

Published: March 31, 2023

Citation: Halder A, Sharma P, et al., 2023. The Genetic and Genomic Landscape of Human Reproductive Disorders: An Overview with Our Experience, Medical Research Archives, [online] 11(3). https://doi.org/10.18103/mra. v11i3.3731

Copyright: © 2023 European Society of Medicine. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. DOI

<u>https://doi.org/10.18103/mra.</u> <u>v11i3.3731</u>

ISSN: 2375-1924

REVIEW ARTICLE

The Genetic and Genomic Landscape of Human Reproductive Disorders: An Overview with Our Experience

Ashutosh Halder*, Priyal Sharma, Ranjana Rana, Amanpreet Kaur Kalsi, Manish Jain, Mona Sharma

Department of Reproductive Biology, AlIMS, New Delhi

* Email: <u>ashutoshhalder@gmail.com</u>

ABSTRACT

Genetics and genomics play a role in the causation of various human diseases. A large number of human reproductive disorders also arise as a result of genetic and genomic abnormalities. Reproductive disorders associated with predominantly genetics and genomic abnormalities are infertility, early pregnancy loss, congenital malformations, difference or disorder of sex development and reproductive cancers. The genetic etiology of human reproductive disorders is increasing with improved molecular biology techniques such as DNA microarray and next-generation sequencing.

Infertility is one of the significant areas of reproductive disorders where genetics/genomics plays a substantial role and may result from chromosomal, copy number variation, Yq & pseudo autosomal region microdeletion/microduplication, gene mutation (monogenic, oligogenic, polygenic), multifactorial, epigenetic, mitochondrial, etc. abnormalities. All idiopathic infertile couples should be screened for genetic disorders before assisted reproduction to prevent transmission, if any, in offspring. Pregnancy wastage in early pregnancy is very high (about 70%) and is mainly related to chromosome number, copy number variation, and some monogenic or epigenetic abnormalities. Therefore, all early pregnancy loss cases should also be tested for genetic causes. Congenital malformations are structural defects in embryo, fetus, or newborns and affect about 3% (major malformations) of all births. The malformations could be due to the abnormalities of chromosomes, copy number variation, monogenic, oligogenic, multifactorial, or environmental. Array CGH &/or NGS should be used as the first step to screen congenital malformations. Differences/disorder of sex development is a developmental defect in which the determination and/or differentiation of chromosomal, gonadal, or phenotypic/anatomic sex is abnormal. It is a common disorder and is primarily related to genetic abnormalities. Therefore, a precise diagnosis, mainly through an array CGH and/or NGS, is crucial for the proper management to prevent future psychosexual problems and another birth with the disorder. Cancer is a genomic disorder characterized by genomic instability (due to a defect in DNA repair mechanism), uncontrolled replication (due to lack of response to inhibitory factors/loss of contact inhibition), neo-angiogenesis, invasion and metastasis. All cancer cases should be investigated for genomic markers (both hereditary and somatic) for precise diagnosis, prognosis, and genetic counseling. In this review, we will try to evaluate the role of genetics and genomics in the above-mentioned reproductive disorders, along with genetic & genomic techniques used and reproductive counseling in addition to our experiences.

Keywords: Reproductive Disorders, Reproductive Technologies, Genetics & Genomics, Array Comparative Genomic Hybridization, Whole Exome Sequencing, Reproductive Genetic Counselling

Introduction

Reproductive disorders are diseases of the reproductive system. Genetics and genomics are a branch of medicine dealing with the structure, function, evolution, mapping, and alterations of genes and genomes. Genetics is the study of genes, variations, and heredity. A gene is a portion of DNA that contains instructions for synthesizing proteins that make the individual function. Gregor Mendel was the first to study genetics scientifically in the 19th century. A genome is a complete set of cell DNA. The genome was derived from the German word "Genom" and credited to Hans Winkler in 1920.¹ Tom Roderick invented the term genomics in 1986.²

Genetics is the study of a specific gene and its role in inheritance in physiology and pathology. Genes regulate the synthesis of messenger RNA and, thus, proteins. Genomics is the deciphering and analysis of genomes, both structural and functional. The proteins comprise body structures and mediate signals between cells, thus, biological functions. To apply for genomics and genetics role in human reproduction and its disorders, a new branch of medicine has appeared as Human Reproductive Genetics & Genomics. deals lt. with genetics/genomics of the human reproductive process and its disorders and its associations with epigenetics and mitochondrial DNA. Genetics and epigenetics are becoming more critical with reproduction following the advent of non-invasive prenatal as well as preimplantation tests besides in vitro fertilization, intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), and in vitro maturation/culture of gametes as these procedures lead to more genetic/ epigenetic abnormality in offspring since it bypasses natural protective mechanisms.

This overview will summarize genetic/genomic technological advances and their use in evaluating reproductive disorders. We will then review the literature and discuss our work briefly on the relationship between genetics/genomics and reproductive disorders causing infertility, early pregnancy losses (EPL), congenital malformation, differences/disorders of sex development (DSD), and reproductive cancers. Reproductive genetic counseling is also briefed in the review.

Genetics and Genomics

Genetics and Genomics play essential roles in the causation of human disease. It plays a role in infertility, EPL, malformation, DSD and cancer. It affects fertility by influencing hormonal homeostasis, gametogenesis, and gamete quality & quantity. The genetic and genomic basis of infertility can result from chromosomal abnormalities, Yq copy number variations (CNVs),

microdeletion/ microduplication i.e., or pseudoautosomal region (PAR) CNVs, other autosomal & sex chromosome CNVs, monogenic, oligogenic, polygenic, multifactorial, mitochondrial and epigenetic abnormalities. With the advent of improved molecular biology techniques, the genetic and genomic basis of the disease is coming up with an increasing number of disorders. This is also observed with reproductive disorders and will soon change previous estimates of genetic contribution because many genes are expressed in the reproductive system. It is essential to know the underlying genetic etiology as this information can be utilized for identifying abnormality before disease onset, preventing disease, planning treatment at/before the onset of disease, and predicting the offspring's health. The genome can be studied in various ways, either through cell culture (conventional cytogenetics) or molecular (molecular cytogenetics) methods. These are karyotyping, comparative genomic hybridization, DNA microarray (array comparative genomic hybridization/aCGH, SNP microarray), whole genome sequencing (WGS)/ whole exome sequencing (WES)/ targeted gene panel sequencing primarily next-generation by sequencing (NGS), etc.

Advances in genetics and genomics are mainly due to innovations in molecular biology, instrumentation technology, computation, and bioinformatics.^{3,4} Genetics and Genomics is now new scientific discipline. The present form of genetics and genomics has matured into a multidisciplinary science based heavily on molecular biology and bioinformatics. The resolution has improved to the extent of a single base pair and sensitivity by many importantly, folds. Most present genomic technological advances have been freed from the dependence on cell culture and, most importantly, freed from subjectiveness. But most importantly, now complete automation without human interference is possible. The current wave is now moving to DNA chips and/or sequencing for the identification of sub-microscopic chromosome alterations as well as variant analysis. These molecular techniques are now providing far more information at higher resolution. These newer techniques can be applied throughout the cell cycle in non-dividing, gamete, dead, and fixed cells.⁵⁻⁷ High sensitivity, specificity, speed, and the ability to provide information even on a single cell have made these newer molecular approaches a powerful tool in modern reproductive genetics and genomics.⁸ Genome approaches used widely are conventional cytogenetics, SKY FISH/M FISH, comparative genomic hybridization (CGH), PRINS (primed in-situ synthesis/labeling), array CGH (aCGH)/SNP microarray, next generation

sequencing (NGS), etc. 3-5,9,10 CGH is a molecular technique that allows comprehensive analysis of all chromosomal imbalances (relative copy number as gains or losses) in the entire genome at cytogenetic resolution by single test without metaphase preparation & cell culture of the test samples.^{5,11} The strategy of CGH is based on the co-hybridization of differentially labeled whole genomic tests and control DNA in equal ratios on normal metaphase spread. If the test DNA contains additional copies of DNA material, hybridization will reveal the higher signal intensity of test DNA at the corresponding target region. Similarly, deletion/monosomy will give rise to lower signal intensities. CGH, although simple and cheap to perform, is labor intensive and challenging as karyotyping of DAPI banded chromosomes is highly subjective, hence, getting replaced by array CGH. Array CGH is carried out on the principle of CGH with some modifications, i.e., co-hybridization on DNA spots/ arrays rather than metaphase chromosomes. Following the hybridization of differentially labeled tests and reference genomic DNA to the target sequences on the microarray, the slide is scanned to measure fluorescence intensities at each target on the array. The normalized fluorescent ratio for the test and reference DNA is then plotted against the position of the sequence along the chromosomes. Gains or losses across the genome are shown by values higher or lower than the standard 1:1 ratio. The resolution depends on the size, number of targets, and position of targets on the genome. This procedure is rapid (24-36 hours), provides a complete genome view at a very high resolution, and does not depend on live cells or cell cultures. It detects most microscopic and sub-microscopic chromosomal changes from any DNA source in a single experiment without prior knowledge of abnormalities.¹² The aCGH is recommended by several authorities as the first line of test in evaluating multiple malformations, developmental/mental retardation and prenatal diagnosis. Another vital application of aCGH is in the field of cancer. SNP microarray is a further refinement of aCGH to detect mosaicism, parental origin, zygosity, translocation, and better resolution (<100 kb). NGS technology utilizes amplified or single-molecule templates for sequencing massive parallel fashion. This increases throughput by several orders of magnitude. There are three primary levels of sequencing analysis: targeted genes panel, whole exome, and whole genome. It detects almost all principal types of genome alterations, including nucleotide substitutions, small insertions, deletions, copy number alterations, parental inheritance, mosaicism, aneuploidy, chromosomal rearrangements, including balanced translocation and microbial/foreign DNA

integration. This method is becoming a severe challenge for all other genomic & conventional methods as this does not require cell culture, nonsubjective nature of interpretation, and provides more information quickly besides automation. Microarray/NGS-based genetics and genomics have become the primary choice to directly analyze all chromosomes/ genes in one action.

Copy Number Variations

Copy number variations (CNVs) are structural variations of DNA segments, spreading widely in the genome. Chromosomal rearrangements can result in deletions or duplications. Many rearrangements occur in specific genome regions, suggesting specific mechanisms, although no genome region is exempted. The CNVs are produced from abnormal nonallelic (another chromosome) homologous recombination (NAHR) in areas with high homology within the genome.¹³ NAHR induces an unequal crossing over, leading to a duplication of a DNA segment in one and a deletion of a DNA segment in the other chromosome, thus producing CNVs. Meiotic nondisjunction events in carriers of balanced translocations may also lead to a disturbance of gene dosage (CNVs) in offspring. It refers to the genetic events involving the number of copies of a particular gene or DNA segment of an individual. CNVs are sub-microscopic DNA segments (1 kb or more) of duplications and deletions of the genome and linked with disease or just variations of the genome.14-16 Other studies defined a CNV as a DNA segment from 50 bp to several Mb.¹⁷ CNVs can cause overt disease (pathogenic CNVs), predispose to disease, or have no effect (benign CNVs). CNVs sit at the interface between microscopically visible rearrangements and point mutations and are increasingly being assessed using microarray methods. Various studies have shown that CNVs may affect as low as 5% to as high as 30% of the human genome.^{18,19}

There are various techniques for detecting CNVs. These are array CGH (aCGH), SNP microarray, or whole genome sequencing (WGS) besides MLPA (multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification) & FISH (fluorescence in situ hybridization) techniques. Small CNVs are frequently benign, but large (>250 kb) CNVs are frequently associated with pathological conditions. CNVs are implicated in many human disorders, such as cancer, and to a lesser extent, with multiple malformations, developmental disorders, neuropsychiatric disorders, reproductive disorders, etc. It affects gene function mainly through dosage effects. CNVs alter gene function through fusion, disruption, and long-range regulation effects.²⁰ CNVs can be used

as diagnostic/prognostic biomarkers for various diseases such as cancer, cardiovascular disease, neuropsychiatric (especially 22a11.2 CNV in autism, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, and schizophrenia), or reproductive disorders.^{21,22} The functional consequences of some of the CNVs (e.g., 15q11-13) depend on the parental origin of the deletion. If the deletion comes from the mother, the child will suffer from Angelman syndrome. In contrast, if the deletion is inherited from the father, the child will suffer from Prader-Willi syndrome, as genes are differentially expressed depending on parental origin.²³ CNV promotes tumorigenesis and chemotherapy resistance also. CNVs are crucial in the causation of inter-individual differences, i.e., genetic diversity and adaptive evolution.²⁴

Genomic disorders

Genomic disorders are diseases resulting from genomic rearrangements, such as deletions, duplications, insertions, inversions, and large tandem repeats. The human genome is highly dynamic and shows large-scale variations due to genomic rearrangements. The mechanisms which by rearrangements convey phenotypes (evolution, diversity, traits, susceptibility, behavior, or diseases such as microdeletion/microduplication syndrome, schizophrenia, autism, cancer, etc) are diverse and include gene dosage, gene disruption, gene fusion, position effects, mutations, etc. Rearrangements introduce variation into our genome and serve an evolutionary function. Genomic rearrangements may cause cancers, and produce complex traits such as behavior or represent benign polymorphic changes. Microarray & NGS are used to identify genomic disorders. In some cases, the individual can be found to have multiple genetic abnormalities, including modifiers. There is a concept of multiple genetic abnormalities in which several genetic disorders are identified, such as chromosomal and/or CNVs and/or gene variants, in particular with cancers, multiple malformations, or infertility.

Reproductive genetics and genomics

Genetics and genomics have a role in every discipline of medicine. The main contribution of genetics and genomics is to predict and prevent a disorder, thus decreasing its burden right from the planning of reproduction. A new branch called Reproductive Genetics and genomics has emerged to fulfill this objective. Reproductive genetics and genomics deals with the genetic contribution of the reproductive process, both natural and assisted. Now it expands to include studying epigenetic modifications of the genome and their effect on reproduction. Genetic factors are greatly responsible for infertility, EPL, malformation, DSD, and cancer. Reproductive genetics is becoming integral to today's reproductive practice due to the increased burden of reproductive disorders, particularly after assisted reproduction invention. The ideal time to apply reproductive genetics should be from the pre-conception or peri-conception period, so that prediction and/or prevention (primary and/or secondary) is possible. Advances in molecular technology (NGS and aCGH), the introduction of non-invasive prenatal testing (NIPT), prenatal diagnosis (PND) and preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) have increased this drive and expectations of the public. The NIPT is an upcoming technology for screening fetal aneuploidies (in particular trisomy 21) from cell-free fetal DNA (cffDNA) present in the blood of pregnant women.²⁵ The NIPT can also determine paternity, fetal sex, fetal rhesus D (RhD) status, copy number (microdeletion/microduplication variations syndromes), or even single gene disorders, but professional bodies do not yet recommend it. Research is on non-invasive prenatal diagnosis (NIPD) using fetal erythroblast and trophoblast cells from maternal blood or cervical mucus.^{26,27} The advent of preimplantation & prenatal diagnosis has allowed the option of having unaffected offspring in couples at risk of transmitting genetic disorders. This has immensely increased the scope of genetic testing in reproductive practice. Furthermore, rapid dissemination of information to the public and health care providers has affected daily reproductive care so much that understanding genetics is essential for all reproductive specialists, in particular, to know the risk of a genetic disorder and how to prevent it. This knowledge will protect reproductive specialists from medico-legal consequences following failure to prevent genetic disorders in a family.²⁸

Reproductive Technologies

Advances in reproductive technology, like cellular reprogramming or cellular differentiation/ dedifferentiation have created another dimension for reproductive genetics. In the laboratory, stem cells can be manipulated to make specialized cells and can be used to treat disease. For example, embryonic stem cells can be differentiated into gametes (sperm/oocytes) to treat infertility. Therefore, developing a novel method for precisely controlled differentiation is crucial to facilitate its application in clinical practice. Recent progress in germline stem cell isolation and culture may provide a platform for in vitro gamete development. It may open a new era of gametogenesis in a dish and personalized infertility treatment in the coming years. For therapy with stem cells, the issue of immune compatibility arises. The breakthroughs in somatic cell nuclear transfer have raised the

possibility of generating unlimited, undifferentiated cells with potential applications (therapeutic/reproductive cloning) without immune rejection.

