among the 15 genera or species (45 isolates) that were included in the Bruker database, 72.7% were IDed correctly by genus and 29.5% were IDed correctly by species. Of the 19 isolates of rare moulds that were correctly IDed by genus but not by species, one isolate each of *F. solari* SC and *P. lilacinum*, 2 isolates of *R. microsporus*, and 3 isolates of *F. fujikuroi* SC were correctly IDed to species or species complex but with confidence scores ranging from 1.761 to 1.994 (Table 4). It should be noted that *F. moniliforme* and *F. proliferatum* are species within the *F. fujikuroi* SC and thus may be considered to be correctly IDed to species by the Bruker Biotyper (Table 4). There were 2 isolates that were IDed by the reference method as *Cochliobolus* without a species designation that were IDed by the Bruker system as *Curvularia pallescens* with confidence scores of 1.940 and 2.028 (Table 4). Given that

*Cochliobolus* is the teleomorphic state of *Curvularia*, these isolates were considered to be correctly IDed by genus. Since there was no reference ID to species, these isolates could not be counted as an accurate species ID nor were they misidentified.

Among this diverse array of rare moulds, 5 (8.8%) were mis-IDed by the Bruker Biotyper: one isolate of *M. circinelloides* was mis-IDed as *M. ramosissimus* (confidence score, 2.037), one isolate of *Penicillium* subgenus *aspergilloides* was mis-IDed as *P. glabrum* (confidence score, 2.253), one isolate of *Penicillium* subgenus *terverticillata* was mis-IDed as *P. chrysogenum* (confidence score, 2.005) and one isolate of *S. aurantiacum* was mis-IDed as *S. apiospermum* (confidence score, 2.454). In addition, one isolate of *T. veruculosus* was mis-IDed as *T. (Penicillium) funiculosus* but with a confidence score of only 1.761 (Table 4).

The results of this study both confirm and extend the findings of other investigators who have assured the ability of the Bruker Biotyper to ID clinically relevant yeasts and moulds (Alanio et al., 2011; Buchan and Ledebor, 2013; Cassagne et al., 2011; Cassagne et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2013; De Carolis et al., 2012). The collection of 80 different species of clinically relevant yet rare yeasts and moulds all IDed by reference DNA sequencing methods presented a rigorous challenge to the Bruker MS system. Overall, 89.8% of yeasts and 85.5% of moulds were correctly IDed to the genus level; 71.3% and 73.3%, respectively were correctly IDed to the species level. Whereas, these figures may be lower than those reported by others, this is to expected given the challenging nature of this

organism collection.

It should be noted that whereas the confidence scores of  $\geq 2.0$  used for secure species level ID in the present study are those recommended by the manufacturer, other investigators have suggested that this breakpoint may be overly conservative and that lowering the secure species threshold to 1.8 improved species level ID of yeasts from 87%-92% to  $>99\%$  of isolates while preserving 100% accuracy of IDs (Buchan and Ledebor, 2013; Dhiman et al., 2011; Stevenson et al., 2010). In another study VanHerendael et al (2012) found that 100% of isolates with lower confidence scores indicating genus only ID were also correctly IDed to species level. Applying the lower threshold of  $>1.7$  for species level ID to isolates included in the Bruker database in the present study improves the accuracy of species ID from 88.7% to 99.0% for *Candida*, from 65.4% to 91.3% for non-*Candida* yeasts and from 78.6% to 85.4% for moulds. Overall the accuracy of the Bruker Biotyper for species level ID of rare yeasts and moulds represented in the database improved from 77.6% to 90.2% when a confidence score of  $>1.7$  was used as the secure species threshold.

In conclusion we have demonstrated a high degree of accuracy for the Bruker Daltronics MS Biotyper in the ID to both genus and species of a challenging set of uncommon yeasts and moulds collected in the context of a global fungal surveillance program. The Bruker system was most accurate for the species level ID of uncommon species of *Candida* and of *Aspergillus* but the accuracy improved for both yeasts and moulds when the lower threshold of  $>1.7$  was used. As seen by other investigators the Bruker system gave a result of no identification to those species not included in the database

and generated very few (5 total) mis-IDs. In considering the rare mis-IDs, all were correctly IDed at the genus level. The Bruker Biotyper should prove to be a major advance for clinical microbiology laboratories providing rapid and accurate ID of common and uncommon species of yeasts and moulds in addition to bacteria.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Mariana to provide

