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Abstract—Histone methyl-transferase Dot1L can methylate histone 3 on lysine 79 (H3K79). Herein we present the chemical synthesis of a cis/trans mixture of a potent Dot1L inhibitor known as EPZ-5676 (2). Upon preparing compound 2, we tested the compound in mixed and non-mixed lineage leukemia cell lines. The two MLL-rearranged cell lines were MV4;11 and Molm14; whereas the two non-MLL-rearranged (control) cell lines were Molt4 and Kasumi. We observed anticipated in vitro activity for compound 2 in these four leukemia cell lines; results illustrating that Dot1L inhibition can trigger cancer cell death. In addition, we also tested 2 in a new leukemia cell line known as KO99L; KO99L cells have been shown to over express the membrane amino acid transporter known as L-amino acid type 1 (LAT1). Compound 2 was also observed to decrease cell viability in the KO99L cell; albeit at higher concentrations as compared to the MLL-rearranged cell lines. In addition to in vitro experiments, we also performed in vivo experiments in Sprague-Dawley rats. Intravenous (i.e. orbital sinus dosing) experiments were performed at two different doses (i.e. 1.0 and 2.0 mg/kg). These rat Pharmacokinetic (PK) results indicate that compound 2 has a slow distribution phase, followed by an extended terminal half-life (i.e. 11.2 ± 3.1 h). Furthermore, tissue distribution experiments demonstrate that 2 predominately distributes to kidney, blood and liver, and to a limited extend, was detectable in brain.
1. Introduction

Histone methyl-transferase Dot1L methylates histone 3 on lysine 79 (H3K79) and can methylate (e.g. mono-, di- and tri-) this residue in yeast and eukaryotes (Ng et al., 2002; Van Leeuwen et al., 2002; Jones et al., 2008). Genetically modified mice illustrate that Dot1L is a specific H3K79 methyltransferase, with complete disappearance of all methylation states upon deletion of the enzyme (Jones et al., 2008). To our knowledge, there is no known H3K79 demethylase (Nguyen et al., 2011a).

Compared to most other lysine histone methyl-transferases (KMTs), Dot1L has various structurally distinct features. Most KMTs have a conserved active site with a SET domain (Su(var)3-9), Enhancer of zeste and Trithorax. In contrast, the Dot1L active site structurally resembles protein arginine methyl-transferases (PRMTs) (Dlakic, 2001; Min et al., 2003; Sawada et al., 2004). In yeast, Dot1’s primary function is to inhibit telomeric silencing (Dot1 = “Disruptor Of Telomeric silencing-1”) (Ng et al., 2002; Park et al., 2002; Sawada et al., 2004). Ninety percent of the yeast genome gets methylated at H3K79, excluding the telomers which are silenced by a complex consisting of Sir 2, 3 and 4. Whereas, the mammalian system has only about 10% of the genome associated with H3K79 methylated histones (Jones et al., 2008).

Genome-wide chromatin immuno-precipitation (ChIP) reveals that H3K79 methylations are confined to actively transcribed genes (Steger et al., 2008) and correlates with gene expression levels (Bernt et al., 2011). However, Dot1L and H3K79 methylation and their role(s) to regulate gene expression have not been fully elucidated. Dot1L has been reported to form a complex with several proteins that modulate transcriptional elongation (Bitoun et al., 2007; Mueller et al, 2007 and 2009).

Additionally, Dot1L may inhibit silencing by preventing H3K27 methylation via PRC2 (Onder et al., 2012) or de-acetylation and methylation of H3K79. The mammalian homologue of yeast Sir2 is the H3K9 deacetylase SIRT1; the de-acetylation and methylation of H3K79, as well as trimethylation of H3K27, are associated with silencing.

The first indication that Dot1L may play an important role in cancer came from a report by Okada et al. (Okada et al., 2005) who demonstrated that Dot1L gets recruited by leukemogenic MLL-AF10 fusion. Over the following years, it has been shown that multiple fusions of the Mixed Lineage Leukemia (MLL) gene are dependent on Dot1L as a downstream effector of leukemic transformation. These include MLL-AF4 (Bernt et al., 2011; Daigle et al., 2011), MLL-AF6 (Deshpande et al., 2013), MLL-AF9 (Chang et al., 2010; Jo et al., 2011; Nguyen et al. 2011c,d), MLL-AF10 (Chen et al., 2013), MLL-ENL (Daigle et al, 2011), and possibly MLL-AFX (Chang et al., 2010; Nguyen et al., 2011a,b). Furthermore, MLL-partial tandem duplications (MLL-PTD) may require functional Dot1L. Finally, leukemia triggered by the Calm-AF10 fusion protein are Dot1L dependent and required to achieve the leukemic transformation (Min et al., 2003). In addition to Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML), Dot1L may play a role in prostate cancer; Dot1l has been reported to directly methylate the androgen receptor, leading to enhanced androgen-receptor-mediated transcriptional activation and proliferation in prostate cancer cells (Yang et al., 2013). Dot1L deletion can be tolerated by embryonic stem (ES) cells, and Dot1L/- mouse embryos appear to develop normally until day E8.5. A Dot1L/- phenotype then emerges with the onset of vascular and blood development (Jones et al., 2008).
adult animals, Dot1L is essential for early hematopoiesis (Okada et al., 2005; Nguyen et al., 2011c) as well as cardio-myocyte viability and function (Nguyen et al., 2011d). Despite early suggestions that Dot1L might regulate wnt signaling (signal transduction pathways) in the gut (Mahmoudi et al, 2010), complete absence of Dot1L in the intestine has a minimal phenotype; Dot1L does not appear to play a role in regulating intestinal homeostasis (Ho et al., 2013). Deletion or inhibition of Dot1L increases the efficiency of the reprogramming of somatic cells to induced pluripotent cells (iPS). A small molecule inhibitor of Dot1L can replace myc (a regulator gene) as a reprogramming factor. Dot1L inhibition during reprogramming appears to facilitate the repression of differentiation associated programs by Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2) (Onder et al., 2012).