Infertility

Infertility is defined in various ways by different scientific bodies. It is defined as failure to conceive after regular unprotected sexual intercourse for two years in the absence of known reproductive pathology (NICE) or failure to achieve a successful pregnancy after 12 months or more of regular unprotected intercourse (ASRM), or failure to achieve a clinical pregnancy after 12 months or more of regular unprotected sexual intercourse or inability of a non-contracepting, sexually active woman to have a live birth in 5 years.²⁹ Infertility affects 5-15% of couples of reproductive ages.³⁰ The underlying etiology may be due to pathological factors in males (male infertility), females (female infertility), or both partners. About 30% of infertility are idiopathic despite extensive investigations available at present.³¹ Genetics account for 15% (female) to 30% (male) of infertility cases, but this will change soon as many newer genetic/ genomic/epigenomic factors are coming up regularly. Genetic research has expanded in the last few years, and more and more genetic causes are coming up that are going to change previous estimates (about 30%) of the genetic contribution of infertility.³² The genetic basis of infertility may from chromosome abnormalities, result Yq microdeletion/microduplication, CNVs, monogenic, oligogenic, polygenic, multifactorial, epigenomic and mitochondrial defects.

Genetic defects associated with infertility are Kallmann syndrome, Laurence Moon Biedl syndrome, Prader Willi syndrome, Noonan syndrome, androgen receptor mutations or CAG triplet expansion, or gene defects of steroid 5 α -reductase 2, FSH-receptor, LH receptor, mitochondrial gene, etc. Some of the likely CNV hot spots are 1p31-33, 6p21, 6p22.1, Xq28, 7q31, 3p21.1, etc (testis expressed genes) and Xq27.3, Xq21.1, Xq21.33, Xq21.1, 1p22.2, 2p13.3, 3q22.3, 6p21.33, 7q22.1, 7q35, 9q33.3, 10q11.23, 10q26.3, etc (ovary expressed genes).³² Epigenetic factors also affect reproduction and fertility from gametogenesis to birth. Epigenetic changes in gametes are critical for normal fertilization and embryonic development. Hypermethylation of promoters of genes like MTHFR, PAX8, NTF3, SFN, HRAS, JHM2DA, IGF2, H19, RASGRF1, GTL2, PLAG1, D1RAS3, MEST, KCNQ1, LIT1, SNRPN, etc are associated with infertility. Genomics and epigenomics are becoming more important following the development of assisted reproductive technologies (ART) such as in vitro fertilization (IVF), intra cytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI), and in vitro maturation (IVM) of gametes as these leads to more genetic and epigenetic abnormalities in gametes & offspring. ICSI has raised major concerns about safety for the offspring since it bypasses the physiological protective mechanisms related to normal fertilization. Natural selection prevents the transmission of mutations causing infertility, while ART bypass this protective mechanism. Due to ART, the risk of genetic causes of infertility will increase in future generations.³³ Thus, the identification of genetic factors in infertile couples should become routine practice for appropriate counseling and management of the infertile couple.

Male Infertility

Males are responsible for about 50% of infertility cases.³⁴ About 30% of male infertility is caused by chromosomal abnormalities or specific genetic mutations of genes involved in germ cell production and function, and about 30% of male infertility is idiopathic.³⁵ Most idiopathic causes are probably related to genetics/genomics, as many genes are expressed in the male germ cells. Male infertility can be divided into three major groups such as pre-(predominantly hypogonadotropic testicular hypogonadism/secondary testicular failure), (predominantly testicular hypergonadotropic hypogonadism/primary testicular failure), and post-testicular (predominantly eugonadotropic hypogonadism/normal testicular function).

pre-testicular/ The hypogonadotropic hypogonadism is caused by a defect in the hypothalamo-pituitary axis secondary to infection/trauma/tumor/etc hypothalamoof pituitary axis or genetic or idiopathic. The prevalence of idiopathic hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (IHH) ranges from 1-10 cases per 100000 births.³⁶ About 60% of patients with IHH present with associated anosmia (total or partial), also known as Kallmann syndrome.³⁷ Common genes involved with IHH are KAL1, FGF8, KAL2/FGFR1, PROK2, KAL3/PROKR2, CHD7, NELF, etc (regulates GnRH neuronal development & migration) or LEP, LEPR, KISS1, KISS1R, TAC3, TACR3, PCSK1, DAX1, etc (regulates GnRH secretion) or GnRH1, GNRHR, etc (regulates GnRH activity). Although mutation in a single gene is sufficient to cause IHH, cases are often predicted to result from the combination of multiple genetic (oligogenic/polygenic) abnormalities.³⁷ Other causes of IHH are Bardet-Biedl syndrome (BBS1, BBS10, BBS12, BBS2, BBS4, BBS7, BBS9, ARL6, MKKS, CEP290, BBS5, SDCCAG8, etc), Laurence-Moon syndrome (PNPLA6), Prader Willi Syndrome (15q11-13), etc.

The post-testicular (eugonadotropic hypogonadism) causes of infertility are mainly obstruction or malfunction of male genital ducts (vas deferens, seminal vesicles, ejaculatory ducts, etc) or varicocele. Major causes of obstructive azoospermia are cystic fibrosis and genital tract infection. Cystic fibrosis is caused by a mutation of the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) gene that encodes a chlorideconducting transmembrane channel. CFTR gene variants may present as male infertility associated with congenital absence of vas deferens (CAVD), either bilateral (CBAVD) or unilateral (CUAVD), and with or without urogenital anomalies. The prevalence of CAVD in infertile men is 1-2 %.38 Other genetic associations with CAVD are mutations in ADGRG2, SLC9A3, SCNN1B, CA12 and copynumber variations in PANK2 genes.³⁹⁻⁴² It is often found that men with CBAVD have normal production of spermatozoa, but due to agenesis of the vas deferens, there are no sperms in the ejaculate. This suggests that men with CBAVD can attain fatherhood by using ART. However, there is always a chance of transmission of CFTR/other pathogenic variants to the offspring. Therefore, genetic counseling should be offered to such couples undergoing ART. Both partners should be screened for CFTR and other gene mutations to discuss the probability of having offspring with similar problems.⁴³ It is worth exploring CFTR/related genes' involvement in conditions like semen with high viscosity & high liquefaction time or conditions with thick/viscid/scant cervical mucus.43

The testicular (hypergonadotropic hypogonadism/primary testicular failure) factors frequently associated with spermatogenic failure are classified into four distinct subtypes, such as Sertoli cell only syndrome (SCOS; no germ cells), maturation arrest (MA; no elongated spermatids), hypo-spermatogenesis (HS; grossly reduced spermatogenesis) and testicular atrophy (TA; destroyed tubules structure, no spermatogenesis) according to histopathology/cytology findings. However, one may often find differences between testes (mixed group), for example, SCOS on one side and tubular fibrosis on the other or any combinations. In addition, a patient may present as complete germinal cell aplasia in some tubules, whereas complete spermatogenesis in adjacent tubules (focal germinal cell aplasia) or any combinations. Often, patients may present as oligospermia (hypo-spermatogenesis) initially and later over a period of a few years as azoospermia (SCOS/TA); hence diagnosis may change with time. SCOS (no germ cells in testes) is described by Castillo et al.44 It is characterized by normal secondary sexual character, absence of germ cells

in the seminiferous tubule, and near normal tubular architecture and supporting cells, tubules containing Sertoli cells.44 Sertoli cells control only spermatogonial stem cell division and differentiation, and various imbalances cause SCOS testicular phenotype.⁴⁵ Rarely, in SCOS, the primordial germ cells either do not migrate or do not survive in the gonads. SCOS is a heterogenous disorder (chromosomal, CNV, monogenic, etc), and underlying causes remain primarily unclear in most. Maturation arrest is characterized by the interruption of normal germ cell development and differentiation, leading to failure to produce mature spermatozoa. Germ cells are near normal in numbers. It is classified into two distinct subtypes, early maturation arrest, where the development of germ cells does not advance beyond secondary spermatocytes, and late maturation arrest, where the development of germ cells does not reach beyond round spermatids.⁴⁶ Most cases of maturation arrest present as early maturation arrest at the primary spermatocyte level. Hypospermatogenesis is characterized by decreased spermatogenesis leading to a decrease in the numbers of spermatogonia, primary/secondary spermatocytes, spermatids & spermatozoa, i.e., all stages of spermatogenesis are present but decreased in number. The thickening of the walls of the seminiferous tubules and hyaline deposition on the basement membrane with the absence of germ cells and Sertoli cells characterizes tubular fibrosis.

Primary testicular failure (PTF) is the major cause of non-obstructive azoospermia and oligospermia.47 The prevalence of primary testicular failure is 1% in all men and 10% in infertile men. Genetic causes of primary testicular failure are chromosomal abnormalities, Ya microdeletions, gene mutations (SYCP3), etc. Following the advent of newer genomic technologies like DNA microarray/array comparative genomic hybridization and genome sequencing (whole exome/whole genome), more causes like gene mutation and copy number (CNVs) up.^{48,49} variations are coming Cryptorchidism (failure of testicular descent), an androgen-dependent process, is one of the major causes of spermatogenic failure. It is commonly seen androgen resistance and defects with in testosterone synthesis. Cryptorchidism can be unilateral or bilateral and in either case, is associated with impaired spermatogenesis and an increased risk of developing testicular tumors. Many genes, such as AKT3, INSL3, GREAT, RAS, MAPK, etc are linked with cryptorchidism.^{50,51}

The chromosomal abnormalities & Yq microdeletion account for about 25% of cases of primary testicular failure, and screening them during the

evaluation of male infertility is essential.⁵² Chromosomal abnormalities account for about 15% and Ya microdeletions in about 10% of cases of primary testicular failure.^{53,54} Klinefelter Syndrome (47,XXY) & mosaic Klinefelter Syndrome (46,XY/47,XXY) is frequently associated with primary testicular failure.⁵⁵ Klinefelter Syndrome affects approximately 1 in 1000 male births and is characterized by an extra X chromosome, i.e., 47,XXY.⁵⁶ Although an extra X chromosome (47,XXY) is the most common form, some men with Klinefelter syndrome have a greater or lesser number of X chromosomes or mosaicism, e.g., 48,XXXY, 46,XY/47,XXY.⁵⁷ The phenotype varies with the number of extra X chromosomes and possibly the number of trinucleotides CAG repeats on the androgen receptor gene (a polymorphism). A longer CAG repeat sequence has been associated with taller stature, lower bone mineral density, gynecomastia, and shorter penile length.⁵⁸ Men with Klinefelter syndrome generally have small testes resulting from damage to seminiferous tubules and Leydig cells. FSH and LH serum concentrations are elevated, and testosterone levels are decreased to varying extents. Affected men have severely reduced sperm counts and are undervirilized.⁵⁷ Cryptorchidism is more common in men with Klinefelter syndrome and causes more severe damage.59 testicular Other chromosomal abnormalities presenting as primary testicular failure are 45,X/46,XY mosaic, 46,XX sex-reversed male, 47,XYY or 48,XXYY, Yq deletion, dicentric Y (46,X,dicY), isochromosome Xq (46,iXqY), etc.^{56,60,61} The 45X/46XY syndrome is characterized by short stature and some features of Turner syndrome.⁶² Because the testes may be streaks, dysgenetic, or normal, the phenotype varies from female to male. In those with a streak and a dysgenetic testis (mixed gonadal dysgenesis), the risk of gonadoblastoma is increased, and gonadectomy is therefore indicated. Rarely 46, XX males resulting from translocation of the testis-determining gene (SRY) to an X chromosome may have Klinefelter syndrome phenotype, although the phenotype is variable. The phenotype may range from severe impairment of the external genitalia to a normal male phenotype with infertility.⁶¹ It generally results from an unequal crossing over between the short arms of the sex chromosomes (X and Y). Most XX male presents with normal external male genitalia, soft small testes, gynecomastia, azoospermia, high gonadotropins, with low Anti Mullerian Hormone (AMH) and Inhibin B. Testicular fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) often show SCOS.⁶¹ Another genetic factor involved with male infertility is Yq microdeletions.⁶³ Microdeletions of the long arm of the Y chromosome are now recognized as a common cause of primary

testicular failure leading to severe oligospermia and azoospermia.⁶⁴ Most microdeletions are mapped to the Yall region (named azoospermia factor or AZF), which contains three regions, AZFa, AZFb, and AZFc. Deletions of the AZFa or AZFb typically cause azoospermia. Deletion in the AZFc region causes infertility of varying severity, ranging from oligospermia to azoospermia and the commonest microdeletions in humans.⁶⁵ The DDX3Y and USP9Y genes in the AZFa region have an essential role in spermatogenesis. Deletions of these genes are consistently observed with azoospermia.⁶⁶ Y chromosome microdeletions also have been identified in men with cryptorchidism. varicocele, and obstructions of the vas deferens.⁶⁷ Sex chromosomes play a significant role in gonadal function as sex chromosomes contain many genes that are expressed in the gonads. In male meiosis, the human X and Y sex chromosomes pair in prophase I, thus ensuring that at anaphase I, each daughter cell receives one sex chromosome, either the X or the Y.68 The X-Y pairing is made possible by a region of homology between the X and Y chromosomes at the tips of their short arms (Xp22.3 and Yp11.32) called pseudo autosomal region (PAR) 1 and long arms (Xq28 and Yq12) called PAR 2.69,70 Aberrations in sex chromosome number or structure disrupt sex chromosome pairing during meiosis thus producing gametogenic failure.71,72

CNVs have been implicated in primary testicular failure and XY gonadal dysgenesis.^{48,55,73} Our research finding indicates an association between CNVs of pseudoautosomal regions (PAR) 1, 2, and 3 (both deletion & duplication), AZFb, AZFc, AZFbc (both deletion & duplication), 9p12 (deletion containing SPATA31A2-A5 gene), etc with testicular maturation arrest.⁴⁸

About 300–600 genes are expressed in the male germline and, therefore, candidates for male infertility.74,75 CATSPER2, PLSCR2, SLC25A24, and SYT6 genes are involved in the last stage of spermatogenesis.⁷⁶ Normal male sexual differentiation and spermatogenesis require normal androgen production and androgen receptors. The androgen receptor plays an essential role in the differentiation of spermatids and their release from the seminiferous epithelium. The number of trinucleotides CAG repeats in exon 1 of the androgen receptor gene is inversely correlated with its transcriptional activity.77 Men with spermatogenic disorders frequently have significantly longer CAG repeat lengths of androgen receptors.⁷⁸ Similarly, estrogen synthesis or action disorders are also associated with spermatogenesis defects. Impaired spermatogenesis has been observed in men lacking a functional estrogen receptor alpha.79 In mice

inactivating mutation in the aromatase enzyme causes a spermatogenic defect.⁸⁰ FSH receptor gene mutation too affects spermatogenesis.⁸¹ Men with myotonic dystrophy (an autosomal dominant disorder) also exhibit abnormal spermatogenesis.82 Mutations in the SYCP3 gene (involved in the regulation of the synapse between homologous chromosomes during meiosis) have been implicated as a potential cause of male infertility.83 Similarly, TEX11 mutations (X-linked gene, hemizygous) are known to cause meiotic arrest and azoospermia in males.⁸⁴ Other genes viz., DAZL, an autosomal homolog of the DAZ, deleted in azoospermia, PRM1 and PRM2 (protamine involved in chromatin compaction), TNP1 and TNP2 (transition nuclear proteins) and USP26 (deubiquitinating enzyme family) are also associated with spermatogenic defects.83,85