## REFERENCES

- Alanio A, Beretti JL, Dauphin B, Mellado E, Quesne G, Lacroix C, et al. Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry for fast and accurate identification of clinically relevant *Aspergillus* species. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2011;17:750-5.
- Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Mellado E, Pelaez T, Peman J, Zapico S, Alvarez M, et al. Population-based survey of filamentous fungi and antifungal resistance in Spain (FILPOP Study). *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2013;57:3380-7.
- Arendrup MC, Dzajic E, Jensen RH, Johansen HK, Kjaeldgaard P, Knudsen JD, et al. Epidemiological changes with potential implication for antifungal prescription recommendations for fungaemia: data from a nationwide fungaemia surveillance programme. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2013;19:E343-E53.
- Azie N, Neofytos D, Pfaller M, Meier-Kriesche HU, Quan SP, Horn D. The PATH (Prospective Antifungal Therapy) Alliance registry and invasive fungal infections: Update 2012. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2012;73:293-300.
- Balajee SA, Sigler L, Brandt ME. DNA and the classical way: identification of medically important molds in the 21st century. *Med Mycol* 2007;45:475-90.
- Balajee SA, Borman AM, Brandt ME, Cano J, Cuenca-Estrella M, Dannaoui E, et al. Sequence-based identification of *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium*, and Mucorales species in the clinical mycology laboratory: Where are we and where should we go from here? *J Clin Microbiol* 2009;47:877-84.
- Borman AM, Szekely A, Palmer MD, Johnson EM. Assessment of accuracy of identification of pathogenic yeasts in microbiology laboratories in the United Kingdom. *J Clin Microbiol* 2012;50:2639-44.
- Brandt ME, Park BJ. Think fungus-prevention and control of fungal infections. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2013;19:1688-9.
- Brown GD, Denning DW, Gow NA, Levitz SM, Netea MG, White TC. Hidden killers: human fungal infections. *Sci Transl Med* 2012;4:165rv13.
- Buchan BW, Ledeboer NA. Advances in identification of clinical yeast isolates by use of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. *J Clin Microbiol* 2013;51:1359-66.
- Cassagne C, Ranque S, Normand AC, Fourquet P, Thiebault S, Planard C, et al. Mould routine identification in the clinical laboratory by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *PLoS One* 2011;6:e28425.
- Cassagne C, Cella AL, Suchon P, Normand AC, Ranque S, Piarroux R. Evaluation of four pretreatment procedures for MALDI-TOF MS yeast identification in the routine clinical laboratory. *Med Mycol* 2013;51:371-7.
- Castanheira M, Woosley LN, Diekema DJ, Jones RN, Pfaller MA. *Candida guilliermondii* and other species of *Candida* misidentified as *Candida*



*famata*: Assessment by Vitek2, ITS sequence analysis and Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization–Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry in two global surveillance programs. *J Clin Microbiol* 2013;51:117-24.

Cendejas-Bueno E, Gomez-Lopez A, Mellado E, Rodriguez-Tudela JL, Cuenca-Estrella M. Identification of pathogenic rare yeast species in clinical samples: Comparison between phenotypical and molecular methods. *J Clin Microbiol* 2010;48:1895-9.

Chen JH, Yam WC, Ngan AH, Fung AM, Woo WL, Yan MK, et al. Advantages of using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry as a rapid diagnostic tool for identification of yeasts and mycobacteria in the clinical microbiological laboratory. *J Clin Microbiol* 2013;51:3981-7.

De Carolis E, Posteraro B, Lass-Flörl C, Vella A, Florio AR, Torelli R, et al. Species identification of *Aspergillus*, *Fusarium* and Mucorales with direct surface analysis by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2012;18:475-84.

Dhiman N, Hall L, Wohlfiel SL, Buckwalter SP, Wengenack NL. Performance and cost analysis of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for routine identification of yeast. *J Clin Microbiol* 2011;49:1614-6.

Latouche GN, Daniel HM, Lee OC, Mitchell TG, Sorrell TC, Meyer W. Comparison of use of phenotypic and

genotypic characteristics for identification of species of the anamorph genus *Candida* and related teleomorph yeast species. *J Clin Microbiol* 1997;35:3171-80.

Linton CJ, Borman AM, Cheung G, Holmes AD, Szekely A, Palmer MD, et al. Molecular identification of unusual pathogenic yeast isolates by large ribosomal subunit gene sequencing: 2 years of experience at the United Kingdom mycology reference laboratory. *J Clin Microbiol* 2007;45:1152-8.

Meletiadi J, Arabatzis M, Bobola M, Tsiveriotis K, Hini S, Petinaki E, et al. Comparative evaluation of three commercial identification systems using common and rare bloodstream yeast isolates. *J Clin Microbiol* 2011;49:2722-7.