Due to the critical function of Dot1L in several highly relevant biological contexts, there has been an enormous interest and effort to develop small molecule Dot1L inhibitors. The first reported specific Dot1L inhibitor was EPZ-4777 1 (Figure 1) (Daigle et al., 2011). EPZ-4777 was shown to recapitulate all key aspects of genetic inactivation by Dot1L in an MLL-AF9 mouse leukemia model. A follow-up compound with improved pharmacokinetic (PK) properties, known as EPZ-5676 2, was the first histone methyl-transferase to enter into a human clinical trial (NCT01684150) (Daigle et al., 2013; Basavapathruni et al., 2014). The initial dose-escalation cohorts included patients with a variety of different hematologic malignancies; an expansion cohort is currently enrolling patients specifically with MLL-gene fusions or MLL-PTDs. Several other groups have reported specific Dot1L inhibitors as well (Anglin et al., 2012; Yu et al., 2012 and 2013).
In our ongoing efforts to evaluate potential synergistic and/or additive effects on decreased cancer cell survival regarding leukemia models (Rosilio et al., 2015), we desired to investigate the utility of a Dot1L inhibitor; consequently, we selected to use EPZ-5676 2 as a model Dot1L inhibitor. Therefore, in the current study, we: i) performed a concise chemical synthesis to produce a cis/trans mixture of compound 2; ii) conducted in vitro cell based experiments to demonstrate the inhibitory activity of 2; iii) we developed a liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry-mass spectrometry (LC/MS-MS) method which was employed to quantitate 2 from biological fluid and tissues (i.e. blood, brain, liver and kidney); and iv) we performed in vivo experiments (Sprague-Dawley rats, SD) to generate fundamental PK and tissue distribution information which will be used to guide our dosing regimens via our in vivo cancer animal model experiments.

2. Results and Discussion

EPZ-5676 2 (Figure 1) has been established to be an S-adenosyl methionine (SAM) competitive inhibitor of protein methyltransferase Dot1L (Ki = 80 pM); 2 exhibits >37,000-fold selectivity against all other PMTs tested and inhibits H3K79 methylation in tumors. While Dot1L inhibitor 2 may be procured commercially (e.g. S7062, Selleckchem) (http://www.selleckchem.com/products/epz-5676.html), our drug quantity requirements (i.e. grams) and financial constraints expanded over multiple research groups; consequently, we sought to synthesis our own drug substance. At the time when we started our work (i.e. 2013), some chemical synthesis information became publically available (Olhava et al., 2012); thereafter, additional information regarding alternative preparation of compound 2 was published via an additional patent application (Olhava et al., 2014). Consequently, we followed similar, but not identical synthetic pathways to prepare 2. In order to prepare compound 2, it required a convergent synthesis and various synthons (i.e. synthetic building blocks) were needed (Scheme 1). Therefore, we began with commercially available adenosine and subsequently protected the cis-diol to give ketal 3. Next, the hydroxy functionality within 3 was converted to a good leaving group and subjected to an SN2 reaction to afford azide 4. Azide 4 was then hydrogenated (reduced) using palladium on carbon to provide amine synthon 5. The second required synthon was prepared by starting with aromatic amine 6 and protecting the amine as N-acetyl 7. Compound 7 was subjected to nitration to give nitro-amine 9. Lastly, 9 was reduced to give synthon 10.
After preparing the two synthons (i.e., compound 5 and compound 10), we proceeded with the synthesis of Dot1L inhibitor compound 2 (Scheme 2). Compound 2 synthesis was initiated by the base catalyzed coupling of 4-pentanoic acid with benzyl bromide to give alkene ester 11. The cyclobutane functionality was incorporated by reacting 11 with trichloroacetyl chloride to produce dichloro 12 and subsequently reduced to cyclobutanone 13. Next, compound 13 and synthon 5 were coupled and reduced to afford amine 14. The secondary amine was converted to the tertiary amine 15 followed by reduction with removal of the benzyl protection group to afford carboxylic acid 16. The diamine synthon 10 was coupled to the carboxylic acid 16 to produce amide 17. Amide 17 was cyclized to give benzo[d]imidazole 18. Lastly, the ketal protection group was removed to afford a cis/trans (82-83:17-18%) mixture of the desired Dot1 inhibitor 2. In summary, we describe synthetic methods to prepare the cis/trans mixture of compound 2 in sixteen (16) chemical steps. Except for the use a benzyl ester 11 compared to the methyl ester, and that we did not separate the cis from the trans resulting in the 4.7:1 (cis/trans) mixture, we essentially followed the same procedures as reported in a patent application (Olhava et al., 2012).
Once we had prepared Dot1L inhibitor 2, it was imperative to demonstrate that we could observe anticipated in vitro activity; consequently, we probed 2 using four different cell lines (dose range 0 – 3.0 μM; Figure 2) and monitored to demonstrate a dose-dependent decrease in H3K79me2 expression. These results illustrate that our cis/trans mixture of 2 exhibited concentration dependent inhibitory activity in prototypical cell lines. After confirming that 2 could influence H2K79me2 expression in a dose-dependent fashion in four different cells lines (Figure 2), we sought to investigate the dose-dependent effect on cell growth in two MLL-rearranged cell lines (MV4:11 and Molm14), and two non-MLL-rearranged (control) cell lines (i.e. Molt4 and Kasumi). Cells were incubated with either no drug (control) or 2 at 0.03, 0.10, 0.30, 1.0 and 3.0 μM (n=4) with cell grow monitored. As summarized in Figure 3A, inhibitor 2 produced a dose-dependent decrease in cell growth over 14 days in MLL-rearranged (MV4:11, Molm13) but not in the “non MLL-rearranged” cell lines (Kasumi, Molt4, no MLL-rearrangement; data not shown).
Figure 2: MV4;11, MOLM13, MOLT4 and Kasumi cell lines dosed with Dot1L inhibitor 2 and Western blot analysis; (left) H3K27me3 protein expression, (right) H3 loading control.

Figure 3A: Molm13 (MLL-AF9) and MV4;11 (MLL-AF4) were exposed to Dot1L inhibitor 2 at the indicated concentration. Cell growth is shown: control over (no drug) compared to different drug concentrations (0.03 – 3.0 μM) at culture day 14. Data n = 4 ± SD, ns = not significant; * = p < 0.05, and ** = p<0.01. Molt4 and Kasumi cell lines did not display altered cell growth and are thus not presented.

These results are consistent with previously reported results which demonstrated dose dependent cell growth impediment with authentic Dot1L inhibitors (Bernt et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2015); the growth reduction was mainly attributed to a reduction in the fraction of cycling cells (Figure 3B). MV4;11 cells showed a significant and dose-dependent increase in apoptosis, but was not observed in Molm13, or in the control cell lines (Figure 3C). To further probe the inhibitory activity of 2, we used KO99L cell line. KO99L is a new cell line derived from a T cell lymphoma (tPTEN/-) mouse model produced after the T-lymphocyte specific inactivation of the PTEN tumor suppressor gene (Rosilio et al. 2015). We have established that these KO99L cells possess elevated L-amino acid transporter 1 (LAT1) expression (Rosilio et al. 2015). LAT1 is an amino acid transporter responsible for transporting essential amino
acids (*i.e.* tyrosine, phenyl alanine, etc.) into cells. LAT1 displays selective expression in tumor cells and cancerous cells *in vivo* are strongly linked to LAT1 expression (Yanagida et al., 2001). Consequently, cancer cells with elevated LAT1 expression are more aggressive and more resistant as compared to lower LAT1 expressing cell lines. In contrast, LAT2 is associated with normal cells (Segawa et al., 1999).