Sperm chromosomal alterations are also highly prevalent in spermatogenic impairment.⁷ Infertile males with oligo/astheno/ teratozoospermia but normal blood karyotype have a ten-fold increase of various chromosomal abnormalities in their sperms, such as diploidy, disomy, and nullisomy. Sperm FISH is commonly used to determine the proportion of aneuploidy in sperms of infertile men.86 Testicular sperm from men with nonobstructive azoospermia display a higher rate of aneuploidy in spermatozoa than ejaculated sperms. Increased sperm aneuploidy increases the risk of IVF/ICSI failure and fetal aneuploidy. Indications for sperm FISH are repeated in vitro fertilization failure, oligospermia, nonobstructive azoospermia (testicular sperm), teratozoospermia, Klinefelter's syndrome (mosaic and non-mosaic), translocations, exposures to gonadotropins, chemotherapy, pesticides exposure, or suspected gonadal mosaicism (testes), etc. Testing of azoospermic factor (AZF) microdeletion has a prognostic impact on sperm extraction since no sperm can be retrieved in AZFa and AZFb, while there is a fair chance that viable sperm could be retrieved in AZFc. The link between epigenetics and male infertility involves protamine packaging of the sperm genome. Sperm chromatin compaction is increased twenty-fold compared with somatic cells following the replacement of 90–95% of histones in the genome by the highly negatively charged and arginine-rich nucleoproteins protamine. Integration of protamine 1 and protamine 2 into the sperm genome during the elongation phase of spermatogenesis occurs typically in a strictly controlled 1:1 fashion. Significant deviations in the ratio have been associated with alteration in motility, morphology, fertilization capacity, and increased DNA fragmentation.87

Male infertility due to functional defects of spermatozoa teratozoospermia, is asthenozoospermia, necrozoospermia, etc, involving defects in morphology, acrosome, motility, viability, capacitation, etc. Genetic defects leading to morphological defects (teratozoospermia) of sperms, in particular, round-headed sperm/sperm without acrosome (globozoospermia) are DPY19L2, SPACA1, SPATA16, PICK1, GGN, AURKC, FAM71F1, GOPC, HRB, CSNK2A2, BS, ZPBP1, CCDC62, CCNB3, etc.⁸⁸⁻⁹³ Other genes that could also play a role in globozoospermia are CFAP47, C2CD6, C7orf61, CCIN, DNH17, DNH6, PIWIL4, CHPT1, etc.^{92,93} Macrozoospermia (large-headed spermatozoa) is characterized by the presence of multi-flagellar large-headed spermatozoa. Macrozoospermia is often associated with abnormal genomic DNA in the form of diploidy (2n), triploidy (3n), tetraploidy (4n), and DNA fragmentation.^{94,95} Genetic defect associated with macrozoospermia is AURKC genes.⁹⁶ Sperm FISH analysis should be advised to estimate the aneuploidy rate to assess the feasibility and the success of ICSI.97 Genes linked with acephalic spermatozoa are SUN5, PMFBP1, TSGA10, DNAH6, BRDT, etc.^{92,98-104} Multiple morphological abnormalities of the flagella (MMAF) are characterized by a combination of absent, short, coiled, bent, and irregular-caliber flagella.¹⁰⁵ MMAF is a common cause of male infertility. MMAFassociated genes are AK7, ARMC2, CEP135, CFAP43, CFAP44, CFAP47, CFAP58, CFAP65, CFAP69, CFAP70, CFAP91, CFAP251, DNAH1, DNAH2, DNAH6, DNAH8, DNAH10, DNAH17, DZIP1, FSIP2, QRICH2, SPEF2, TTC21A, TTC29, etc.¹⁰⁶⁻¹¹⁰ Genetic causes of sperm motility disorder (asthenozoospermia) are chromosomal abnormalities and specific mutations of nuclear and mitochondrial genes. Genetic causes of asthenozoospermia are mutations in CFAP47, DNAH17, TBL1XR1 (disrupted the histone-toprotamine transition), CREM (cAMP responsive element modulator), etc genes.¹¹¹⁻¹¹³ Genes involved with severe necrozoospermia (>80% dead sperms) are BMPR1B, PDHA2, PGPEP1, PREP, CAPZA3, ZFP174, etc.¹¹⁴⁻¹¹⁶ Some studies have linked necrozoospermia with PKD1 & PKD2 genes (polycystic kidney disease) causing dilatations of the genital tract resulting in stagnation of sperm cells.^{117,118} Genes involved in the spermiation process are ASB17, ARPC1B, EVL, PICALM, EEA1, STX5A, CAPG, etc.¹¹⁹⁻¹²² These are involved in cytoskeleton remodeling, endocytosis, completion of spermiation, etc. Genetic defects of sperm leading to oocyte activation failure (fertilization failure) are PLCζ, SEPTIN12, etc genes.¹²³⁻¹²⁵

Female Infertility

The common underlying factors of female infertility (polycystic ovulatory disorders are ovary syndrome, premature ovarian insufficiency, premature ovarian failure, etc), hyperprolactinemia, hypothalamic amenorrhea (mainly following caloric restriction or excessive exercise), endometriosis, tubal blockage, uterine abnormalities, etc.¹²⁶ Common genetic causes of female infertility are sex chromosome abnormality (Turner or mosaic Turner, 47,XXX, 46,XX/46,XY, etc), structural anomalies of the X chromosome such as terminal and interstitial deletions, fragile X premutation (FMR1), FOXL2 mutations (blepharophimosis-ptosis-epicanthus inversus), galactosemia (GLAT mutations), POLG mutations (mitochondrial disease), adrenal hyperplasia, etc.¹²⁷ Several CNVs have also been implicated in female infertility, mainly with premature ovarian insufficiency/ failure, XY gonadal dysgenesis, and Mayer Rokitansky Kuster Hauser syndrome.73,128,129

Polycystic ovary syndrome

Polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) is the most common reproductive endocrine disorder in women of reproductive age. PCOS is characterized by hyperandrogenism, ovulatory dysfunction, and polycystic ovary morphology.¹³⁰ The best available biomarker associated with PCOS is AMH.¹³¹ Environmental factors-like bisphenol A, AGEs, and endogenous factors like kisspeptin and melatonin have strong associations with PCOS.¹³² PCOS is a complex heterogeneous disorder with genetic susceptibility besides environmental & epigenetic influences.132 The genetic influence of PCOS is supported by its twins and family clusters.^{133,134} Often, a higher 17-OH progesterone level is associated with PCOS, indicating an enzymatic defect in steroid biosynthesis.135,136

Genetic alterations (pathogenic/likely pathogenic variants) associated with PCOS are INSR, IRS1, GHRL, LDLR, MC4R, ADIPOQ, UCP1, UCP2, UCP3, FTO, PCSK9, FBN3, NEIL2, FDFT1, PCSK9, CYP11, CYP17, CYP21, HSD17, STAR, POR, AKR1C3, AMH, AMHR2, INHBA, AR, SHBG, LHR, FSHR, FSH β, SRD5A, GATA4, THADA, YAP1, ERBB2, DENND1A, FEM1B, FDFT1, NEIL2, TCF7L2, etc.¹³² We are working on PCOS for several years and our initial whole exome sequencing results identify pathogenic/likely pathogenic/novel variants in obesity and insulin-related genes like UCP1 (c.680C>T), UCP2 (c.262C>T), IRS1 (c.2674A>G) and GHRL (c.214C>A, n=5) in PCOS patients with high BMI and high fasting insulin level and steroid biosynthesis pathway genes like CYP21A2 (c.1174G>A, c.955C>T, c.428T>A), STAR

(c.158G>T), POR (c.1000G>A, c.751G>A), HSD17B6 (c.118G>A) and AKR1C3 (c.613T>G) in phenotype A/D PCOS with normal BMI, & insulin level.^{137,138} We have also detected pathogenic & likely pathogenic variants for AMH, AMHR2, INHBA, AR, SHBG, LHR, FSHR, FSH β , SRD5A, GATA4, THADA, YAP1, ERBB2, DENND1A, FEM1B, FDFT1, NEIL2, TCF7L2, INSR, LDLR, MC4R, ADIPOQ, UCP3, FTO, PCSK9, THADA, FBN3, NEIL2, FDFT1, PCSK9, CYP11, CYP17, etc genes in PCOS WES study (ongoing study). These genes can be categorized as metabolic, steroid biosynthesis, gonadal function, and others. We have also observed multiple pathogenic/likely pathogenic variants of more than one gene in many PCOS cases, thus indicating oligogenic/polygenic etiology in most (ongoing study). Other genes frequently associated with PCOS are C9orf3, DENND1A, ERBB3/RAB5, TOX3, SRD5A2, SRD5A1, HMGA2, THADA, SOD2, ERRB4, YAP1, GATA4/NEIL2, ZBTB16, FSH-6, FTO, SIRT1, etc.¹³⁹⁻¹⁴¹ PCOS-linked genes listed in the OMIM database are PCOS1, FOXL2, CAPN10, SHBG, AKR1C3, FBN3, GATA6, SRD5A1, SRD5A2, AR, SULT2A1, H6PD, 17beta-HSD3, INS, INSR, IGF2, IRDN, IL18, ADIPOQ, AMH, LHB, FSHR, CYP19A1, CYP11A1, CYP17A1, HSD11B1, HSD3B2, STAR, CORTRD1, etc. Reddy et al. reported CYP11A1 (tttta)(n) repeat polymorphism as a potential molecular marker for PCOS risk.¹⁴² Higher prevalence has been reported in Turkey (33%), France (23%), Portugal (18%), Greece (9%), etc.^{136,143,144} Other genes for which association with PCOS has been replicated include MC2R/ARMC5, StAR, POR, MRAP, FBN3, HSD17B6, INSIG2, TCF7L2, MC4R, POMC, ACVR2A, FEM1B, FTO, ADIPOQ, LHCGR, FSHR, THADA, DENNDIA, YAPI, RAB5B, SUOX, ERBB4, FSHB, RAD50, aKRR1, etc.¹⁴⁵⁻¹⁴⁸ During the last few years, growing evidence pouring is on etiopathogenetic associations of AMH gene/receptors with PCOS rather than being merely a marker.¹⁴⁹ In vitro experiment on granulosa cells from the ovary of anovulatory PCOS shows 75 folds higher production of AMH compared to granulosa cells of normal ovaries, indicating an intrinsic dysregulation of the granulosa cells.¹⁵⁰ This is supported by the finding of AMH and AMHR (AMHR2) pathogenic variants with PCOS.140

The role of epigenetics in PCOS is supported by studies on animals where intrauterine exposure to testosterone, DHT, bisphenol A, etc induces PCOS phenotype in the female offspring.^{151,152} During development, adverse prenatal conditions may influence persistent epigenetic changes like imprinting of genes or increased or decreased

levels of DNA methylation on CpG sites, which can lead to under or overexpression of genes and alteration of molecular pathways, which may lead to a risk of development of PCOS later part of life.¹⁵³ Epigenetic alteration of various genes, ncRNAs, IncRNA, miRNA, etc linked with PCOS are LHCGR, YAP1, FOXO3, CYP19A, CYP11B2, PPARGC1A, PPARG, INSR, IRS1, GHRL, ADIPOQ, FTO, LEPR, TWIST1, CCL2, GnRH, NF kappa, TNF, AGE/RAGE, AMPK, ESR1, PROLACTIN, PGR, CASP3, CASP8, CASP6, CASP7, CASP9, miR-93/GLUT4, miR-320/ERK1/2, miR-21/LATS1, IncRNA/H19, IncRNA/SRA, IncRNA/GAS5, miRNA21, miRNA93, miRNA320, etc.139,154 Promoter hypomethylation of YAP1 in ovarian granulosa cells promotes the YAP1 expression, which also plays a crucial role in the pathogenesis of PCOS.155

Premature ovarian failure/premature ovarian insufficiency

Premature ovarian failure (POF)/premature ovarian insufficiency (POI) is characterized by hypergonadotropic hypoestrogenic amenorrhea in women under the age of 40 years. The clinical spectrum is divided into occult, biochemical, and overt stages. The overt stage, often known as POF, denotes total ovarian function loss.¹⁵⁶ POF is a very diverse disorder with many different etiologies, and genetic etiology contributes to about 25% of cases.¹⁵⁷ The female sex chromosomes, i.e., chromosome X, are crucial for normal ovarian function. The chromosome X abnormality is frequently associated with premature ovarian failure. The aberrations include either whole or partial X-chromosome deletions (45,XO; 47XXX; 45,X/46,XX; etc), duplications, or translocations. Turner syndrome (45,XO) with loss of X-chromosome or mosaic Turner syndrome (45, XO/46, XX) are the most common genetic etiology associated with POF. Loss of both q arm (isodisomy Xp) or p arm (isodisomy Xg) manifest in gonadal dysfunction.¹⁵⁸ Two dominant genetic pathways involved in the pathophysiology of POF have reduced gene dosage and poor DNA repair. Many genes involved in meiosis and DNA repair have been shown to affect ovarian reserve and thus produce ovarian failure by reducing the pool of primordial follicles, increasing ovarian follicle atresia, or preventing follicle maturation.^{159,160} Over the years, various other genes have also been linked to the pathogenesis of POF, of which FMR1 gene premutation is one such gene.¹⁶¹⁻¹⁶³ In the presence of FMR1 gene premutation, the relative risk of POF is expected to be about 16%.¹⁶⁴ The prevalence of FMR1 gene premutation varies within different populations. FMR1 premutation is present in

approximately 11%-13% of cases of POF, although another study suggests that only 2% of POF cases have FMR1 premutation.^{165,166} About 29 CGG repeats of the FMR gene are the most common trinucleotide repeats observed in the general population, while women with mid-range repeats (70-90) have been found to be at the highest risk for the development of POF/POI.¹⁶⁷ The mechanisms by which fragile X-associated POF develops are due to the impairment of primordial follicle development and survival.¹⁶⁸ Two loci on Xq22-q26 (HS6ST2, E2F, GPC3, etc) and Xq27q28 (DIAPH2, XPNPEP2, DACH2, etc) containing several essential genes appear to be critical for premature ovarian failure.^{169,170} The DIAPH2 gene is expressed in the ovary and is involved in oogenesis by affecting cell divisions.¹⁷¹ Another critical locus on Xq13.3-q22 (DIAPH2, DACH2, POF1B, etc) also contains several vital genes linked with premature ovarian failure.¹⁷² The DACH2 gene is essential in oogenesis to differentiate somatic follicle cells into polar cells. It is crucial for developing mammalian gonads, and its alteration in humans may be a risk factor for POF.¹⁷³ The POF1B gene is also involved in early ovarian development, and its aberrations are associated with POF.¹⁷³ Genes such as FOXL2, NR5A1, NOBOX, FIGLA, BMP15, GDF9, AR, INHA, etc genes are associated with POF.174-179 Other potential genes proposed to be associated with POF are MCM8, BRSK1, ADAMTS19, PTHB1, HS6ST2, etc.¹⁸⁰⁻¹⁸²