Miceli MH, Diaz JA, Lee SA. Emerging opportunistic yeast infections. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2011;11:142-51.

Miceli MH, Lee SA. Emerging moulds: epidemiological trends and antifungal resistance. *Mycoses* 2011;54:e666-e78.

Patel R. Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry in clinical microbiology. *Clin Infect Dis* 2013;57:564-72.

Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ. Rare and emerging opportunistic fungal pathogens: Concern for resistance beyond *Candida albicans* and *Aspergillus fumigatus*. *J Clin Microbiol* 2004;42:4419-31.

Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ, Gibbs DL, Newell VA, Bijie H, Dzierzanowska D, et al. Results from the ARTEMIS DISK

Global Antifungal Surveillance Study, 1997 to 2007: 10.5-year analysis of susceptibilities of noncandidal yeast species to fluconazole and voriconazole determined by CLSI standardized disk diffusion testing. *J Clin Microbiol* 2009;47:117-23. Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ. Epidemiology of invasive mycoses in North America. *Crit Rev Microbiol* 2010;36:1-53.

Pfaller MA, Woosley LN, Messer SA, Jones RN, Castanheira M. Significance of molecular identification and antifungal susceptibility of clinically significant yeasts and moulds in a global antifungal surveillance program. *Mycopathologia* 2012;174:259-71.

Pfaller MA, Messer SA, Woosley LN, Jones RN, Castanheira M. Echinocandin and triazole antifungal susceptibility profiles of opportunistic yeast and mould clinical isolates (2010-2011); Application of new CLSI clinical breakpoints and epidemiological cutoff values to characterize geographic and temporal trends of antifungal resistance. *J Clin Microbiol* 2013;51:2571-81.

Pounder JI, Simmon KE, Barton CA, Hohmann SL, Brandt ME, Petti CA. Discovering potential pathogens among fungi identified as nonsporulating molds. *J Clin Microbiol* 2007;45:568-71.

Sanguinetti M, Porta R, Sali M, La Sorda M, Pecorini G, Fadda G, et al. Evaluation of VITEK 2 and RapID yeast plus systems for yeast species identification: experience at a large clinical microbiology laboratory. *J Clin Microbiol* 2007;45:1343-6.

Stevenson LG, Drake SK, Shea YR, Zelazny AM, Murray PR. Evaluation of matrix- assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for identification of clinically important yeast species. *J Clin Microbiol* 2010;48:3482-

Van Herendael BH, Bruynseels P, Bensaid M, Boekhout T, De Baere T, Surmont I, et al. Validation of a modified algorithm for the identification of yeast isolates using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS). *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2012;31:841-8.

**Table 1.** Performance characteristics of the Bruker MS Biotyper in identifying uncommon species of *Candida*

Organism	Total	No. (%) of isolates			
		Identified correctly to genus	Identified correctly to species	Unidentified	Misidentified
<i>C. bracarensis</i>	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>C. catenulato</i>	1	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)		
<i>C. duobushhaemubii</i>	1	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)		
<i>C. dubliniensis</i>	23	23 (100.0)	13 (56.5)		
<i>C. fabianii</i>	2			2 (100.0)	
<i>C. fermentati</i>	3	3 (100.0)			
<i>C. fluvialis</i>	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>C. guilliermondii</i>	13	12 (92.3)	12 (92.3)	1 (7.7) <sup>a</sup>	
<i>C. inconspicua</i>	2	2 (100.0)	2 (100.0)		
<i>C. kefyr</i>	14	14 (100.0)	14 (100.0)		
<i>C. lipolytica</i>	2	2 (100.0)	2 (100.0)		
<i>C. lusitaniae</i>	29	29 (100.0)	29 (100.0)		
<i>C. orthopsilosis</i>	3	3 (100.0)	3 (100.0)		
<i>C. pelliculosa</i>	8	8 (100.0)	8 (100.0)		
<i>C. rugosa</i>	1	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)		
<i>C. thasaensis</i>	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>C. thermophila</i>	1			1 (100.0)	
Total	106	99 (93.4)	86 (81.1)	7 (6.6)	

a. No peaks found

**Table 2.** Performance characteristics of the Bruker MS Biotyper in identifying non-*Candida* yeast species

Organism	Total	No. (%) of isolates			
		Identified correctly to genus	Identified correctly to species	Unidentified	Misidentified
<i>Cr. gattii</i>	2	2 (100.0)			
<i>Cr. laurentii</i>	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>Cr. neoformans</i>	62	53 (85.5)	33 (53.2)	9 (14.5) <sup>a</sup>	
<i>Cr. cyanovonans</i>	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>Cryptococcus</i> sp.	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>D. capitatus</i>	3	3 (100.0)	3 (100.0)		
<i>G. clavatum</i>	2			2 (100.0)	
<i>L. elongisporus</i>	1	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)		
<i>M. pachydermatis</i>	1	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)		
<i>P. manshurica</i>	1	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)		
<i>R. minuta</i>	1	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)		