**Figure 3B:** Cell cycle analysis using EdU staining for S-phase on day 14 of compound exposure. Molm13 (*MLL-AF9*) and MV4;11 (*MLL-AF4*) were exposed to Dot1L inhibitor 2 at the indicated concentration; n = 4 ± SD, ns = not significant; * = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01. Molt4 and Kasumi cell lines did not display altered cell growth (thus, those data are not shown).

**Figure 3C:** Annexin staining to evaluate apoptosis on day 14 of compound exposure. N=3 independent experiments, error bars: SD, *p<0.05, **p<0.01
KO99L cell viability was compared in the absence and presence of various concentrations of 2. As presented in Figure 4A and Figure 4B, cell viability was assessed by monitoring mitochondrial dehydrogenase activity and cell death (DAPI staining), respectively; the average half maximal effective concentration (EC\textsubscript{50}) was 24 μM and 38 μM for Figure 4A and 4B, respectively. Hence, 2 displayed dose dependent in vitro biological activity in this T cell lymphoma mouse cell model and presumable via its established Dot1L inhibitory properties. It is important to denote that the EC50’s are higher in cells which have elevated LAT1 expression (data not shown) and further illustrate that when cancer cells possess elevated amino acid transporter expression, that they are more resistant to prototypical drug therapy and that investigating multi-drug therapy approaches appear warranted.

**Figure 4 A:** tPTEN/-/ K099L cell line and 2 concentration dependent cell viability; n = 4 ± SD, * = p < 0.01; **B:** tPTEN/-/ K099L cell line and 2 concentration dependent cell metabolism; n = 4 ± SD, *= P < 0.01.

Upon completing the in vitro cell based work, we sought to perform in vitro drug metabolism studies; unfortunately, during that timeframe Basavapathruni et al. (Basavapathruni et al., 2014) published in vitro drug metabolism results. In rat, 2 displays low oral bioavailability with hepatic metabolism as a major route of elimination; compound 2 gets metabolized by rat liver to produce four main metabolites denoted as M\textsubscript{1}, M\textsubscript{3}, M\textsubscript{4} and M\textsubscript{6} (Basavapathruni et al., 2014). Metabolite M\textsubscript{1} and M\textsubscript{3} are via hydroxylation pathways (i.e. M + 16 amu), whereas M\textsubscript{4} was produced via N-dealkylation, and M\textsubscript{6} was proposed to be formed via N-oxidation pathway (Basavapathruni et al., 2014). While Basavapathruni et al. (Basavapathruni et al., 2014) also performed pharmacokinetic (PK) studies, we sought to perform our own animal experiments using our produced cis/trans mixture with the goal to establish our own fundamental distribution and elimination data. Consequently, we conducted three sets of animal experiments: i) orbital sinus dosing (OSD) at a dose of 2.0 mg/kg (n = 4) with blood samples taken between 2 – to – 240 min; ii) OSD at a dose of 1.0 mg/kg (n = 4) with blood samples collected between 5 min – to – 32 hr post-
dose; and iii) OSD at a dose of 3.8 mg/kg with the animals being exterminated 1.0 hr post-dose with tissues harvested. To establish sound bioanalytical methods, we prepared LC/MS-MS standard curves using nine standard curve concentrations (n = 4) which represented concentrations between 0.8 – 2812 ng/mL. A standard curve was prepared for each: brain, blood, kidney, and liver. The limit of detection (LOD) values were ≤ 0.2 ng/mL (ng/g tissue) while the limit of quantitation (LOQ) values were approximately 0.3 ng/mL (blood) and 0.7 ng/g for tissue homogenates. Standard curve data were fitted to a 1/x^2 weighted linear regressions; brain, blood, kidney, and liver standard curves displayed correlation coefficients (R^2) of 0.9916, 0.9984, 0.9918, and 0.9949, respectively.

Conclusions

Olhave et al. (Olhave et al., 2014) have demonstrated that Dot1L inhibitor 2 has the ability to decrease tumor volume growth and therefore one method to treat leukemia in an animal model. In Figure 5A we present the results from our PK experiments – dosed at 2.0 mg/kg (OSD) – which illustrated a slow and extended distribution (0 – 90 min) of compound 2. This long distribution phase began to level off between 120 – 240 min post-dose. These data are consistent with an open multi-compartmental model rather than a situation where the OSD instantly distributes (i.e. equilibrates) between blood and tissues. The PK values computed from the 2.0 mg/kg dose data produced the following values: Tmax = 0.31 ± 0.18 h, Cmax = 1493 ± 149 ng/mL, elimination T1/2 2.9 ± 0.9 h, and AUC0-∞ = 3016 ± 253 h•ng/mL. While we collected and analyzed blood, not plasma, these PK data, namely T1/2 data, are consistent with results reported by Basavapathruni et al. (Basavapathruni et al., 2014). However, as these rat data did not provide sufficient post-dose time-point data, we felt that these data could not adequately establish the terminal elimination half-life. Consequently, a second set of OSD experiments was performed. As summarized in Figure 5B, we dosed 2 at 1.0 mg/kg and collected blood samples from 0.083 – 32 h post-dose. The data from these experiments (i.e. the last nine time points) provided sufficient data to compute a terminal elimination half-life. As per the 2.0 mg/kg dose (Figure 5A), the 1.0 mg/kg dose also displayed (Figure 5B) a long and slow distribution phase. The computed PK values from these data produced the following results: Tmax = 0.17 ± 0.10 h, Cmax = 717 ± 66 ng/mL, elimination T1/2 11.2 ± 3.1 h, and an AUC0-∞ = 349 ± 74 h•ng/mL. Hence, having sufficient time-point data helps to illustrate the long elimination T1/2 of 2 and that rat and dog (Basavapathruni et al., 2014), in our opinion, are not different but are really rather similar. Lastly, we performed an OSD experiment (3.8 mg/kg) – but terminated the experiment approximately one hour post-dose – and collected blood and tissues (brain, liver and kidneys). As summarized in Figure 5C, compound 2 was observed to distribute (equilibrate) between the blood compartment and the kidneys and liver. These tissue distribution data suggest that 2 can cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB), albeit the observed brain drug concentrations were marginal (23 ± 10 ng/g tissue). In summary, our LC/MS-MS methods and these PK and tissue distribution data provide vital ADME information which we will use to guide dosing (dose and frequency) in our leukemia and renal cancer in vivo cancer models. Having established the PK and tissue distribution data in rats for the potent LAT1 inhibitor known as JPH203 (Wempe et al., 2012), and now (herein) establishing our own in-house synthesis and
PK/PD information regarding 2, we can now rationally proceed with in-house in vivo experiments to test multi-drug therapy approaches to better combat cancer. These experiments will be conducted in the presence and absence of the potent LAT1 inhibitor(s) JPH203 with the aim to establish, or not, in vivo synergistic effects which are predicted via in vitro cell data (i.e. we want to probe and establish in vitro – in vivo correlations, IV-IV). While the Dot1L inhibitor 2 is an interesting compound, it is important to mention that 2 suffers from poor bioavailability, rapid clearance and thus requires the clinical implementation of a continuous intravenous administration. Hence, additional research in our laboratories regarding formulation development and multi-drug cocktails for use as novel drug therapy is warranted and a goal of current research in our laboratories. The chemical synthesis presented herein and the experimental results from the current studies demonstrate that the cis/trans mixture of 2 behaves very similar to pure cis; consequently, we believe that the cis/trans mixture can be used as a chemical probe to successfully perform non-clinical experiments.