In the last several years, research using whole genome screening methods (microarray) on POF cases has generated important information on copy number variations (CNVs). Aboura et al identified five potential candidate CNVs containing genes involved in reproductive disease (DNAH5 and NAIP), reproductive endocrinology (DUSP22 and NUPR1), and folliculogenesis (AKT1) in both sporadic and familial POF cases.¹⁸³ Another CNV study identified various essential genes on meiosis (PLCB1, RB1CC1, and MAP4K4), DNA repair (RBBP8), and folliculogenesis (IMMP2L, FER1L6, and MEIG1).¹²⁸ McGuire et al also discovered novel autosomal CNVs involved in DNA double-strand break repair during meiosis (SYCE1) and mitotic cell progression (CPEB1).184

Various studies using NGS identified mutations in several genes like BMP15, CYP17A1, CYP19A1, DIAPH2, FIGLA, FOXL2, FSHB, FSHR, GDF9, NOBOX, NR5A1, POF1B, PSMC3IP, STAR, WNT4, LHCGR, POR, ADAMTS1, ADAMTS19, BMP4-7, BMPR1B, BMPR2, CDKN1B, CITED2, DMC1, ESR1, ESR2, FOXL2, FOXO3, FOXO4, FSHB, FST, FZD4, GJA1, GJA4, GNRH1, GNRHR, INHA, INHBA, INHBB, INHBC, KISS1, KISS1R, KIT, KITLG, LHB,

LEPR, LHX8, MSH4, MSH5, NALP5, NANOS2, NANOS3, NBN, NTF4, PGR, PGRMC1, PIK3CA, POUIFI, POU5FI, PRL, PRLR, PROPI, PTEN, PTGER2, PTGS2, PTX3, RSPO1, SMAD1-5, SOD1, etc and studies in consanguineous families with familial POF have identified some pathogenic genes in STAG3, HFM1, MCM8, MCM9, etc genes.¹⁸⁵⁻¹⁸⁷ Interestingly, these genes are also implicated in DNA replication and repair, meiosis, and chromosome stability, further supporting the importance of these pathways in idiopathic ovarian failure pathogenesis. Although the exact mechanism of how defects in DNA repair pathways and genomic instability contribute toward ovarian failure is unknown, likely, an accumulation of DNA damage and chromosomal instability in the ovary would lead to accelerated follicle atresia, therefore predisposing women to ovarian failure. A targeted NGS study reported an association between mutations in the ADAMTS19 and BMPR2 genes with ovarian failure pathogenesis in 12 unrelated idiopathic ovarian failure women.¹⁸⁸ ADAMTS19 was previously reported with ovarian failure, and defects in BMPR2 may disrupt the downstream signaling of its ligands, BMP-15 and GDF-9, therefore disrupting folliculogenesis and contributing to POF pathogenesis.^{182,188}

Hyperprolactinemia

Prolactin is a 23 kDa polypeptide hormone that has more than 300 functions. The prolactin gene is 10Kb in size, localized on chromosome 6, and comprises five exons and four introns. Two independent prolactin promoter regions regulate gene transcription; a proximal 5000 bp region regulates the expression of pituitary prolactin, and an upstream promoter region regulates the expression of extra-pituitary prolactin.¹⁸⁹ Prolactin receptor, a transmembrane protein, belongs to class 1 of the cytokine receptor superfamily.¹⁹⁰ It is synthesized and secreted mainly by the anterior pituitary lactotroph cells. The extra pituitary sources of prolactin include skin fibroblasts, spleen, thymus, breast, prostate, etc. Prolactin is critical to the mammary gland's development during pregnancy, lactation establishment, and milk production. Prolactin maintains the corpus luteum and stimulates ovarian production of progesterone required for the maintenance of pregnancy. Prolactin also plays a significant role in reproductive and parental behavior. Besides its known reproductive functions, prolactin also has a stimulatory role in immune reactions, growth and development, and homeostasis. Prolactin increases immune cell proliferation and the production of cytokines.¹⁹¹ The presence of abnormally high prolactin levels (>25ng/mL) in the blood is called

hyperprolactinemia. Physiological hyperprolactinemia occurs during pregnancy and lactation. It may also be caused by pathologic conditions such as pituitary adenoma, drugs, or secondary to chronic kidney disease/liver disease besides idiopathic.¹⁹² Hyperprolactinemia may manifest as galactorrhoea, menstrual irregularity, erectile dysfunction, loss of libido, decreased bone mineral density, osteoporosis, etc. Hyperprolactinemia is one of the leading causes of infertility. The genetic/genomic cause of hyperprolactinemia can be abnormalities in prolactin (PRL) or prolactin receptor (PRLR) genes. A aermline. loss-of-function mutation in the prolactin receptor (PRLR) gene results in prolactin insensitivity in familial hyperprolactinemia.¹⁹³⁻¹⁹⁵ In our ongoing study, we have observed CNVs containing genes HCCS (11p15.4) gain and OLFML1 (Xp22.2) loss with cases of hyperprolactinemia due to a pituitary adenoma (HCCS) and idiopathic (OLFML1) group (unpublished). The HCCS gene has a role in the mitochondrial respiratory chain and programmed cell death, whereas the OLFML1 gene is involved in cell proliferation. Also, the STAT5 gene, which is involved in downstream signal transduction of prolactin, is a regulator of OLFML1gene. Our preliminary work on epigenomic analysis in hyperprolactinemia demonstrated significantly enriched MHC-related protein classes and cellular components. MHC classes of proteins are also involved in immune tolerance during pregnancy. The prolactin hormone plays a role in reproduction and autoimmunity and regulates via epigenetic modifications. Thus, the increased prolactin during pregnancy might regulate MHC I expression by epigenetic alterations and maintain immune tolerance. Studies on methylation changes in pregnant women are expected to provide insight into the mechanisms involved in immune tolerance during pregnancy, a state of physiological hyperprolactinemia. This will help explain the mechanisms underlying disorders of immune tolerance during pregnancy. To receive the semiallogenic fetus, the maternal immune system undergoes processes of multiple immune adaption.¹⁹⁶ The immune cell types and cytokine profiles change during different gestational stages. If this coordination is disrupted, it can lead to several pregnancy complications, such as recurrent pregnancy loss, preeclampsia, and intrauterine growth restriction.^{197,198}

Endometriosis

Endometriosis is the presence of endometrial tissue outside the endometrial layer of the uterine cavity and is associated with pelvic pain and infertility. It is commonly found in the pelvis and ovary and

affects at least 10% of women at reproductive age and about 50% with infertility.¹⁹⁹ This often runs in families with two or more affected sibs.200 Endometriosis is an inflammatory estrogendependent disorder.²⁰¹ It is characterized by inflammation, excessive production of estrogens, and progesterone resistance.²⁰² Others categorized this as a complex disease influenced by genetic, epigenetic, and environmental factors.²⁰³ Endometriosis is also considered a neoplastic disease, more precisely, a benign metastatic disease closely linked to cancer but with limited malignant transformation, likely by systematic repression of the genes involved in the cell cycle and regulation of the HOX genes.²⁰² Although endometriosis is a benign disorder, the process by which endometrial cells attach and invade surfaces shares features of malignant neoplasm. Other features shared by endometriosis and cancer are the ability to evade apoptosis, the stem cell-like ability, and angiogenic potential.²⁰⁴ Endometriotic cells have all the characteristics of neoplastic cells, viz., uncontrolled proliferation, invasion, and metastasis at the macro level, whereas aneuploidy, copy number variations (20g13.33 duplication), and mutations in epithelial component as well as epigenomic changes in stromal component at the molecular level and still not categorized as neoplastic condition, at least low grade locally invasive category.²⁰⁵ High estradiol levels are observed in endometriotic tissue, one of the primary mechanisms through high stromal aromatase activity. Also, deficient methylation of the $ER\beta$ promoter results in overexpression of $ER\beta$ in endometriotic stromal cells, suppressed progesterone receptors, and increased cyclooxygenase-2 levels contributing to progesterone resistance and inflammation.²⁰⁶ The only difference with most tumors with endometriosis is a severe inflammatory reaction (probably due to cyclical extravasation of blood, extravasated blood is highly irritant, leading to inflammation) which is suppressed in tumors. The genetic basis of endometriosis is supported by the experimental development of peritoneal endometriosis in mice through conditional activation of the K-ras oncogene in endometrial cells and deposited into the peritoneum.207 **Up-regulation** of the antiapoptotic gene BCL-2 and genomic alteration in endometrial cells of affected women also supports the neoplastic/genetic basis of endometriosis.²⁰⁸ Genomic alterations in endometriotic implants using aCGH have been described.^{209,210} Furthermore, loss of heterozygosity and somatic mutation of the tumor suppressor gene PTEN, p53, ARID1A, APC, KRAS, frequently documented etc are in

endometriosis.^{211,212} Increasing evidence is coming on epigenetic dysregulation of endometriotic stromal cells in women with endometriosis.²¹³ DNA methylation of promoters of genes whose products are critical for normal endometrial progesterone response has been reported in endometriosis resulting in progesterone resistance.²¹⁴

Early pregnancy losses

Fecundity in humans, in comparison to other mammals, is relatively low. This is due to an enormous frequency of abnormalities in oogenesis and spermatogenesis. About 30%-50% of oocytes and 8-16% of sperms are chromosomally abnormal.^{215,216} This results in as high as 70% abnormal conception leading to pregnancy losses, either before, during, or after implantation.²¹⁷ Later on, in clinically recognized pregnancies, 15-20% of conceptuses are lost as spontaneous abortion.²¹⁸ Early pregnancy loss can be caused by chromosomal & genetic errors, anatomical uterine defects, maternal autoimmune disorders, endocrine dysfunction (hypothyroidism, hyperprolactinemia, PCOS, etc), endometrial dysfunction (as with uterine fibroid, endometriosis. etc), and possibly alloimmune factors. However, genetic factors are the most common causes of pregnancy wastage.²¹⁹ Genetic abnormalities predisposing to early pregnancy loss are chromosomal abnormalities, copy number variations, single-gene mutations, and others. Consanguinity, which is common in various parts of the world, significantly affects reproductive outcomes due to an increase in inherited autosomal recessive disorders, particularly inborn errors of metabolism and congenital malformations.²²⁰ The majority of early pregnancy wastage is caused by abnormalities of chromosome number, which is considered to be responsible for 50-60% of cases.²²¹ The prevalence of chromosomal or subchromosomal, including CNV, in spontaneous abortion using aCGH could be much higher than reported with conventional cytogenetics.^{222,223} European Society for Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) guideline defines recurrent pregnancy loss (RPL) as the loss of two or more pregnancies, whereas the Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (RCOG) as at least three consecutive miscarriages before 24 weeks of gestation.^{224,225} Frequency of abnormal karyotypes in products of conception from women with recurrent spontaneous abortion compared with women without recurrent spontaneous abortion using conventional cytogenetics is contradicting. Some reported no differences, whereas others reported as infrequent (8% to 18%) and decreased with the number of previous abortions.²²⁶⁻²²⁸ Cytogenetic studies have reported a frequency of chromosomal

abnormalities as high as 60% to as low as 5% in abortions.^{227,229} recurrent spontaneous With molecular cytogenomics technologies, more and more sub-chromosomal & segmental gains/losses (CNV) were detected in as many as 50% of cases.²²⁸ Investigations of RPL products using the microarray technique (aCGH) provide a role of copy number variations (segmental aneuploidy) in more than 50% of cases.^{230,231} Chromosome 16 and X abnormalities (aneuploidy or CNVs) were most frequently associated with RPL.²³⁰ Duplications of 16g24.3 and 16p13.3 loci were observed more frequently in RPL.²³⁰ CNVs of chromosome X, 22, 8, and 4 were also common.²³⁰ Next-generation sequencing (NGS) using whole exome, whole genome, or gene panels is now used to identify cryptic balanced/ unbalanced chromosome rearrangements, copy number variations (CNVs), and pathogenic variants in couples with RPL as well as abortuses.²³¹⁻²³³ Genes linked with RPL are DYNC2H1, ALOX15, KIF14, RYR1, GLE1, etc, and these gene's functional pathways linked with cell division, cilia function, chemotaxis, protease activity, protein modification, immune response, etc.²³¹⁻²³³ Compound heterozygous mutations in DYNC2H1 and ALOX15 genes in miscarriages from families with RPL were reported by Qiao et al.²³³ DYNC2H1 plays a role in cilia biogenesis and is associated with fetal lethality. ALOX15 is expressed in the placenta and is associated with placental function, oxidative stress response, inflammation, and angiogenesis. Another important gene in RPL, mainly with recurrent hydatidiform mole and to a lesser extent with RPL, particularly with missed abortions/anembryonic pregnancies, is NLRP7.234 NLRP7 gene mutations account for a recurrent hydatidiform mole in about 50% of cases.^{235,236} NLRP7 gene mutations result in defective oocyte development and embryo development, leading to RPL.^{237,238} Rare non-synonymous variants (NSVs) or heterozygous mutations in the NLRP7 gene may confer genetic susceptibility for RPL.²³⁷ Other genes involved with RPL (hydatidiform mole, miscarriage, early developmental arrest, etc) are KHDC3L, PADI6, NLRP2, NLRP5, TLE6, DNAH11, CCNO, etc.²³⁸⁻²⁴² Some studies have implicated immunological abnormalities and thrombophilic aberration as possible mechanisms in RPL. Inherited antithrombin III or factor V or combinations deficiency due to homozygous or heterozygous mutations of SERPINC1/FVL (factor V Leiden) genes may be associated with the increased risk for miscarriage and fetal demise besides preeclampsia.243,244

The sex ratio in early spontaneous abortions is skewed towards females, which could be monosomy X, imprinted paternal X chromosome, or failure of X chromosome inactivation.^{228,245,246} One of the possible mechanisms related to altered sex ratio in early pregnancy losses could relate to disturbed epigenetic processes (namely, X-inactivation or genomic imprinting) in early embryos. Some idiopathic RPL are related to aberrations of epigenetic genome reprogramming in the parent's gametes or early embryos.²⁴⁷ Whether preimplantation sexing and male embryo transfer can prevent another abortion in alloimmune/idiopathic RPL or recurrent molar pregnancy is worth exploring.