<i>R. mucilaginosa</i>	8	8 (100.0)	4 (50.0)		
<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	10	10 (100.0)	9 (90.0)		
<i>Sp. nylandii</i>	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>T. asahi</i>	14	14 (100.0)	14 (100.0)		
<i>T. mycotoxinivorans</i>	1	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)		
Total	110	95 (86.4)	68 (61.8)	15 (13.6)	

a. No peaks found for one isolate

**Table 3.** Performance characteristics of the Bruker MS Biotyper in identifying clinically relevant and rare moulds

Organism	Total	No. (%) of isolates			
		Identified correctly to genus	Identified correctly to species	Unidentified	Misidentified
<i>Acremonium</i> sp.	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>Alternaria</i> spp.	3	2 (66.7)	2 (66.7)	1 (33.3) <sup>a</sup>	
<i>Aspergillus</i> spp.	164	157 (95.7)	149 (90.9)	7 (4.3)	1 (0.6)
<i>Asp. candidus</i>	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>Asp. clavatus</i>	1	1 (100.0)			
<i>Asp. flavus</i> SC	18	17 (94.4)	14 (77.8)	1 (5.6) <sup>a</sup>	
<i>Asp. fumigatus</i>	107	107 (100.0)	107 (100.0)		
<i>Asp. niger</i> SC	13	13 (100.0)	12 (92.3)		
<i>Asp. nidulans</i>	6	4 (66.7)	4 (66.7)	2 (33.3) <sup>a</sup>	
<i>Asp. nomius</i>	1	1 (100.0)			
<i>Asp. terreus</i> SC	10	8 (100.0)	8 (80.0)	2 (20.0)	
<i>Asp. tubingusis</i>	1	1 (100.0)			1 (100.0)
<i>Asp. sydowii</i>	5	5 (100.0)	4 (80.0)		
<i>Asp. udagane</i>	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>Arthrographis kalrae</i>	1	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)		
<i>Cadophora malorum</i>	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>Cochliobolus</i> spp.	3	2 (66.7)		1 (33.3) <sup>a</sup>	
<i>Coprinellus</i> sp.	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>Cunninghamella</i> sp.	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>Curvalana</i> spp.	4	1 (25.0)		3 (75.0) <sup>a</sup>	
<i>Epicoccum nigrum</i>	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>Exophiala</i> sp.	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>Fonsecaea</i> sp.	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>Fusarium</i> spp.	10	6 (60.0)	1 (10.0)	4 (40.0)	
<i>F. fujikuroi</i> SC	4	4 (100.0)	1 (25.0)		
<i>F. incarnatumequiseti</i> SC	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>F. oxysporum</i> SC	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>F. solani</i> SC	3	1 (33.3)		2 (66.7)	
<i>Fusarium</i> sp.	1	1 (100.0)			
<i>Hamigera</i> sp.	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>Leptosphaerulina chartarum</i>	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>Lichtheimia ramosa</i>	1	1 (100.0)			
<i>Microascus</i> sp.	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>Mucor circinelloides</i>	1	1 (100.0)			1 (100.0)

<i>Ochroconis</i> sp.	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>Penicillium</i> spp.	7	3 (42.9)	1 (14.3)	4 (57.1)	2 (28.6)
<i>P. subgenus aspergilloides</i>	1	1 (100.0)			1 (100.0)
<i>P. subgenus biverticillata</i>	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>P. subgenus terverticillata</i>	4	1 (25.0)		3 (75.0) <sup>b</sup>	1 (25.0)
<i>P. citrinum</i>	1	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)		
<i>Phoma</i> sp.	1	1 (100.0)			
<i>Purpureocillium lilacinum</i>	2	2 (100.0)	1 (50.0)		
<i>Rhizopus microsporus</i>	4	4 (100.0)	2 (50.0)		
<i>Rhizopus oryzae</i> SC	1	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)		
<i>Sarocladium kiliense</i>	1	1 (100.0)			
<i>Scopulariopsis brevecaulis</i>	1			1 (100.0)	
<i>Scedosporium</i> spp.	5	5 (100.0)	4 (80.0)		
<i>S. auantiacum</i>	1	1 (100.0)			1 (100.0)
<i>S. apiospermum</i>	3	3 (100.0)	3 (100.0)		
<i>S. prolificans</i>	1	1 (100.0)	1 (100.0)		
<i>Talaromyces verruculasas</i>	1	1 (100.0)			
Total	221	189 (85.5)	162 (73.3)	32 (14.5)	5 (2.3)

a. No peaks found for one isolate each.

b. No peaks found for three isolates.