**Figure 5 A:** OSD of 2 (2.0 mg/kg), blood versus time (5 – 240 min), n = 4 ± SD; **B:** OSD of 2 (1.0 mg/kg), blood versus time (0.083 – 32 h), n = 4 ± SD

**Figure 5C.** OSD of 2 (3.8 mg/kg), 1.0 h post-dose, blood and tissue concentrations, n = 4 ± SD
Materials and Methods

Acetic acid, acetic anhydride, anhydrous acetone, acetonitrile (ACN), alumina, ammonium chloride, ammonium acetate, diethyl ether, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), 1,2-dimethoxyethane, 1,4-dioxane, ethyl acetate (EtOAc), formic acid, hexanes, hydrochloric acid (HCl), methanol (MeOH), methylene chloride (DCM), nitric acid, potassium carbonate (K2CO3), potassium hydroxide (KOH), sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO3), sodium chloride (NaCl), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), anhydrous sodium sulfate (Na2SO4), p-toluene-sulfonic acid monohydrate (TsOH H2O), triethyl amine (TEA), HPLC grade water (H2O), and zinc were purchased from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). Celite, deuterated chloroform (CDCl3), deuterated dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO-d6), 4-pentanoic acid, benzyl bromide, tetrabutylammonium iodide (TBAI), zinc-copper (Zn-Cu) couple, trichloroacetyl chloride (TCA), Ti(iPrO)4, sodium cyano-borohydride (NaCNBH3), 2-iodopropane, 10% Pd on Carbon (Pd/C), 4-tert-butyl-2-nitroaniline, stannous chloride (SnCl2), sodium cyanide (NaCN), sodium chloride (NaCl), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), anhydrous potassium carbonate (K2CO3), sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO3), methanol (MeOH), formic acid, hexanes, 1,2-dimethoxyethane, and ethyl acetate (EtOAc) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Company (St. Louis, MO). Nitrogen gas and hydrogen gas were procured from AirGas® (Denver, CO). Ethanol was purchased from Decon Laboratories, Inc. (King of Prussia, PA). Reactions were monitored via silica gel IB2-F thin layer chromatography (TLC) plates from J.T. Baker (Phillipsburg, NJ). Silica Gel 60 Å 40-63 μm was purchased from Sorbent Technologies (Norcross, GA). Control tissues – male rat whole blood (K2 EDTA), livers, kidneys, and brains – were purchased from Bioreclamation LLC (Westbury, NY). The NMR spectra were recorded using a 400 MHz Bruker NMR, Avance III 400. The chemical shifts are reported in ppm. An Applied Biosystems Sciex 4000 (Applied Biosystems; Foster City, CA) equipped with a Shimadzu HPLC (Shimadzu Scientific Instruments, Inc.; Columbia, MD) and Leap auto-sampler (LEAP Technologies; Carrboro, NC) was used to implement the BioAnalytical PharmacoKinetic (BAPK) analysis.

Chemical Synthesis

Preparation of 9-((3aR,4R,6R,6aR)-2,2-dimethyltetrahydrofuro[3,4-d][1,3]dioxol-4-yl)-9H-purin-6-amine (5; Scheme 1)

((3aR,4R,6R,6aR)-6-(6-amino-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,2-dimethyltetrahydrofuro[3,4-d][1,3]dioxol-4-yl) methanol 3: Adenosine (10.0 g, 37.4 mmol) was suspended in acetone (2.0 L) followed by the addition of TsOH monohydrate (71.2 g, 374 mmol). Next, the reaction mixture was stirred under a N2 atmosphere (nitrogen balloon); the solution turned yellow upon dissolving. After stirring (12 h), saturated ice cold NaHCO3aq. (500 mL) was added with stirring over 20 min. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and resulting solid stirred in acetone (0.5 L; 18 h) and Büchner filtered. The solvent was evaporated and the crude product was purified by silica gel (SiO2) column chromatography (dichloromethane/methanol 10:1). The desired product fractions were combined, concentrated and dried under vacuum to afford (3; 6.01 g; 52% yield). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 8.32 (s, 1H), 7.83 (s, 1H), 6.56–6.49 (m, 1H), 5.88–5.72 (m, 3H), 5.22–5.18 (m, 1H), 5.13–5.10 (m, 1H), 4.54 (br s, 1H), 4.00–3.95 (m, 1H), 3.83–3.75 (m, 1H), 1.65 (s, 3H) and 1.37 (s, 3H) ppm.

9-((3aR,4R,6R,6aR)-6-(acidomethyl)-2,2-dimethyltetrahydrofuro[3,4-d][1,3]dioxol-4-yl)-9H-purin-6-amine 4: To a stirred solution of 1,4-dioxane (100 mL), 2’,3’-O-isopropylidenedenosine (3, 10.0 g, 32.5 mmol) was added; next DPPA (14.0 mL,
65.1 mmol) and DBU (14.6 mL, 97.7 mmol) were added at room temperature under N2. The solution was stirred (16 h) and NaN3 (10.6 g, 163 mmol) and 15-crown-5 (65.0 µL, 0.330 mmol) were added. The mixture was heated (reflux; 4 h), allowed to cool to ambient temperature, and solids removed via Büchner filtration. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified via column chromatography (ethyl acetate/ methanol 19:1, SiO2). After drying under high vacuum, it produced colorless solid (4; 8.20 g; 76% yield). \(^1\)H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): \(\delta\) 8.36 (s, 1H), 7.91 (s, 1H), 6.12–6.09 (m, 1H), 5.58 (br s, 2H), 5.48–5.44 (m, 1H), 5.08–5.04 (m, 1H), 4.40–4.35 (m, 1H), 3.63–3.53 (m, 2H), 1.62 (s, 3H) and 1.39 (s, 3H) ppm.