Congenital malformation

Congenital malformations are structural defects due to abnormal embryonic or fetal development. It affects different organ systems and is etiologically heterogeneous. Congenital malformations could be multifactorial (both genetics and environmental contribution), genetic (chromosomal or copy number variations or monogenic), or predominantly environmental (teratogenic exposure). Environmental exposures cause about 3% of all birth defects, and an interaction between genes and the environment may cause another 25% or more. The remaining cases seem to be etiology.^{248,249} genetic/genomic Congenital malformations are often repeated in a subsequent pregnancy. The incidence is expected to increase due to an increase in maternal age at conception and contamination of our food chain with toxic chemicals. The prevalence of major congenital malformation at birth varies between 1-5%.250 It is estimated that each year 7.9 million children are born worldwide with major birth defects. Among them, at least 3.3 million dies before the age of 5 years, and 3.2 million survive with a disability.²⁵¹ Congenital malformation is a global problem, but its impact is more severe in low and middle-income countries, where the conditions for prevention, treatment, and rehabilitation are more complicated.²⁵² World Health Assembly stressed the importance of addressing birth defects and committed to reducing the load. This could be achieved through primary preventive measures (periconceptional multivitamins or folic acid treatment or rubella vaccination or carefully prescribing medication in early pregnancy, preimplantation genetic diagnosis, etc) or secondary preventive measures (prenatal detection and selective termination of affected fetus). First/early second-trimester non-invasive prenatal screening (for chromosomal/CNV abnormalities) and second trimester (18-20 weeks) fetal scan provides an opportunity for early detection of fetal elective termination malformations and of pregnancy. Level 2 ultrasound scan is an essential

tool in present-day obstetrics practice, and this helps in the early diagnosis of fetal malformations, although not all malformations are detectable. Routine ultrasound screening for fetal malformations is justifiable because they are relatively standard, and the overall detection rate in a tertiary care unit is over 60%.²⁵³ The detection rate of fetal malformations can be improved by an fetal efficient. systematic approach of malformation scan using an integrative anomaly chart.²⁵⁴ Now, prenatal fetal echocardiography, 3D ultrasonography, and ultrafast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) are frequently used as an adjunct to ultrasound for the characterization of fetal malformations (cardiac defect, facial dysmorphology, open fetal defect, CNS defect, skeletal defect, genitourinary defect, etc). Prenatal detection of fetal malformations also helps healthcare providers plan for birth in the place appropriate to avail special postnatal/neonatal care for the malformed fetus, including diagnosis, management, and counseling.²⁵⁵ The most challenging area in fetal malformation is an accurate diagnosis, proper counseling, and early prediction and prevention. For this, it is essential to have an etiologic diagnosis that is expected to be primarily genetic/genomic. However, the cause of malformation is unknown in the majority of cases.²⁵⁵ Despite deciphering the Human Genome, challenges in the diagnosis & management of developmental defects remain the challenges same. The are understanding developmental defects' pathophysiology and resolute phenotype & genotype heterogeneity. Hence, it is essential to have detailed clinical information and suitable biological materials (biorepository) as initiators and later screening through high throughput omics platforms.²⁵⁶ It is hoped that the data generated in this field in the coming years and later integrated into system biology will be of excellent service to answer underlying pathophysiology, etiology, early prediction, and prevention.

Genomic abnormalities are a significant cause of a large proportion of congenital malformations. The copy number variations (microdeletion/microduplication syndromes) are genomic disorders characterized by small and variable chromosomal hemizygous deletion/duplication (< 5 mb) in which generally many genes are involved. It is primarily spontaneous (de novo). They are frequently associated with multiple congenital anomalies and developmental delays.^{257,258} The phenotype is mainly due to an imbalance of genes in the critical interval. The common microdeletion/microduplication syndromes are

DiGeorge/Velocardiofacial (22q11.2), Prader-Willi/ Angelman (15q11-13), William (7q11.23), Smith-Magenis (17p11.2), Hereditary Neuropathy with Liability to Pressure Palsy/Charcot-Marie-Tooth syndrome type 1A (17p11.2), Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (11p15), Potocki-Lupski syndrome (17p11.2), etc. FISH, MLPA, quantitative fluorescent polymerase chain reaction (QFPCR), aCGH/SNP microarray, and NGS are used for genetic diagnosis of CNVs disorders. G-banded karyotyping has limited resolution, hence being unable to detect genomic changes of less than 5 Mb and hence cannot be used to detect CNV disorders.^{257,258} In addition, most rearrangements of the ends of the chromosomes (telomere or subtelomere) are too small to be seen using conventional cytogenetic techniques. A DNA microarray (SNP microarray) identifies not only CNVs (microdeletions or microduplications) but also any unbalanced chromosomal abnormalities (trisomy, triploidy, partial aneuploidy, and mosaicism) as well as uniparental disomy disorders.¹² Microdeletion/ microduplication syndrome cases are frequently associated with second/more hits (deletion or duplication) elsewhere in the genome.¹² The DNA microarray (SNP microarray) is a superior technique and covers the whole genome hence should be used as the first step for evaluating multiple malformations. It is essential in clinically doubtful cases, which is often evident in the early weeks of life when dysmorphic features are challenging to recognize, in particular sick neonates on life support. The DNA microarray (SNP microarray) seems superior to NGS for CNV detection as bioinformatic pipelines for analyzing NGS data are still evolving. The DNA microarray provides the highest chance of making a diagnosis, sparing the patient unnecessary diagnostic testing from many places and saving crucial times.^{12,259}

An etiologic diagnosis is essential to provide accurate reproductive counseling and, most importantly, prevention and targeted management in the future. Therefore, research is necessary for this field to identify the underlying etiology of congenital malformation. Research with families affected by several pregnancies is essential as these will likely provide positive genetic/genomic associations. Studying (morphologic, genomic, epigenomic, proteomic, etc) embryos from the period when most developmental defects arise (early embryo) will be an essential step towards understanding and perhaps eventually predicting and preventing fetal abnormality in the future. Malformed fetal repository (tissue, organ, blood, etc, from affected parents & unaffected family members), as well as clinical details of the family (at least 3 generation pedigree), is the first step

towards achieving this goal. When a fetal developmental defect is identified in pregnancy, the parents should be referred to a tertiary ultrasound unit for targeted assessment (level 2 ultrasound), and attempt must be tried to detect other anomalies and underlying etiology. Every step should be ensured for prenatal diagnosis from parental & fetal testing, even if it involves invasive testing. Then parents should be offered reproductive genetic counseling and encouraged to complete fetal autopsy and biorepositories in case of termination or perinatal death. Hence, understanding the reproductive genetics of major developmental disorders is essential for today's perinatal care specialists.²⁵⁶ And finally, but most importantly, families with multiple affected should be referred to a genetic center to find out the underlying genetic/genomic cause which is most likely to contribute to a better future in this field.

Disorder/phenotype	Gene	Cytogenetics	Inheritance
46,XY sex reversal 1	SRY	Yp11.2	YL
46,XY sex reversal 2	NROB1	Xp21.2	XL
46,XYsex reversal 3/POF7	NR5A1	9q33.3	AD
46,XY sex reversal 4/ gonadal dysgenesis and XY sex reversal	DMRT1/DMRT2	9p24.3	MDS
46,XY sex reversal 5	CBX2	17q25.3	AR
46,XY sex reversal 6	MAP3K1	5q11.2	AD
46,XY sex reversal 7	DHH	12q13.12	AR
46,XY sex reversal 8	AKR1C2/AKR1C4	10p15.1	AR
46,XY sex reversal 9	ZFPM2	8q23.1	AD
46,XY sex reversal 10	regulatory region (XYSR) - 640 to -607 kb upstream of the SOX9 gene	17q24	AD
Adrenal insufficiency, with 46,XY sex reversal	CYPIIAI	15q24.1	AR
Palmoplantar hyperkeratosis and true hermaphroditism (SRY negative XX sex reversal)	RSPO1	1p34.3	AR
Testicular anomalies with or without congenital heart disease	GATA4	8p23.1	AD
Cytochrome B5, type A (microsomal)	СҮВ5А	18q22.3	AR
Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 4	MAP3K4	6q26	Ś
Zinc finger protein, X-linked	ZFX	Xp22.11	XL
Lim homeobox gene 9	LHX9	1q31.3	
Denys-drash syndrome/ meacham syndrome	WT1	11p13	AD
Androgen insensitivity syndrome; AIS	AR	Xq12	XLR
Additional sex combs-like 1	ASXL1	20q11.21	AD
Smith-lemli-opitz syndrome	DHCR7	11q13.4	AR
Aarskog-scott syndrome	FGD1	Xp11.22	XLR
Persistent Mullerian duct syndrome, type II	AMHR2	12q13.13	AR
Persistent Mullerian duct syndrome, type l	АМН	19p13.3	AR

Lysine-specific demethylase 6B	KDM6B	17p13.1	
Steroidogenic acute regulatory protein	<u>STAR</u>	<u>8p11.23</u>	AR
Transformer 2, drosophila, homolog of, alpha	TRA2A	7p15.3	
Lysine-specific demethylase 3A	КДМЗА	2p11.2	
Precocious puberty, male-limited	LHCGR	2p16.3	AD
46,XX sex reversal 1 (XX male, sry-positive)	SRY	<u>Yp11.2</u>	
46,XX sex reversal 2	heterozygous duplication or triplication of a 68-kb regulatory region (XXSR) - 584 to -516 kb upstream of the SOX9 gene	17q24.3- q25.1	AD
46,XX sex reversal 3	SOX3 (SRY-BOX 3)	<u>Xq27.1</u>	XL
46,XX sex reversal 4	NR5A1	9q33.3	AD
Serkal syndrome/ Mullerian aplasia and hyperandrogenism	WNT4	1p36.12	AR/ AD
CYP17A1 (steroid 17-hydroxylase /17,20-lyase)	CYP17A1	10q24.32	AR
BPES type I (with premature ovarian failure)	FOXL2	3q22.3	AD
Mccune-albright syndrome	GNAS1	20q13.32	postzygotic somatic mutation
Gonadotropin-releasing hormone 1	GNRH1	8p21.2	AR
Estrogen receptor 2 (ovarian dysgenesis 8)	ESR2	14q23.2- q23.3	AD
Short stature homeobox	SHOX	Xp22.33	
Estrogen receptor 1	ESR1	6q25.1-q25.2	AR/AD
Genitopalatocardiac syndrome			
Ethanolamine kinase 2	ETNK2	1q32.1	
Doublesex- and mab3-related transcription factor A1	DMRTAI	9p21.3	
Ovo-like 1	OVOL1	11q13.1	
Ring Finger Protein 1	RING1	6p21.32	
Others	CTNNBIP1, FGF9, etc		
True Hermaphrodite (XX; SRY -ve)	GJA1, GJB2, WNT4, RSPO1, etc		

Differences or Disorders of Sex Development (DSD)

Differences or Disorder of Sex Development & Determination (DSD) is a developmental abnormality in which the determination and differentiation of chromosomal, gonadal, and phenotypic/anatomical sex are abnormal.²⁶⁰ Chromosomal sex is determined at fertilization and influences the differentiation of the gonads. The differentiation of the gonads determines the development of internal and external genitalia, i.e., phenotypic/anatomic sex. Both male and female gonads and genitalia differentiate from the same structures along the urogenital ridge. About four weeks after fertilization, primordial germ cells migrate from the yolk sac to the urogenital ridge. The urogenital ridge is also a precursor for granulosa-theca or Sertoli - Leydig cells.²⁶¹ Gonadal development is regulated by the temporospatial expression of many different genes with critical dosage effects (**Table 1**), and disruption of this complex process can result in atypical sex development.²⁶² The indifferent gonads to differentiate into testes require the expression of the SRY, SOX9, SF1, WT1, GLI1, AMH, CYP17A1, etc genes, and into ovaries require an expression of the WNT4, RSPO1, FOXL2, LIN28, WNT2B, ETV5, etc genes.²⁶³⁻²⁶⁵ At about six weeks of embryonic life, the Mullerian ducts appear adjacent to the Wolffian ducts. Anti-Mullerian Hormone (AMH), secreted by Sertoli cells of the testis, promotes regression of Mullerian ducts, and testosterone, produced from Leydig cells of the testis, promotes differentiation of the Wolffian ducts. Genes involved in Müllerian duct and wolffian ducts development are AMH, AMHR2, HOXA10, HOXA11, HOXA13, LHX1, TCF2, WNT4, WNT1, PAX2, PAX8, LIM1, EMX2, RAR, AR, IGF, EGF, FGF, etc.²⁶⁶⁻²⁷² Testosterone is converted to dihydrotestosterone by the enzyme 5 alphareductase that masculinizes external genitalia. The degree of masculinization is determined by the amount of fetal androgen present and the ability of the tissues to respond to the androgens, i.e., androgen receptors. Defects in any part of this pathway will result in genital ambiguity such as under-virilization of an XY individual, virilization of an XX individual, or rarely gonadal differentiation. AMH controls regression of the Mullerian duct in males. Incomplete embryonic regression of the Mullerian ducts in males could result from inappropriate synthesis and action of AMH.²⁷³ Mullerian ducts remnants are seen frequently in 46,XY DSD and 46,XY gonadal dysgenesis.^{274,275} Androgen insensitivity syndrome (AIS) is a heterogeneous group of defects in the androgen receptor, resulting in varying degrees of defective masculinization in 46,XY individuals. Ambiguous genitalia in 46,XX females is caused by early antenatal exposure to androgen from fetal adrenals, fetal aromatase deficiency, maternal androgen-producing tumors, maternal exogenous androgen exposure, WNT4 mutation, or as associations.²⁷⁶⁻²⁷⁹ The most typical cause of 46,XX DSD is virilizing congenital adrenal hyperplasia (CAH) due to 21 hydroxylase deficiency.²⁸⁰ CAHs are disorders of steroidogenesis that mainly involve 21-hydroxylase (CYP21A2) and 11-hydroxylase (CYP11A1, CYP11B1, CUP11B2, etc) deficiencies of the adrenal glands and 3-beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase and P450-oxidoreductase of the gonads.²⁸¹ Here, the affected female is typically born with varying degrees of ambiguity of external genitalia, including occasional complete masculinization of the external genitalia and feminine internal genitalia.²⁷⁶ Also, aromatase deficiency (CYP19A1 gene), mainly involving gonads, causes partial virilization of 46,XX fetuses due to an altered testosterone/estrogen ratio.^{281,282} Patients with ovotesticular DSD must have welldeveloped ovarian, and testicular tissues proved on

histological examination. The chromosome analysis in ovotesticular DSD could reveal a karyotype of 46,XX/46,XY or 46,XY or 46,XX. Cases of gonadal dysgenesis present as female phenotype, normal to tall stature, bilateral dysgenetic gonads, sexual infantilism with primary amenorrhea, absent breast development, eunuchoid habitus, and a 46,XY karyotype.²⁸³ The internal genital structures are female with bilateral fallopian tubes, a uterus, and a vagina (infantile). In some cases of 46,XY gonadal dysgenesis is associated with mutations in key players of testis-determination, i.e., SRY, SOX9, MAP3K1, NR5A1, etc.²⁸⁴ Mutations of the SRY gene account for about 10-20% of cases. Mixed gonadal dysgenesis is when one gonad displays complete development (immature ovary or testis) and the other gonad is a streak. Most patients with this condition have testicular tissue on one side and a streak gonad on the other. Some of the variants of NR5A1 are inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion, with incomplete penetrance and variable expressivity. If a fertile parent is heterozygous, they will pass the variant to 50% of their offspring; offspring who are XX are at risk for testicular or ovotesticular DSD.²⁸⁵

Genetic diagnosis is vital for appropriately managing a patient with DSD and counseling of patient/parents regarding recurrence, prevention (prenatal/preimplantation diagnosis), and screening of family members. Commonly involved genes are AMH, AMHR2, AR, HSD17B3, HSD3B2, MAMLD1, NR5A1, SRD5A2, WT1, etc.²⁸⁶ This is very important in XY DSD where the diagnostic yield is low.²⁸⁷ MAMLD1 (mastermind-like domain containing 1) on the X chromosome is one of the causative genes for 46,XY DSD but the same variants are also often shared by unaffected individuals in the family.²⁸⁸ Hence, it is proposed that MAMLD1 variants lead to DSD in combination with other genetic (involved DSD) in abnormalities.289-291 Moreover, finding from genomic screening through massive parallel sequencing (NGS) approaches indicate that many DSD phenotypes might be only explained by oligogenic inheritance rather than monogenic.292 Oligogenic inheritance represents an intermediate between monogenic inheritance, in which a trait is determined by a single causative gene, and polygenic inheritance, in which many genes and often environmental factors influence a trait. A few genes cause oligogenic inheritance. Although many of these cases are monogenic, the expression of the phenotype is also influenced by genetic modifiers.²⁹³ Our experience with NGS screening on 46,XY as well as 46,XX sex reversal adults indicate involvement of multiple modifiers with/without other pathogenic genes (manuscript under preparation). The WES in one of 46,XY sexreversed females (with low testosterone, estradiol, SRY+, AZF+) detected cortisol, CYPIIAI (pathogenic variants) along with NR5A1, AKR1C2, AKR1C4, ZFX, NROB1, WT1, etc modifiers. In contrast, in another 46,XY sex reversal females with virilization at puberty (with lower normal male range testosterone, low estradiol, high FSH, normal cortisol. SRY+, AZF+) detected MAP3K1 (pathogenic variants) along with NR5A1, AKR1C2, AKR1C4, ZFX, NROB1, GATA4, DMRT1, SOX9, WT1, etc modifier genes involvement (ongoing unpublished study). The mutation of MAP3K1 gene is known with 46,XY DSD with complete gonadal dysgenesis.²⁹⁴ The mutation of the MAP3K1 gene may interfere with interaction with the RHOA gene and contributes to complete gonadal dysgenesis.294 Finally, the interpretation of the genetic diagnosis requires an understanding of the wide range of conditions like monogenic, oligogenic, polygenic, CNVs, etc that are associated with DSD, its inheritance pattern, and utilization of genetic information for reproductive genetic counseling besides the prevention of the disorder in the family.