**Table 4.** Discrepant species identification of yeasts and moulds obtained by the Bruker MS Biotyper in comparison with reference molecular methodology.

Reference identification	No. of isolates	Bruker MS identification (confidence score)
<i>C. dubliniensis</i>	10	<i>C. dubliniensis</i> (1.996)
		<i>C. dubliniensis</i> (1.975)
		<i>C. dubliniensis</i> (1.975)
		<i>C. dubliniensis</i> (1.958)
		<i>C. dubliniensis</i> (1.940)
		<i>C. dubliniensis</i> (1.845)
		<i>C. dubliniensis</i> (1.834)
		<i>C. dubliniensis</i> (1.827)
		<i>C. dubliniensis</i> (1.815)
		<i>C. dubliniensis</i> (1.803)
<i>C. fermentati</i> <sup>a</sup>	3	<i>C. guilliermondii</i> (1.635)
		<i>C. guilliermondii</i> (1.576)
		<i>C. guilliermondii</i> (1.520)
<i>Cr. gattii</i>	2	<i>Cr. gattii</i> (1.970)
		<i>Cr. gattii</i> (1.802)
<i>Cr. neoformans</i>	20	<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.996)
		<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.995)
		<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.981)
		<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.977)
		<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.972)
		<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.952)
		<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.936)

		<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.933)
		<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.913)
		<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.902)
		<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.881)
		<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.880)
		<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.860)
		<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.833)
		<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.820)
		<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.813)
		<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.813)
		<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.790)
		<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.765)
		<i>Cr. neoformans</i> (1.731)
<i>R. mucilaginosa</i>	4	<i>R. mucilaginosa</i> (1.986)
		<i>R. mucilaginosa</i> (1.977)
		<i>R. mucilaginosa</i> (1.958)
		<i>R. mucilaginosa</i> (1.875)
<i>S. cerevisiae</i>	1	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> (1.995)
<i>Asp. clavatus</i>	1	<i>Asp. clavatus</i> (1.757)
<i>Asp. flavus</i> SC	3	<i>Asp. flavus</i> (1.996)
		<i>Asp. flavus</i> (1.943)
		<i>Asp. flavus</i> (1.815)
<i>Asp. niger</i> SC	1	<i>Asp. niger</i> (1.903)
<i>Asp. nomius</i>	1	<i>Asp. nomius</i> (1.828)
<i>Fusarium fujikuroi</i> SC <sup>d</sup>	3	<i>F. moniliforme</i> (verticilloides) (1.994)
		<i>F. proliferatum</i> (1.930)
		<i>F. proliferatum</i> (1.899)
<i>F. solani</i> SC	1	<i>F. solani</i> (1.791)
<i>Lichtheimis ramosa</i>	1	<i>Lichtheimia corymbifera</i> (1.913)
<i>Mucor circinelloides</i>	1	<i>Mucor ramosissimus</i> (2.037)
<i>Penicillium</i> subgenus <i>aspergilloides</i>	1	<i>Penicillium glabrum</i> (2.253)
<i>Penicillium</i> subgenus <i>terverticillata</i>	1	<i>Penicillium chrysogenum</i> (2.005)
<i>Purpureocillium lilacinum</i>	1	<i>Paecilomyces lilacinus</i> ( <i>Purpureocillium lilacinum</i> ) (1.954)
<i>Rhizopus microsporus</i>	2	<i>Rhizopus microsporus</i> (1.858)
		<i>Rhizopus microsporus</i> (1.792)
<i>Sarocladium kiliense</i>	1	<i>Acremonium</i> ( <i>Sarocladium</i> ) <i>strictum</i> (1.731)
<i>Scedosporium aurantiacum</i>	1	<i>Scedosporium apiospermum</i> (2.454)
<i>Talaromyces verruculosus</i>	1	<i>Penicillium funiculosus</i> ( <i>Talaromyces funiculosus</i> ) (1.761)

a. *C. fermentati* is in the *C. guilliermondii* species complex (SC).

b. *Asp. tubingensis* is in *Aspergillus* Section Nigii.

c. The teleamorphic state of *Curvularia* spp. is *Cochliobolus* spp.

d. *F. moniliforme* (syn. *verticilloides*) and *F. proliferatum* are species within the *F. fujikuroi* SC