9-((3aR,4R,6R,6aR)-6-(aminomethyl)-2,2-dimethyltetrahydrofurano[3,4-d][1,3]dioxol-4-yl)-9H-purin-6-amine 5. Into a Parr container, compound (4, 8.00 g, 24.1 mmol) was dissolved in EtOH (250 mL) and 10 % Pd/C (800 mg) added. The reaction mixture was placed under H2 (3 bar) and shaken at RT (24 h). The mixture was filtered through celite and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure and vacuum-line dried to afford off-white solid (6.80 g, 92 % yield). \(^1\)H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO d6): \(\delta\) 8.34 (s, 1H), 8.13 (s, 1H), 7.28 (br s, 2H), 6.07–6.02 (m, 1H), 5.44–5.38 (m, 1H), 4.98–4.92 (m, 1H), 4.10–4.03 (m, 1H), 2.72–2.61 (m, 2H), 1.57 (s, 3H) and 1.30 (s, 3H) ppm.

\(N\)-(4-(tert-butyl)phenyl)acetamide 7: To an ice-cold solution of 4-tert-butylaniline (6, 5.00 g, 33.5 mmol) in DCM (25 mL) was slowly added acetic anhydride (3.5 mL, 37.1 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred (30 min) to produce colorless solid product under air, during which colorless plates formed. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo and hexane added to further generate precipitate which was collected by Büchner filtration and washed with hexanes, to give colorless plates of (5; 6.00 g, 97% yield). \(^1\)H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): \(\delta\) 7.43–7.38 (m, 2H), 7.35–7.31 (m, 2H), 2.16 (s, 3H) and 1.30 (s, 9H) ppm.

\(N\)-(4-(tert-butyl)-2-nitrophenyl)acetamide 8: To an ice-cold stirring mixture of acetic acid (9.5 mL, 164 mmol) and acetic anhydride (8.0 mL, 84 mmol) was added nitric acid (70%, 3.0 mL). After 5.0 min, (7, 1.0 g, 5.2 mmol) was added to this mixture and in portions. The reaction mixture was further stirred (ice-cold, 30 min). HPLC grade ice cold water was slowly added to quench the reaction, which was kept in an ice bath. The quenched reaction mixture was subjected to further addition of water to produce a yellow precipitate. The precipitate was collected via Büchner filtration, washed with cold water and dried to give yellow solid (8, 900 mg, 73% yield). \(^1\)H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): \(\delta\) 10.3–10.1 (br s, 1H), 8.66–8.61 (m, 1H), 8.19–8.16 (m, 1H), 7.70–7.65 (m, 1H), 2.28 (s, 3H) and 1.34 (s, 9H) ppm.

4-(tert-butyl)-2-nitroaniline 9: To an ethanolic (5.0 mL) solution of (8, 1.00 g, 4.24 mmol) was added KOH pellets (258 mg, 4.67 mmol) and heated to reflux (30 min). Next, the mixture was poured into ice-cold water (150 mL). The resulting precipitate was Büchner filtered, washed with cold water, and dried to give orange solid (9; 750 mg, 91% yield). \(^1\)H-NMR (400 MHz, CDC13): \(\delta\) 8.11–8.06 (m, 1H), 7.46–7.39 (m, 1H), 7.27–7.16 (m, 1H), 2.72–2.61 (m, 2H), 1.57 (s, 3H) and 1.39 (s, 9H) ppm.

\(4\)-(tert-butyl)benzene-1,2-diamine 10: To an ethanolic solution (10 mL) of 4-tert-butyl-2-nitroaniline (9; 100 mg, 0.51 mmol), conc. HCl (1.0 mL) was added followed by stannous chloride dihydrate (697 mg, 3.08 mmol). The reaction was stirred under N2 (60 ºC, 18 h). Water was then added and the mixture poured into a stirring aqueous solution of NaOH (3.0 M), resulting in the formation of a white precipitate. Saturated NaHCO3 aq. solution was added and pH adjusted to ~8. DCM (50 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred (5 min) and the
white precipitate collected by filtration. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered and concentrated to give (10, 60 mg, 71% yield) as a white solid. Note: the product is unstable in air and decomposes to a brown solid over days (decomposition occurs much faster in solution); hence we recommend that compound 10 be prepared immediately prior to use. 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.40-7.11 (m, 2H), 2.65-2.43 (m, 3H) and 2.43-2.26 (m, 9H) ppm.

Preparation of EPZ-5676 2; (Scheme 2)

Benzyl pent-4-enolate 11: Benzyl bromide (14.2 mL, 120 mmol) was added to a solution of 4-pentanone acid (10.3 mL, 99.9 mmol) in dry acetone (200 mL) containing potassium carbonate (69.0 g, 499 mmol) and tetrabutylammonium iodide (3.69 g, 9.99 mmol). Upon addition and stirring (15 h), the reaction mixture was Büchner filtered and filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure. This material was then dissolved in ethyl acetate (100 mL), washed with 2.0 M HCl aq (60 mL), sat. NaHCO3 aq (50 mL), brine (50 mL) and then dried over anhydrous Na2SO4. The material was then purified by SiO2 column chromatography (MeOH/DCM) to give 11 (95% yield) as a colorless oil. 1H-NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz): δ 7.40-7.32 (m, 5H), 5.86-5.77 (m, 1H), 5.13 (s, 2H), 5.09-4.98 (m, 2H), 2.49-2.49 (m, 2H) and 2.43-2.37 (m, 2H) ppm.

Benzyl 3-(3-(2,2-dichloro-3-oxocyclobutyl)propanoate 12: A mixture of benzyl pent-4-enolate (11; 5.00 g, 26.3 mmol) and Zn-Cu couple (4.3 mg, 67 mmol) in Et2O (100 mL) and 1,2-dimethoxyethane (10 mL) treated drop-wise with trichloroacetyl chloride (7.5 mL, 67 mmol). The reaction mixture was capped and stirred at RT (3 days). The reddish heterogeneous reaction mixture was filtered through a Celite pad with the pad being washed with EtOAc, followed by water and sat. NaHCO3 aq. The organic phase was then concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by SiO2 silica gel column chromatography (hexanes-DCM) to give 12 (6.30 g, 80% yield) as a yellow liquid. 1H-NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz): δ 7.37-7.30 (m, 5H), 5.10 (s, 2H), 3.18-3.10 (m, 1H), 2.73-2.65 (m, 1H), 2.43-2.35 (m, 2H), 2.34-2.28 (m, 1H) and 1.80-1.70 (m, 2H) ppm.