Reproductive Cancer

Cancer is a genomic disorder. The first evidence of genetic involvement in cancer came from identifying the Philadelphia chromosome in chronic myeloid leukemia.²⁹⁵ Now, we can create neoplastic cells in the laboratory through the genetic manipulation of normal cells.²⁹⁶ Ectopic expression of three genes viz., hTERT, simian virus 40 large-T oncoprotein & H-RAS can result in the tumorigenic conversion of normal human epithelial and fibroblast cells.²⁹⁶ A critical conclusion from in vitro tumorigenic conversion is that there are not infinite molecular changes separating early cancer cells from normal cells but that tumor development is a finite process, requiring only three genes in the initial stage. However, in the advanced stage cell, genetic changes are chaotic. Neoplastic transformation is initiated by a genetic abnormality occurring during normal cell division. This initial event provides a platform for other genetic lesions to develop, and when the proper combination of genetic lesions accumulates in the cell, it becomes neoplastic. Neoplastic cells possess numerous genetic such abnormalities as chromosomal/ subchromosomal aneuploidy, polyploidy, rearrangements, deletions, duplication, amplifications, etc &/or genomic alterations such as mutations, small deletions & small insertions, etc &/or epigenomic alterations like promoter/euchromatin hypermethylation, heterochromatin deacetylation, etc. These genomic changes are multi steps processes resulting from

failure in checkpoints of cell cycle regulation. The hallmark of cancer cells is genetic instability, which generates genetic diversity. These lead to proliferative signaling, evading arowth suppressors, resisting cell death, enabling replicative immortality, inducing angiogenesis, activating invasion, metastasis, and more prolonged survival. In the advanced stage of cancer, all tumor cells are different as their genetic alterations are unstable/dynamic. Identification and testina recurrent genetic abnormality in cancers can assist in diagnosis, prognosis, and selecting appropriate treatment (targeted therapy viz., a tyrosine kinase inhibitor for ABR/BCL fusion-positive chronic myeloid leukemia, Her2/neu receptor blocker/ trastuzumab in Her2/neu positive breast cancers, anti-C-MET-targeted therapy C-MET positive gastric carcinoma, etc).²⁹⁷ Genomic changes should disappear (normal newer cells with the death of neoplastic cells) following the completion of successful treatment. Hence, genomic markers should be looked upon during treatment and the follow-up period to declare complete remission or relapse.²⁹⁸ However, despite extensive information on cancer genomics, very little is progressed in cancer survival and is mainly due to late diagnosis as survival is primarily related to early detection (downstaging).299

Previous techniques, such as FISH or PCR provided limited information on cancer cells.297,299,300 However, presently, global genomic approaches, viz., next-generation sequencing and DNA microarray provides complete information on genomic alterations (characterization of point mutations and structural alterations including copy number variations, aneuploidy, loss of heterozygosity, etc) in cancer cells. Advance-stage cancer cells may accumulate 100s of CNVs and millions of gene variants besides epigenetic changes, mainly in stromal cells, i.e., an infinite number of cellular genomic changes. With the disease progression, the accumulation of genetic changes increases in frequency, type, and size of the abnormalities. The complete genome sequences of many cancer types are obtained through the cancer genome project, providing us with a comprehensive view of cancer-related genomic changes. Cancer genome analysis will provide our understanding of cancer biology. It will likely prompt newer medical approaches, i.e., predictive (identifying at-risk individuals long before disease development) and preventive (reducing the likelihood of disease) medicine. Soon genetic profile of tumors will be characterized as well as rationalized personalized cancer therapy. However, we also realize that the high genomic variability & dynamic nature of tumor cells and

difficulties distinguishing driver mutations (confer growth advantage and metastasis) from passenger mutations (do not confer growth advantage) are severe obstacles to success against cancer. Targeting the driver mutations will impact tumor growth while targeting passenger mutations will have no effect. It is, therefore, crucial to differentiate driver from passenger mutations to clearly identify the targets of interest and avoid the administration of unnecessary, costly, and potentially toxic treatment. In addition, some passenger mutations may be deleterious to cancer cells; hence, targeting them will have harmful effects. Furthermore, mutations in non-coding regions of the genome (usually untested) also contribute to cancer development and progression and are likely to create additional complexity.³⁰¹

Massive information on most cancers at genomic, epigenomic, proteomic, etc levels are available now in public databases. Integrating these data into meaningful manners will require enormous work in the coming years. For this, we need a convergence of system biology approaches.³⁰² This will allow our current reactive medical policy (treating when a patient is sick) to turn into a predictive, preventive & personalized medical approach (predicting, downstaging & early treatment) that will also be cost-effective. Cancer genomics will guide us to predict, prevent, early detect, and early manage, including pharmacogenomics, to prevent drug toxicity-related death arising from cancer chemotherapy or radiotherapy as part of personalized medicine. Even prevention can be achieved through preimplantation genetic diagnosis, for example, PGD for adenomatous polyposis coli (APC-gene-related colonic carcinoma prevention).303

Another area of reproductive genetics is reproductive cancers which need special attention as reproductive cancers are increasing (some could be due to excessive use of hormones in ART), appearing in significantly younger ages (in particular ovarian; 35% cases below 25 years), poor prognosis due to late diagnosis (ovarian epithelial cancer), following exposure (estrogen) in fetal life (testicular dysgenesis syndrome, adenocarcinoma uterus/vagina, etc) or adult life (hormone replacement therapy with breast/uterine cancer) and often familial (breast, ovary, endometrium). Germline mutations are responsible for familial cancer, viz., BRCA mutation in familial breast, uterine & ovarian cancer. In the current genome sequencing era, clinical cancer diagnosis is transforming.³⁰⁴ This technology has enabled the discovery of genes implicated in cancer risk and created opportunities to develop more precise and early tumor detection. even at the

preimplantation/prenatal stage. The growing possibility of cancer prediction, prevention, and early precision treatment seems to be a reality.

Reproductive Genetic Counselling

Reproductive counseling is professional assistance to an individual and/or a couple for the prediction, prevention, and personalized reproductive options. This includes the risk of recurrence of a disease (in particular genetic), how to prevent the disorder, and the alternatives. This also provides information on fertility preservation, assisted reproductive technology, and third-party reproduction. It requires knowledge of genetics & genomics of reproductive disorders, reproductive technologies to manage reproductive disorders, dangers of transmitting genetic disorders to gametes/offspring, prevent and how to transmissions of genetic diseases.³⁰⁵ Understanding genetics and genomics is essential for reproductive specialists, particularly in the molecular medicine era with evolving high throughput genomic/omics technologies.^{28,32,306} It is also necessary to know reproductive genetic counseling, particularly knowledge on risk and how to prevent genetic disorders in offspring.³⁰⁵ This is essential as this will protect reproductive specialists from medico-legal consequences due to failure to avoid genetic disorders in offspring. As scientific knowledge and medicine advance, so do the public's expectations. Genetic and genomic testing is now commonplace in all specialties. Therefore, genetic counseling is progressively becoming integral to reproductive medicine practice.^{28,305,307} Historically, at-risk couples were given information regarding their reproductive chances of producing an affected offspring. The couple then had the option of either taking the opportunity or not reproducing at all. The advent of preimplantation & prenatal diagnosis has allowed these couples to have unaffected offspring, even for familial cancers.³⁰³ This has immensely increased the scope of genetic counseling, especially those requiring assisted reproductive technologies (ART), as these may increase the transmission of genetic disorders in offspring. Infertility is a high-risk situation for genetic abnormality in offspring. Hence all precautions should be discussed to prevent transmission. Reproductive genetic counseling is also essential to avoid future risks of medicolegal problems (lawsuits).

Reproductive genetic disorders could be chromosomal, monogenic (Mendelian inheritance) or polygenic, multifactorial (many genes interacting with environmental factors) or genomic disorders (copy number variations), or epigenomic disorders or mitochondrial.^{32,306} Dominant monogenic disorder is caused by one mutated gene and has a 50% chance of transmitting the mutated gene to offspring. The autosomal recessive monogenic disorder is caused by two mutated genes on two homologous chromosomes. Carriers have only one mutated gene (heterozygote) and are usually asymptomatic. When both parents are carriers, there is a 1 in 4 (25%) chance of having an affected child. A single mutated gene causes the X-linked dominant monogenic disorder on the X chromosome. Males and females are both affected. However, males are more severely affected than females. Offspring of either sex have a 50% chance of inheriting the disorder from affected females. The situation differs for affected males whose daughters will always inherit the gene and sons will never. The X-linked recessive disorder is caused by a single mutated gene located on the X chromosome in males. Males are affected, and females are usually carriers (asymptomatic) or mildly symptomatic. Female carriers have a 50% chance of transmitting the mutated gene to their offspring. Half of the sons will be affected, and half of the daughters will be carriers. Oligogenic disorders are caused by more than one gene, commonly a few genes. This is commonly seen with infertility as well as DSD. Polygenic/multifactorial disorders are caused by an interaction of many genes and many exogenous (environmental) factors. The inheritance pattern is complex and the risk of transmitting the disease is less than in monogenic disorders and difficult to predict recurrence risk in offspring. Genomic disorders are a group of diseases resulting from genomic rearrangements, such as insertions, deletions, duplications, inversions, etc. It is commonly evident with cancer, malformation, and early pregnancy loss, including the preimplantation stage.8,11,12,228 The mechanisms by which rearrangements contribute to various phenotypes (microdeletion/ duplication syndromes, schizophrenia, autism, infertility, autoimmune disease, neurodegenerative disorders, cancer, etc) are diverse and include gene dosage alterations, gene disruption, gene fusion, position effects, mutations, etc.³⁰⁸ Epigenetic changes can be inherited across cell divisions or generations and can have a profound effect on an individual's phenotype. Mitochondrial disorders are associated with defects in mitochondrial DNA. These defects can either be inherited from the mother (sperm do not contribute mitochondrial DNA at fertilization) or acquired through somatic mutation with age. Males do not transmit the disease, and all offspring of affected mothers will have the disease.

Genetic counseling is a communication process concerning the occurrence and the risks of the recurrence of genetic disorders within a family. This aims to provide the patient with a clear and comprehensive understanding, possible options and to facilitate rational decisions.³⁰⁹ This should be nondirective & non-biased manner. However, this may be modified in exceptional circumstances and may be more proactive. The ideal genetic counseling should aim at the medical, psychological, and social events so that couples can make appropriate decisions. The non-directive decision-making may sometimes prove unattainable, and hence one may rely on shared decision-making. A genetic counselor should be sensitive to diagnosis, particularly infertility, as it may be associated with social stigma, inferiority complex, familial disharmony/ anxiety, and ethics of various treatment options. Genetic counseling is always required when genetic risks are related to the cause of infertility. There are several options for genetic counseling for infertility, viz., avoiding having an affected child, having no children, having no genetic testing, having prenatal/preimplantation genetic diagnosis, or conception using donor gametes, or adoption. For prenatal diagnosis (PND)/ preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD) or use of donor gamete, genetic counseling should be provided by trained professionals. Counseling should begin with a thorough history, including both partners' medical, social, reproductive, and genetic histories. In situations where both partners are known to carry genetic defects (causing infertility), there can be a high chance of transmitting the disease to the child. In some countries, the law may govern these matters, but, in the absence of law, this type of conflict makes the doctor's role very difficult. In this situation, the future child's interests should take precedence over the interests of a couple. Indications of genetic counseling are the advanced age of the couple, a parent with a known genetic disorder/carrier (chromosomal, Yq microdeletion, cystic fibrosis, etc) before offering assisted reproduction, donor gamete use, etc. Pre-ART counseling is essential in the identification of risk factors, disease states & potential teratogens and prompts the elimination of teratogens as well as to discuss preventive measures through carrier screening, preimplantation screening, and prenatal screening. The patient's ethnicity, medical history, and genetic family history are crucial elements in this evaluation.

Preconception is the optimal time to review the importance of preventive measures for transmitting genetic disorders in offspring. It also provides the opportunity to address the risks associated with environmental hazards and medications and the general risk of a congenital anomaly or chromosomal abnormality associated with advanced parental age. Preconception counseling clinic is progressively becoming an integral part of modern reproductive care. This should cover risks associated with advanced age, ethnicity, an individual with balanced chromosomal translocations, risk of fetal malformations related to drugs/ radiation exposure, etc. Y chromosome microdeletion analysis should routinely be offered to all men with severe oligozoospermia or azoospermia. If infertility is secondary to AZF microdeletion, all male offspring will inherit that micro deleted Y chromosome and experience infertility or sterility, whereas no female will have the defect. The couple may choose for PGD to have a normal daughter. However, suppose the couple elects to have an AZF micro-deleted son. In that case, they must be aware of accumulating knowledge/interventions that may help their son preserve or optimize any future fertility-related problem.

Similarly, cystic fibrosis transmembrane reductase (CFTR) mutations have implications for clinical infertility practice. When the male partner has congenital bilateral agenesis of vas deferens (CBAVD), it is also essential to test the female partner for CFTR mutations. Suppose she is also found to be a carrier. In that case, there must be very careful consideration about whether the couple wishes to proceed with ICSI using the husband's sperm as the chance of a baby with cystic fibrosis will be 25% if he is heterozygous or 50% if one partner is homozygous & another partner is heterozygous or have PGD to avoid an affected offspring. Careful genetic counseling should also be offered to female partners carrying FMR 1 permutation, as premutation predisposes to further expansion of the triplet repeat in the germ line. PGD/PND for fragile X syndrome should be offered in these cases.