Benzyl 3-(3-oxocyclobutyl)propanoate 13: To a stirred solution of (12; 13.5 g, 44.8 mmol) in MeOH (200 mL) was added ammonium chloride (12.0 g, 224 mmol) and zinc powder (14.7 g, 224 mmol). The reaction mixture was refluxed (3.0 h). After cooling to ambient temperature, the mixture was filtered through a plug of Celite and washed with EtOAc. The filtrate was then washed with sat. NaHCO3 aq., mixed and the organic phase concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was then purified via SiO2 column chromatography eluting with hexanes-DCM to give 13 (6.20 g, 60% yield) as a liquid. 1H-NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz): δ 7.37-7.34 (m, 5H), 5.17 (s, 2H), 3.36-3.29 (m, 1H), 3.04-2.92 (m, 2H), 2.63-2.49 (m, 3H), 2.26-2.14 (m, 2H) and 2.08-2.01 (m, 1H) ppm.

Benzyl 3-(3-(((3aR,4R,6R,6aR)-2,2-dimethyltetrahydrofuro[3,4-d][1,3]dioxol-4-yl)methyl)amino)cyclobutyl)propanoate 14: A methanolic solution (30 mL) containing (5, 3.82 g, 12.5 mmol), benzyl 3-(3-oxocyclobutyl)propanoate (13, 2.90 g, 12.5 mmol), and Ti(iPrO)4 (3.55 g, 12.5 mmol) was stirred (45 °C for 2.0 h) and then NaCNBH3 (1.57 g, 25.0 mmol) added in portions. The reaction was stirred overnight at RT and then quenched with sat. NaHCO3 aq. (25 mL), and extracted with DCM (100 mL × 3). The organic phase was then filtered and concentrated to dryness. The residue was then purified by SiO2 column (DCM:MeOH = 10:1) to afford diastereoisomers, cis/trans (17-
18:82-83%) mixture (14, 2.20 g, yield 34%). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDC13): δ 8.36–8.31 (m, 1H), 7.92–7.88 (m, 1H), 7.39–7.28 (m, 5H), 6.02–5.98 (m, 1H), 5.77–5.65 (m, 2H), 5.52–5.45 (m, 1H), 5.12–5.06 (m, 2H), 5.04–4.96 (m, 1H), 4.37–4.29 (m, 1H), 3.34–3.24 (m, 0.35H), 3.08–2.96 (m, 1.65H), 2.86–2.69 (m, 2H), 2.36–2.06 (m, 4H), 1.92–1.56 (m, 8H), 1.36 (s, 3H) and 1.25–1.08 (m, 1H) ppm.

Benzyl 3-[[3-(3-amino-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,2-dimethyltetrahydrofuro[3,4-d][1,3]dioxol-4-yl)methyl](isopropyl)amino)cyclobutyl propanoate 15: To (14, 2.10 g, 4.02 mmol) in CH3CN (15 mL) was added 2-iodopropane (4.1 g, 24 mmol) and anhydrous K2CO3 (1.11 g). The reaction was heated (95 °C; 14 h) in a sealed tube. The reaction mixture was cooled to ambient temperature, filtered, and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to give (15, 1.8 g, Yield 87%) as a diastereomeric (cis/trans) mixture. 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDC13): δ 8.37–8.32 (m, 1H), 7.92–7.84 (m, 1H), 7.40–7.28 (m, 5H), 6.07–5.98 (m, 1H), 5.76 (br s, 2H), 5.53–5.48 (m, 1H), 5.13–5.06 (m, 1H), 5.02–4.94 (m, 1H), 4.35–4.22 (m, 1H), 3.42–3.32 (m, 0.35H), 3.06–2.88 (m, 1.65H), 2.77–2.62 (m, 1H), 2.58–2.46 (m, 1H), 2.32–2.04 (m, 4H), 1.84–1.54 (m, 7H), 1.48–1.22 (m, 5H), 1.02–0.92 (m, 3H) and 0.82–0.72 (m, 3H) ppm.

3-(3-(((3aR,4R,6R,6aR)-6-(6-amino-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,2-dimethyltetrahydrofuro[3,4-d][1,3]dioxol-4-yl)methyl)(isopropyl)amino)cyclobutyl propanoic acid 16: Compound (15, 1.80 g, 3.19 mmol) was transferred to a Parr hydrogenation vessel and dissolved in MeOH (30 mL) followed by 10 % Pd/C (500 mg). The reaction mixture was placed under H2 (3 bar; 40 h). Afterwards, the reaction mixture was filtered through a plug of celite and the filtrate evaporated and purified by SiO2 column chromatography (1:3:16 = NH4OH:MeOH:DCM) to give (16, 600 mg, 40% yield) as a diastereomeric mixture. 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDC13): δ 8.32–8.26 (m, 1H), 7.99–7.93 (m, 1H), 6.93–6.74 (m, 2H), 6.07–6.01 (m, 1H), 5.56–5.50 (m, 1H), 5.04–4.96 (m, 1H), 4.34–4.26 (m, 1H), 3.44–3.34 (m, 0.34H), 3.10–2.91 (m, 1.66H), 2.78–2.64 (m, 1H), 2.62–2.48 (m, 1H), 2.26–2.11 (m, 2H), 2.07–1.83 (m, 2H), 1.80–1.50 (m, 7H), 1.43–1.31 (m, 4H), 1.05–0.94 (m, 3H) and 0.93–0.83 (m, 3H) ppm.

N-(2-amino-(4-tert-butyl)phenyl)-3-(((3aR,4R,6R,6aR)-6-(6-amino-9H-purin-9-yl)-2,2-dimethyltetrahydrofuro[3,4-d][1,3]dioxol-4-yl)methyl)(isopropyl)amino)cyclobutyl propanamide 17: To a stirred solution of (16, 120 mg) in DCM (10 mL) was added (10, 82.1 mg), EDCI (95.6 mg), HOBT (67.6 mg) and TEA (200 mg) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at RT (14 h) and then concentrated under reduced pressure. Next, sat. NaHCO3aq. (10 mL) was added and the mixture extracted with DCM. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered and concentrated. The crude material was purified using neutral alumina column chromatography (DCM:MeOH = 97:3) to afford (17, 60 mg, 39% yield, cis/trans mixture). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDC13): δ 8.42–8.32 (m, 1H), 7.96–7.78 (m, 2H), 7.38–7.05 (m, 1H), 6.88–6.62 (m, 2H), 6.08–6.00 (m, 1H), 5.88–5.48 (m, 3H), 5.05–4.05 (m, 1H), 4.36–3.76 (m, 3H), 3.42–3.28 (m, 0.35H), 3.08–2.85 (m, 1.65H), 2.78–2.46 (m, 2H), 2.28–1.54 (m, 10H), 1.39 (s, 3H), 1.32–1.16 (m, 9H), 1.04–0.92 (m, 3H) and 0.90–0.78 (m, 3H) ppm.
was concentrated to dryness. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated to give (18, 50 mg, 83% yield; cis/trans mixture). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 10.70–10.45 (m, 0.64H), 9.92–9.71 (m, 0.36H), 8.46–8.33 (m, 1H), 7.99–7.89 (m, 1H), 7.77–7.56 (m, 1H), 7.50–7.35 (m, 1H), 7.33–7.26 (m, 1H), 6.11–6.03 (m, 1H), 5.77–5.54 (m, 3H), 5.07–4.97 (m, 1H), 4.31–4.24 (m, 1H), 3.38–3.28 (m, 0.36H), 3.07–2.85 (m, 1.64H), 2.76–2.46 (m, 2H), 2.08–1.51 (m, 10H), 1.44–1.34 (m, 12H), 1.28–1.06 (m, 2H) 1.00–0.92 (m, 3H) and 0.89–0.77 (m, 3H) ppm.

(2R,3R,4S,5R)-2-((6-amino-9H-purin-9-yl)-5-(((3-(2-(5-(tert-butyl)-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)ethyl)cyclobutyl)(isopropyl)amino)methyl)tetrahydrofuran-3,4-diol 2: A solution of (18, 55 mg, 0.09 mmol) in HCl/MeOH (0.5 M, 10 mL) was stirred at RT (14 h) and then concentrated under reduced pressure. Next, K2CO3 (50 mg) in water (0.5 mL) and MeOH (5.0 mL) were added and mixture stirred (2.0 h) at RT. The material was then concentrated and filtered with cold MeOH to give (2; cis/trans mixture, 40 mg, 79% yield) as a white solid. 1H-NMR (400 MHz, MeOD): δ 8.31–8.27 (m, 1H), 8.20–8.17 (m, 1H), 7.49–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.40–7.34 (m, 1H), 7.29–7.23 (m, 1H), 5.97–5.93 (m, 1H), 4.75–4.70 (m, 1H), 4.28–4.22 (m, 1H), 4.10–4.03 (m, 1H), 3.57–3.50 (m, 0.36H), 3.17–3.07 (m, 0.64H), 3.05–2.65 (m, 5H), 2.21–2.10 (m, 2H), 2.07–1.72 (m, 4H), 1.61–1.50 (m, 1H), 1.38–1.32 (m, 9H) 1.05–0.97 (m, 3H) and 0.96–0.89 (m, 3H) ppm. 

13C NMR (100 MHz, MeOD): δ 160.0, 155.9, 155.2, 155.1, 152.4, 149.2, 145.2, 140.3, 137.9, 136.2, 119.7, 119.3, 113.4, 109.9, 89.1, 83.7, 83.6, 73.3, 72.1, 72.1, 53.5, 52.5, 50.1, 49.9, 49.2, 48.9, 35.0, 35.0, 34.9, 34.2, 33.9, 32.5, 30.9, 28.2, 27.7, 26.9, 26.3, 17.9, 17.7, 17.0, 16.9 ppm. Large Scale example: A solution of imidazole (18, 1.60 g, 2.66 mmol) in HCl/MeOH (0.5 M, 40 mL) was stirred at rt (15 h) and then concentrated to dryness. K2CO3 (800 mg) in water (5 mL) and MeOH (15 mL) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred for another 2 h at room temperature. Next, the mixture was concentrated to dryness and filtered to give 2 (cis/trans mixture, 1.20 g, 80% yield) as an off white solid.

**In vitro Biological Activity**

The following human cell lines were obtained from American Type Culture collection: MV-4;11 (ATCC CRL-9591), Molt-4 (ATCC-1582). The following cell lines were purchased from the Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen (DSMZ, Germany): MOLM-14 (DMSZ ACC 554), KASUMI-1 (DMSZ ACC 220). All cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 media (Invitrogen) supplemented with heat-inactivated 10% fetal bovine serum, 2.0 mM L-glutamine, non-essential amino acids and 50 U/mL Penicillin/Streptomycin (all Gibco, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). All cells were cultured in the appropriate medium in a humidified incubator at 37 °C (5% CO2).

Cell growth and viability were followed by serial cell counts and trypan blue exclusion staining. Cells (50,000 well) were plated in the indicated concentrations of compound 2 at equal concentrations of solvent (DMSO, 0.04%). Cells were re-plated at 50,000 cells in fresh media with compound 2 every 2-4 d. Cell numbers were recorded, and the fold-expansion compared to DMSO control was calculated at the end, culture day 14.

For the annexin V apoptosis assay, cells exposed to Dot1L inhibitor 2 at the indicated drug concentration for 14 d were washed in PBS, re-suspended in Ca/HEPES buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4; 140 mM NaCl; 2.5 mM CaCl2) and incubated (30 min) with Annexin V-APC (Pharmingen, San Jose, CA). DAPI was added prior to analysis. Cell
cycle analysis was performed after EDU labeling for 30 min after being exposed to Dot1L inhibitor at the indicated drug concentration for 14 days using the Click-IT EdU staining kit from life-technologies (Thermo-Fisher, Grand Island, NY); manufacturer’s instructions were followed. Data was acquired on a 4-color Gallios flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter) and analyzed using Kaluza software.

\textit{tPTEN-/- cell line KO99L}: Pten-deficient mice (tPTEN-/-) were obtained by crossing mice carrying two Pten floxed alleles with proximal lck promoter-cre transgenic mice and subsequently characterized via PCR as previously described (Hagenbeek et al., 2004). Mice and derived tPTEN-/- cell line KO99L was established as previously described (Rosilio et al., 2015). The murine KO99L cell line was grown in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 20% Fetal Calf-Serum and penicillin (50 units/mL), streptomycin (50 mg/mL) and sodium pyruvate (1.0 mM) Cell cultures were maintained at 37°C under 5% CO2.

Measurement of KO99L cell metabolism (WST-1) and viability: KO99L cells (40,000 cells per 100 µL) were incubated with compound 2 in a 96-well plate format for 48 h (37°C). Ten microliters of WST-1 reagent was added to each well and the absorbance of the formazan product was measured (490 nm). Each assay was performed in quadruplicate. Cells (5.0 x 10^5 cells per 2.0 mL) were incubated in a 6-well plate with indicated concentration of 2, collected, washed twice with PBS and re-suspended with a staining solution containing DAPI (4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) (0.5 µg/mL) and immediately analyzed by flow cytometry (MacsQuant Analyser, Miltenyi Biotech SA, Paris, France). Hence, cell viability was assessed using a WST-1 assay (evaluates mitochondrial dehydrogenase activity), whereas survival was quantified through DAPI incorporation.