ART procedures related to genetic counseling

There is an increased risk of transmitting genetic defects to the offspring from ART procedures. Genetic counseling should be a crucial step before the ART procedure. There is a fourfold increase in the incidence of sex chromosomal abnormalities, a threefold increase in the incidence of structural chromosomal defects, and a sixfold increase in the incidence of imprinting defects in babies conceived by ART/ICSI.^{310,311} This may be due to retrieving epigenetically immature germ cells from the testes besides in vitro condition and mechanical insults. There has been concern about chromosomal, genetic, and developmental abnormalities in children born after ICSI. Available data so far has shown that there is a small but definite increased risk of chromosomal abnormality, in particular, sex chromosome abnormalities, major congenital

malformations, etc.³¹² Counselling processes should cover adverse effects of ART, viz., risk of a significant birth defect, epigenetic and imprinting disorders, etc.^{313,314} Imprinted genes play critical roles in embryonic growth and later after birth behavior.314 Epigenetic changes affect transcriptional activity and control developmental cell-type-specific plasticity, including gene expression.^{315,316} Studies on animal models have established that environmental factors, such as ovulation induction, culture medium composition, and/or embryo manipulation, etc affect epigenome and impact the conceptus, including birth weight. Animal models, such as mice and cows, commonly suffer from the so-called large-offspring syndrome with ART.³¹⁷ Genomic imprinting usually occurs during gametogenesis, which may not be completed early in the round spermatid stage. Genomic imprinting is a process through which alleles of given genes are expressed in a parent-of-origin-specific manner. Genes that are subject to imprinting often play critical roles in embryonic development. In humans, several defects in imprinted genes are linked to syndromes such as Beckwith Wiedemann, Prader Willi, Angelman, and Silver Russell. Studies suggest a possible link between ART and genomic disorders.³¹⁸ Cryopreservation, imprinting a technique commonly used for gamete/embryo storage, also affects gene expression, telomere length, replication senescence, plasma/nuclear membranes, chromatin condensation, and chromosomal aneuploidy.319,320 Gametes or gonad cryopreservation before cancer treatment should be investigated for possible hereditary etiology of cancer & risk of passing mutated genes leading to hereditary cancer in offspring. Similarly, when donors are chosen for oocyte or sperm donation, one should evaluate family history and appropriate genetic tests to prevent any transmission of genetic defect to the offspring.

Conclusion and future perspective

Genetic and genomic factors are greatly responsible for most reproductive disorders, and gradually becoming part of the modern medical management of reproductive disorders. With continued research using high throughput platforms on reproductive disorders, extensive information on genetic/genomic etiology is coming each day, including its complexity (oligogenic/ polygenic/multifactorial) with most diseases. However, the genetic association of many cases is yet to be identified. The massive information generated should guide reproductive counseling and be used to predict & prevent the disorder in the next generation. Advances in reproductive technology and reproductive genetics should be

used to manage (predict, prevent, and treat) reproductive disorders. Recent progress in in vitro gamete development initiated a new era of gametogenesis in a dish and personalized infertility treatment in the coming years. Rapid dissemination of information has affected daily reproductive care so much that understanding human reproductive genetics is essential for all reproductive specialists, in particular, to know the risks of a genetic disorder and how to prevent it. The ideal time to apply genetics & genomics should be from gametogenesis to the peri-conception period so that prediction and/or prevention (primary and/or secondary) is possible. This knowledge will protect reproductive specialists from medico-legal consequences following failure to prevent a genetic disorder in offspring. Once genomic screening technologies are in use, high-risk groups may be identified before the development of the disease, and appropriate measures may be started before the pathology is too late in the near future. Cases like Klinefelter syndrome, turner syndrome, Yq microdeletion, premature ovarian failure, etc where reproductive pathology manifests gradually after puberty, may benefit in the future through predictive genomic medicine practice gonad/ (e.g., gamete cryopreservation & later when required in vitro/in vivo gametogenesis). Reproductive counseling along with preventive measures like gonad/gamete cryopreservation & use later when required through in vitro or in vivo gametogenesis would be of much help to young cancer patients wanting a child in the

future as their survival rate is increasing. With a better understanding of the underlying cause of most reproductive disorders and continued advances in genomics and epigenomics, it is likely to have personalized medicine to predict, prevent and manage reproductive disorders in coming years.

Acknowledgement

Funding Statement:

The author received financial support for the various research works and/or publication of this article from:

- Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi, India, through various research projects (EEQ/2017/000214; EMR/2017/002136; SP/SO/B48/98)
- Department of Biotechnology, New Delhi, India, through research project (BT/PR41943/MED/97/518/2021)
- Indian Council of Medical Research New Delhi, India through various research projects (54/3/2005-BMS; 54/10/2013-HUM-BMS; etc.)
- All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India, through various research projects (F.5-59/IRG/2010/RS; F.6-1/200-Acad; etc.) and Learning Resource Allowances financial year 2022-23

References

1. Winkler HL. Verbreitung und Ursache der Parthenogenesis im Pflanzen- und Tierreiche. Jena: Verlag Fischer (1920).

2. Yadav SP. The wholeness in suffix -omics, -omes, and the word om. J Biomol Tech. 2007;18(5):277.

3. Halder A. Advances in Cytogenetics. JBR J Cl Diag Res. 2013; 16:e101.doi:10.4172/jcdr.1000e101.

4. Halder A. Cytogenetics to Cytogenomics: transition from Chromosome to DNA sequence. The Global J Hum Genet Gene Therapy. 2013; 1(2):90-104.

5. Halder A, Halder S, Fauzdar A, Kumar A. Molecular approaches of chromosome analysis: an overview. *Proc. Indian Nat. Sci. Acad.* 2004;B70(2):153-221.

6. Halder A, Park YK. Identification of the appropriate tissue from formalin fixed perinatal autopsy material for chromosomal ploidy detection by interphase FISH. *Ind J Med Res.* 1999; 110: 102-106.

7. Halder A, Tutschek B. Analysis of meiotic segregation in human nondecondensed interphase spermatozoa by multicolor rapid direct FISH. *Ind J Med Res.* 1998;107:94-97.

8. Fauzdar A, Sharma RK, Kumar A, Halder A. A Preliminary Study on Chromosome Aneuploidy and Mosaicism in Early Preimplantation Human Embryo by Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization. *Ind J Med Res* 2008;128(3):287-293.

9. Halder A, Jain M and Chaudhary I. Rapid Detection of Chromosome X, Y, 13, 18 & 21 Aneuploidies by Primed In Situ Labeling/Synthesis (PRINS) Technique. Ind J Hum Genet 2013;19(1):14-17.

10. Halder A. Assessment of DNA microarray for evaluation of microdeletion syndromes. *Ind J Hum Genet* 2014;20 (suppl 1):S23

11. Halder A, Halder S, Fauzdar A. A Preliminary Investigation on Molecular Basis for Clinical Aggressiveness in Cervical Carcinoma by Comparative Genomic Hybridization and Conventional Fluorescent In-situ Hybridization. Ind J of Med Res. 2005;122:434-446.

12. Halder A, Jain M, Kalsi AP. SNP Microarray in FISH Negative Clinically Suspected 22q11.2 Microdeletion Syndrome. *Scientifica* 2016;2016:18 pages 13. Hastings PJ, Lupski JR, Rosenberg SM, Ira G. Mechanisms of change in gene copy number. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2009;10(8):551-564.

14. Feuk L, Carson A, Scherer S. Structural variation in the human genome. Nat Rev Genet 2006;7:85–97.

15. Lee C, lafrate AJ, Brothman AR. Copy number variations and clinical cytogenetic diagnosis of constitutional disorders. *Nat Genet.* 2007;39(7 Suppl):S48-54.

16. Redon R, Ishikawa S, Fitch KR, et al. Global variation in copy number in the human genome. *Nature*. 2006;444(7118):444-454.

17. Alkan C, Coe BP, Eichler EE. Genome structural variation discovery and genotyping. *Nat Rev Genet* 2011;12:363-376.

18. McCarroll SA, Kuruvilla FG, Korn JM, et al. Integrated detection and population-genetic analysis of SNPs and copy number variation. *Nat. Genet.* 2008;40:1166–74.

19. Zhang F, Gu W, Hurles ME, Lupski JR. Copy number variation in human health, disease, and evolution. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet*. 2009;10:451-81.

20. Hu L, Yao X, Huang H, et al. Clinical significance of germline copy number variation in susceptibility of human diseases. *J Genet Genomics*. 2018;45(1):3-12.

21. Jonas RK, Montojo CA, Bearden CE. The 22q11.2 deletion syndrome as a window into complex neuropsychiatric disorders over the lifespan. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2014;75(5):351-60.

22. Morrow EM. Genomic copy number variation in disorders of cognitive development. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2010;49(11):1091-104.

23. Mabb AM, Judson MC, Zylka MJ, Philpot BD. Angelman syndrome: insights into genomic imprinting and neurodevelopmental phenotypes. *Trends Neurosci.* 2011;34(6):293-303.

24. Lauer S, Gresham D. An evolving view of copy number variants. *Curr Genet.* 2019;65(6):1287-1295.

25. Halder A. Non-invasive Prenatal Testing. EC Gynaecology 2016;3(5):365-367.

26. Mouawia H, Saker A, Jais JP, et al. Circulating trophoblastic cells provide genetic diagnosis in 63 fetuses at risk for cystic fibrosis or spinal muscular atrophy. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2012; 25:508–520.

27. Sherlock J, Halder A, Tutschek B, Rodeck C, Adinolfi M. Prenatal detection of fetal aneuploidies using transcervical cell samples. J Med Genet. 1997; 34: 302-305.

28. Halder A. Human Reproductive Genetics: Emerging Technologies and Clinical Applications. Indian J Med Res 2022; Dec 13. DOI: 10.4103/ijmr.ijmr_145_22.

29. Gurunath S, Pandian Z, Anderson RA, Bhattacharya S. Defining infertility--a systematic review of prevalence studies. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2011;17(5):575-88.

30. Rostad B, Schei B, Sundby J. Fertility in Norwegian women: results from a population-based health survey. Scand J Public Health. 2006;34(1):5-10.

31. Biswas L, Tyc K, El Yakoubi W, Morgan K, Xing J, Schindler K. Meiosis interrupted: the genetics of female infertility via meiotic failure. *Reproduction*. 2021;161(2):R13-R35.

32. Halder A. Reproductive Genetics. *JBR J Clin Diag Res.* 2016;4:106 (2 pages).doi:10.4172/2376-0311.1000e106

33. Faddy M, Silber S, Gosden RG. Intra-cytoplasmic sperm Injection and Infertility. *Nature Genet.* 2001;29:131.

34. Kuroda S, Usui K, Sanjo H, Takeshima T, Kawahara T, Uemura H, Yumura Y. Genetic disorders and male infertility. *Reprod Med Biol.* 2020;19(4):314-322.

35. Beyaz CC, Gunes S, Onem K, Kulac T, Asci R. Partial Deletions of Y-Chromosome in Infertile Men with Non-obstructive Azoospermia and Oligoasthenoteratozoospermia in a Turkish Population. *In Vivo*. 2017;31(3):365-371.

36. Hayes FJ, Seminara SB, Crowley WF Jr. Hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am.* 1998;27(4):739-63.

37. Bianco SD, Kaiser UB. The genetic and molecular basis of idiopathic hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2009;5(10):569-576.

38. Weiske WH, Salzler N, Schroeder-Printzen I, Weidner W. Clinical findings in congenital absence of the vasa deferentia. *Andrologia* 2000;32:13–18.

39. Patat O, Pagin A, Siegfried A, et al. Truncating mutations in the adhesion G protein-coupled receptor G2 gene ADGRG2 cause an X-linked congenital bilateral absence of vas deferens. Am J Hum Genet 2016;99:437-42.

40. Lee CH, Wu CC, Wu YN, Chiang HS. Gene copy number variations in Asian patients with congenital bilateral absence of the vas deferens. *Hum Reprod* 2009;24:748–755.

41. Shen Y, Yue HX, Li FP, et al. SCNN1B and CA12 play vital roles in occurrence of congenital bilateral absence of vas deferens (CBAVD). *Asian J Androl* 2019;21:525–527.

42. Wang YY, Lin YH, Wu YN, et al. Loss of SLC9A3 decreases CFTR protein and causes obstructed azoospermia in mice. *PLoS Genet* 2017;13:e1006715.

43. Halder A, Pandey D. CFTR gene variants in Indian CBAVD and its relevance in genetic counselling. *Ind J Med Res* 2020;152:535-537.

44. Del Castillo EB, Trabucco A, DE la Balze FA. Syndrome produced by absence of the germinal epithelium without impairment of the Sertoli or Leydig cells. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1947;7(7):493-502.

45. Hai Y, Hou J, Liu Y, et al. The roles and regulation of Sertoli cells in fate determinations of spermatogonial stem cells and spermatogenesis. *Semin Cell Dev Biol.* 2014;29:66-75.

46. Bar-Shira Maymon B, Yogev L, Yavetz H, et al. Spermatogonial proliferation patterns in men with azoospermia of different etiologies. *Fertil Steril.* 2003;80:1175–1180.

47. Halder A, Jain M and Kumar P. Primary Testicular Failure: An Overview. *JBR J Clin Diag Res.* 2015;3:1(1000e105).

48. Halder A, Kumar P, Jain M, Iyer VK. Copy number variations in testicular maturation arrest. *Andrology*. 2017;5(3):460-472.

49. Sharma A, Jain M, Halder A, Kaushal S. Identification of Genomic imbalances (CNVs as well as LOH) in Sertoli Cell Only Syndrome cases through Cytoscan Microarray. *Gene* 2021;30;801:145851.

50. Feng S, Cortessis VK, Hwang A, et al. Mutation analysis of INSL3 and GREAT/LGR8 genes in familial cryptorchidism. *Urology*. 2004;64(5):1032-1036.

51. Rodríguez F, Vallejos C, Giraudo F, et al. Copy number variants of Ras/MAPK pathway genes in patients with isolated cryptorchidism. *Andrology*. 2017;5(5):923-930.

52. Zhou-Cun A, Yang Y, Zhang SZ, Zhang W, Lin L. Chromosomal abnormality and Y chromosome microdeletion in Chinese patients with azoospermia or severe oligozoospermia. *Yi Chuan Xue Bao*. 2006;33(2):111-116.

53. Ferlin A, Dipresa S, Delbarba A, et al. Contemporary genetics-based diagnostics of male infertility. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn*. 2019;19(7):623-633.

54. Ferrás C, Fernandes S, Marques CJ, et al. AZF and DAZ gene copy specific deletion analysis in maturation arrest and Sertoli cell-only syndrome. *Mol Hum Reprod*. 2004; 10(10):755-61.

55. Halder A, Jain M, Kumar P. Primary Testicular Failure: Genotype Phenotype Correlation of 140 cases. Andrology 2014;2 (suppl. 1): 66-67.

56. Bojesen A, Juul S, Gravholt CH. Prenatal and postnatal prevalence of Klinefelter syndrome: a national registry study. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2003;88:622-626.

57. Paulsen CA, Gordon DL, Carpenter RW, Gandy HM, Drucker WD. Klinefelter's syndrome and its variants: a hormonal and chromosomal study. *Recent Prog Horm Res.* 1968;24:321-363.

58. Zinn AR, Ramos P, Elder FF, et al. (2005) Androgen receptor CAGn repeat length influences phenotype of 47,XXY (Klinefelter) syndrome. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2005;90: 5041-5046.

59. Ferlin A, Zuccarello D, Zuccarello B, et al. Genetic alterations associated with cryptorchidism. *JAMA*. 2008;300:2271-2276.

60. Kumar P, Jain M, Kalsi AK, Halder A. Molecular characterization of a case of dicentric Y presented as non-obstructive azoospermia with testicular early maturation arrest. *Andrologia*. 2018;50(2). DOI:10.1111/and.12886.