\textit{In vivo PK and tissue distribution experiments}

\textbf{LC/MS-MS methods:} Liquid chromatography employed an Agilent Technologies, Zorbax extended-C18 50 x 4.6 mm, 5 micron column set at 40 ºC with a flow-rate of 0.4 mL/min. The mobile phase consisted of A: 10 mM (NH4OAc), 0.1% formic acid in H2O, and B: 50:50 ACN:MeOH. The chromatography method used was 95% A for 0.5 min; ramped to 95% B at 7.0 min, held for 3.0 min, and then brought back to 95% A at 11.0 min and held for 1.5 min (12.5 min total run time). Compound (2) and deuterated GSK126-d7 used as an internal standard were observed via electro-spray ionization positive ion mode (ESI+) using the following conditions: i) an ion-spray voltage of 5500 V; ii) temperature, 450 ºC; iii) curtain gas (CUR; set at 10) and Collisionally Activated Dissociation (CAD; set at 12) gas were nitrogen; iv) Ion Source gas one (GS1) and two (GS2) were set at 30; v) entrance potential was set at 10 V; vi) quadruple one (Q1) and (Q3) were set on Unit resolution; vii) dwell time was set at 200 msec; and viii) declustering potential (DP), collision energy (CE), and collision cell exit potential (CXP) are voltages (V). Samples (10 µL) were analyzed by LC/MS-MS: Compound (2) 563.5 \rightarrow 255.3 m/z, DP (56), CE (61), CXP (16); GSK126-d7 IS 534.4 \rightarrow 375.3 m/z, DP = (86), CE (35), CXP (10). The supplementary materials section also includes MS/MS and LC/MS-MS examples of 2 and internal standard.

\textbf{Sprague-Dawley Rat tissue distribution and Pharmacokinetic Studies:} The \textit{in vivo} experiments were performed at East Tennessee State University – Quillen College of Medicine – in an AAALAC accredited facility. All procedures were reviewed and approved by the ETSU Committee on Animal Care; all research procedures adhered to the ‘Principles of Laboratory Animal Care’ (NIH publication #85-23, revised in 1985). Male Sprague-Dawley rats were purchased from Harlan World Headquarters (Indianapolis, Indiana,
USA), acclimated to the new surroundings (one week) and housed in groups of three at 22 ± 1 °C and 55 ± 15% humidity with 12 h dark light cycles. All animals had free access to water, but were fasted with access to water 14 ± 2 h prior to dosing in cages with bedding. Animals were dosed in the morning, 2-3 h after the start of a light cycle. Fasted animals (284 ± 21 g) were dosed via ophthalmic venous plexus (orbital sinus) using a 1.0 mL disposable syringe with a 27G needle. Ophthalmic venous plexus doses were prepared immediately prior to dosing as aqueous solutions containing 10% DMSO; the rats were anaesthetized with isoflurane and subsequently infused (300 μL over < 30 s) with corresponding drug solution via the ophthalmic venous plexus (orbital sinus). Using tail-vein collection (the anterior portion was transected, 2-3 mm), blood samples (125 μL) were collected using mini-capillary blood collection tubes containing EDTA di-potassium salt (SAFE-T-FILL®; RAM Scientific Inc., Yonkers, NY, USA). Animals administered via an orbital sinus dose had blood samples collected at time (OSD experiment one): 2, 5, 10, 15, 35, 48, 60 (1.0 h), 90, 120 (2.0 h), 180 (3.0 h), 210, and 240 min (4.0 h) post-dose; whereas, OSD experiment two: 5, 15, 30 min, and then at 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 24, 28 and 32 h post-dose. When the tissue distribution experiments were performed, animals were sacked (CO2) and blood, brains, kidneys, and livers were individually harvested and immediately frozen and stored (-80 ± 10 °C) until sample preparation and subsequent LC/MS-MS analysis. Control rat blood (K2 EDTA) and tissues (brains, kidneys and livers) were used to prepare standard curves from different biological matrices. Control and sample tissues were homogenized, for every 1.0 g tissue, 2.0 mL PBS (phosphate buffer saline; pH 7.4) was used. Standard curves (SC) were prepared by addition with thorough mixing of various aqueous drug solutions (50 μL) into control blood or control homogenate (950 μL); these were diluted serially to produce SC and QC (quality control) samples. These samples were immediately frozen and stored (-80 ± 10 °C), undergoing one freeze thaw cycle as per the actual samples, until sample preparation and subsequent LC/MS-MS analysis. To analyze, blood samples (tissues samples) were removed in sets from the freezer and allowed to thaw on the bench-top (15 – 20 min) until signs of being thaw were obvious upon visual inspection, but were still cold. The blood samples were vortex mixed (5 sec), the caps opened and extraction solution (250 μL) added, recapped, vortex mixed (5 s), sonicated in water bath (5 min), vortex mixed (5 s) again, and then centrifuged (10,000 rpm; 10 min) using an Eppendorf mini-spin centrifuge (Hamburg, Germany). The extraction solution was prepared by mixing water (100 mL) with MeOH:acetonitrile (1:1; 300 mL) and spiking with stock internal standard DMSO solution. The supernatants were transferred into individual wells of a 96-well plate, placed into the LEAP auto-sampler cool-stack (8.0 ± 0.1 °C) and immediately analyzed via LC/MS-MS. In the case of the tissues, homogenates were prepared as per the standard curves, on a 1.0 g to every 2.0 mL PBS ratio; complete tissues were homogenized and samples were collected in triplicates and extracted in a 1:2 sample:extraction solution format as previously described. The individual standard curves (blood, brain, kidney and liver) were used to determine the apparent drug concentrations from the biological samples.

Software and statistics
Pharmacokinetic (PK) data were computed using non-compartmental analysis model via linear trapezoidal rule via software program WinNonlin® (Phoenix Pharsight v6.3). Analyst 1.4.2 was used for LC/MS-MS data acquisition. Prism 4.02TM (GraphPad Software, Inc.; San Diego, CA) was used to graph and perform statistical analysis. Chemical structures were prepared using ChemBioDraw Ultra 12.0 (CambridgeSoft;
Cambridge, MA). The statistical differences in Figures 3A, 3B, 3C, 4A and 4B were compared using one-way analysis of variance followed by a Dunnett’s multiple comparison test; ns = p > 0.5; * = p < 0.5; ** = p < 0.01.
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S-Figure 1   LC/MS-MS of Dot1L inhibitor compound 2 and internal standard, GSK126-d7