61. Jain M, Mohan V, Chaudhary I, Halder A. Sertoli cell only syndrome and glaucoma in a SRY positive

XX infertile male. J Clin Diagn Res. 2013;7(7):1457-1459.

62. Simpson JL. Male pseudohermaphroditism: genetics and clinical delineation. *Hum Genet*. 1978;44:1-49.

63. De Braekeleer M, Dao TN. Cytogenetic studies in male infertility: a review. *Hum Reprod* 1991;6:245–250.

64. Ferlin A, Arredi B, Speltra E, et al. Molecular and clinical characterization of Y chromosome microdeletions in infertile men: a 10-year experience in Italy. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2007;92(3):762-770.

65. Kuroda-Kawaguchi T, Skaletsky H, Brown LG, et al. The AZFc region of the Y chromosome features massive palindromes and uniform recurrent deletions in infertile men. Nat Genet. 2001;29(3):279-286.

66. Luddi A, Margollicci M, Gambera L, et al. Spermatogenesis in a man with complete deletion of USP9Y. N Engl J Med. 2009;360(9):881-885.

67. Foresta C, Moro E, Garolla A, Onisto M, Ferlin A. Y chromosome microdeletions in cryptorchidism and idiopathic infertility. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 1999;84(10):3660-3665.

68. Mohandas TK, Speed RM, Yen PH, Chandley AC, Shapiro LJ. (1992) Role of the pseudoautosomal region in sex-chromosome pairing during male meiosis: meiotic studies in a man with a deletion of distal Xp. *Am J Hum Genet*. 1992;51:526–533.

69. Chandley AC, Goetz P, Hargreave TB, Joseph AM, Speed RM. On the nature and extent of XY pairing at meiotic prophase in man. Cytogenet Genome Res. 1985;38:241–247.

70. Speed RM, Chandley AC. Prophase of meiosis in human spermatocytes analysed by EM microspreading in infertile men and their controls and comparisons with human oocytes. *Hum Genet*. 1990;84:547–554.

71. Burgoyne PS, Baker TG. Perinatal oocyte loss in XO mice and its implications for the aetiology of gonadal dysgenesis in XO women. *Reproduction*. 1985;75:633–645.

72. Burgoyne PS, Mahadevaiah SK. Unpaired sex chromosomes and gametogenic failure. In: Chromosomes Today (eds. AT Sumner & AC The Genetic and Genomic Landscape of Human Reproductive Disorders

Chandley), 1993 pp. 243–263. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.

73. Ledig S, Hiort O, Scherer G, et al. Array-CGH analysis in patients with syndromic and non-syndromic XY gonadal dysgenesis: evaluation of array CGH as diagnostic tool and search for new candidate loci. *Hum Reprod.* 2010;25(10):2637-2646.

74. Yan W. Male infertility caused by spermiogenic defects: lessons from gene knockouts. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*. 2009;306(1-2):24-32.

75. Schultz N, Hamra FK, Garbers DL. A multitude of genes expressed solely in meiotic or postmeiotic spermatogenic cells offers a myriad of contraceptive targets. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2003;100(21):12201-1226.

76. Stouffs K, Lissens W. X chromosomal mutations and spermatogenic failure. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2012;1822(12):1864-1872.

77. Zitzmann M, Depenbusch M, Gromoll J, Nieschlag E. X-chromosome inactivation patterns and androgen receptor functionality influence phenotype and social characteristics as well as pharmacogenetics of testosterone therapy in Klinefelter patients. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2004;89(12):6208-6217.

78. Davis-Dao CA, Tuazon ED, Sokol RZ, Cortessis VK. Male infertility and variation in CAG repeat length in the androgen receptor gene: a meta-analysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2007;92(11):4319-4326.

79. Hess RA, Bunick D, Lee KH, et al. A role for oestrogens in the male reproductive system. *Nature*. 1997;390:509-512.

80. Robertson KM, O'Donnell L, Jones ME, et al. Impairment of spermatogenesis in mice lacking a functional aromatase (cyp 19) gene. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1999;96:7986-7991.

81. Tapanainen JS, Aittomäki K, Min J, Vaskivuo T, Huhtaniemi IT. Men homozygous for an inactivating mutation of the follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) receptor gene present variable suppression of spermatogenesis and fertility. Nat Genet. 1997;15:205-206.

82. Takeda R, Ueda M. Pituitary-gonadal function in male patients with myotonic dystrophy- serum luteinizing hormone, follicle stimulating hormone and testosterone levels and histological damage of the testis. Acta Endocrinol (Copenh). 1977;84:382-389.

83. Nuti F, Krausz C. Gene polymorphisms/mutations relevant to abnormal spermatogenesis. Reprod Biomed Online. 2008;16:504-513.

84. Yatsenko AN, Georgiadis AP, Röpke A, et al. Xlinked TEX11 mutations, meiotic arrest, and azoospermia in infertile men. *N Engl J Med.* 2015;372(22):2097-2107.

85. Teng YN, Lin YM, Sun HF, et al. Association of DAZL haplotypes with spermatogenic failure in infertile men. *Fertil Steril.* 2006;86:129-135.

86. Halder A, Chaddha V, Agarwal S, Fauzdar A. Absence of sperm meiotic segregation error of chromosomes 1, 9, 12, 13, 16, 18, 21, X and Y in a case of 100 % necrozoospermia. *Asian J Androl.* 2003;5(2):163-166.

87. Oliva R. Protamines and male infertility. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2006;12:417–435.

88. Celse T, Cazin C, Mietton F, et al. Genetic analyses of a large cohort of infertile patients with globozoospermia, DPY19L2 still the main actor, GGN confirmed as a guest player. *Hum Genet*. 2021;140(1):43-57.

89. Beurois J, Cazin C, Kherraf ZE, et al. Genetics of teratozoospermia: Back to the head. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2020;34(6):101473.

90. Chen P, Saiyin H, Shi R, et al. Loss of SPACA1 function causes autosomal recessive globozoospermia by damaging the acrosome-acroplaxome complex. *Hum Reprod.* 2021;36(9):2587-2596.

91. Crafa A, Condorelli RA, La Vignera S, Calogero AE, Cannarella R. Globozoospermia: A Case Report and Systematic Review of Literature. World J Mens Health. 2023;41(1):49-80.

92. Yatsenko AN, O'Neil DS, Roy A, et al. Association of mutations in the zona pellucida binding protein 1 (ZPBP1) gene with abnormal sperm head morphology in infertile men. Mol Hum Reprod. 2012;18(1):14-21.

93. Dam AH, Koscinski I, Kremer JA, et al. Homozygous mutation in SPATA16 is associated with male infertility in human globozoospermia. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2007;81(4):813-820.

94. Brahem S, Mehdi M, Elghezal H, Saad A. Study of aneuploidy rate and sperm DNA fragmentation in large-headed, multiple-tailed spermatozoa. *Andrologia* 2012;44:130–135. 95. Perrin A, Morel F, Moy L, et al. M. Study of aneuploidy in large-headed, multiple-tailed spermatozoa: case report and review of the literature. *Fertil Steril*. 2008;90:1201.e13-17.

96. Dieterich K, Soto Rifo R, Faure AK, et al. Homozygous mutation of AURKC yields large-headed polyploid spermatozoa and causes male infertility. *Nat Genet.* 2007;39(5):661-665.

97. Ray PF, Toure A, Metzler-Guillemain C, et al. Genetic abnormalities leading to qualitative defects of sperm morphology or function: Genetic abnormalities leading to qualitative sperm defects. *Clin Genet*. 2017;91:217–232.

98. Liu G, Wang N, Zhang H, et al. Novel mutations in PMFBP1, TSGA10 and SUN5: Expanding the spectrum of mutations that may cause acephalic spermatozoa. *Clin Genet* 2020;97:938–939.

99. Li L, Sha Y, Wang X, et al. Whole-exome sequencing identified a homozygous BRDT mutation in a patient with acephalic spermatozoa. Oncotarget. 2017;8:19914–19922.

100. Li L, Sha YW, Xu X, et al. DNAH6 is a novel candidate gene associated with sperm head anomaly. *Andrologia*. 2018. doi: 10.1111/and.12953.

101. Sha YW, Sha YK, Ji ZY, et al. TSGA10 is a novel candidate gene associated with acephalic spermatozoa. *Clin Genet*. 2018;93:776–783.

102. Sha YW, Sha YK, Ji ZY, et al. TSGA10 is a novel candidate gene associated with acephalic. Biallelic mutations in PMFBP1 cause acephalic spermatozoa. *Clinical Genetics* 2019;95:277–286.

103. Ye Y, Wei X, Sha Y, et al. Loss-of-function mutation in TSGA10 causes acephalic spermatozoa phenotype in human. *Mol Genet Genomic Med.* 2020:e1284.

104. Zhu F, Liu C, Wang F, et al. Mutations in PMFBP1 Cause Acephalic Spermatozoa Syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2018;103:188–199.

105. Ben Khelifa M, Coutton C, Zouari R, et al. Mutations in DNAH1, which encodes an inner arm heavy chain dynein, lead to male infertility from multiple morphological abnormalities of the sperm flagella. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2014;94(1):95-104.

106. Li Y, Sha Y, Wang X, et al. DNAH2 is a novel candidate gene associated with multiple

morphological abnormalities of the sperm flagella. *Clin Genet.* 2019;95(5):590-600.

107. Liu C, Tu C, Wang L, et al. Deleterious variants in X-linked CFAP47 induce asthenoteratozoospermia and primary male infertility. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2021;108(2):309-323.

108. Lv M, Liu W, Chi W, et al. Homozygous mutations in *DZIP1* can induce asthenoteratospermia with severe MMAF. J Med Genet. 2020;57(7):445-453.

109. He X, Liu C, Yang X, et al. Bi-allelic Loss-offunction Variants in CFAP58 Cause Flagellar Axoneme and Mitochondrial Sheath Defects and Asthenoteratozoospermia in Humans and Mice. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2020;107(3):514-526.

110. Martinez G, Beurois J, Dacheux D, et al. Biallelic variants in MAATS1 encoding CFAP91, a calmodulinassociated and spoke-associated complex protein, cause severe astheno-teratozoospermia and male infertility. J Med Genet. 2020;57(10):708-716.

111. Sha Y, Wei X, Ding L, et al. DNAH17 is associated with asthenozoospermia and multiple morphological abnormalities of sperm flagella. *Ann Hum Genet*. 2020;84(3):271-279.

112. Zhou Q, Xu M, Wang X, et al. Deficiency of TBL1XR1 causes asthenozoospermia. *Andrologia*. 2021;53(3):e13980.

113. Tu C, Cong J, Zhang Q, et al. Bi-allelic mutations of DNAH10 cause primary male infertility with asthenoteratozoospermia in humans and mice. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2021;108(8):1466-1477.

114. Yıldırım Y, Ouriachi T, Woehlbier U, et al. Linked homozygous BMPR1B and PDHA2 variants in a consanguineous family with complex digit malformation and male infertility. Eur J Hum Genet. 2018;26(6):876-885.

115. El Khouri E, Thomas L, Jeanson L, et al. Mutations in DNAJB13, Encoding an HSP40 Family Member, Cause Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia and Male Infertility. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2016;99(2):489-500.

116. Valdivia A, Irazusta J, Fernández D, Múgica J, Ochoa C, Casis L. Pyroglutamyl peptidase I and prolyl endopeptidase in human semen: increased activity in necrozoospermia. *Regul Pept*. 2004;122(2):79-84. 117. Fang S, Baker HWG. Male infertility and adult polycystic kidney disease are associated with necrospermia. *Fertil Steril*. 2003;79(3):643-644.

118. Mieusset R, Fauquet I, Chauveau D, et al. The spectrum of renal involvement in male patients with infertility related to excretory-system abnormalities: phenotypes, genotypes, and genetic counseling. J Nephrol. 2017;30(2):211-218.

119. Kumar A, Dumasia K, Deshpande S, Balasinor NH. Direct regulation of genes involved in sperm release by estrogen and androgen through their receptors and coregulators. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol. 2017;171:66-74.

120. Kumar A, Dumasia K, Deshpande S, Gaonkar R, Balasinor NH. Actin related protein complex subunit 1b controls sperm release, barrier integrity and cell division during adult rat spermatogenesis. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2016;1863(8):1996-2005.

121. Kumar A, Dumasia K, Gaonkar R, Sonawane S, Kadam L, Balasinor NH. Estrogen and androgen regulate actin-remodeling and endocytosis-related genes during rat spermiation. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 2015;404:91-101.

122. Shen C, Xu J, Zhou Q, et al. E3 ubiquitin ligase ASB17 is required for spermiation in mice. *Transl* Androl Urol. 2021;10(12):4320-4332.

123. Chen H, Li P, Du X, et al. Homozygous Loss of Septin12, but not its Haploinsufficiency, Leads to Male Infertility and Fertilization Failure. *Front Cell Dev Biol.* 2022;10:850052.

124. Yan Z, Fan Y, Wang F, et al. Novel Mutations in PLCZ1 Cause Male Infertility Due to Fertilization Failure or Poor Fertilization. *Hum. Reprod.* 2020;35(2):472–481.

125. Yuan P, Yang C, Ren Y, et al. A Novel Homozygous Mutation of Phospholipase C Zeta Leading to Defective Human Oocyte Activation and Fertilization Failure. *Hum. Reprod.* 2020;35(4):977– 985.

126. WHO Recent advances in medically assisted conception. Report of a WHO Scientific Group. World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser. 1992;820:1-111.

127. Toniolo D. X-linked premature ovarian failure: a complex disease. *Curr Opin Genet Dev.* 2006;16:293–300.

128. Ledig S, Ropke A, Wieacker P. Copy number variants in premature ovarian failure and ovarian dysgenesis. Sex Dev 2010;4:225-232.

129. Ledig S, Schippert C, Strick R, Beckmann MW, Oppelt PG, Wieacker P. Recurrent aberrations identified by array-CGH in patients with Mayer-Rokitansky-Küster-Hauser syndrome. *Fertil Steril.* 2011;95(5):1589-1594.

130. Kumar H, Halder A, Sharma M, Kalsi AK, Jain M. Dihydrotestosterone: a potential biomarker of hyperandrogenaemia in PCOS. J Clin and Diag Res. 2022;16(2):QC09-QC14.

131. Halder A, Kumar H, Sharma M, Jain M, Kalsi AK. Serum Anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH): most potential biomarker of PCOS from North India. *Ind J Med Res.* (IJMR_4608, in press)

132. Halder A, Kumar H, Sharma P, Sharma M, Jain M. Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS): An Overview and our experience. *J Endocrin Reprod.* 2022;26(3):127-152.

133. Vink JM, Sadrzadeh S, Lambalk CB, Boomsma Dl. Heritability of polycystic ovary syndrome in a Dutch twin-family study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2006;91:2100-2104.

134. Kahsar-Miller MD, Nixon C, Boots LR, et al. Prevalence of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) in first-degree relatives of patients with PCOS. *Fertil Steril*. 2001;75:53-58.

135. Admoni O, Israel S, Lavi I, et al. Hyperandrogenism in carriers of CYP21 mutations: The role of genotype. *Clin Endocrinol* (Oxf). 2006;64:645-651.

136. Trakakis E, Rizos D, Loghis C, et al. The prevalence of non-classical congenital adrenal hyperplasia due to 21-hydroxylase deficiency in Greek women with hirsutism and polycystic ovary syndrome. Endocr J. 2008;55:33-39.

137. Sharma P, Jain M, Halder A. An investigation of steroid biosynthesis pathway genes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *J Hum Reprod Sci.* 2022;15:240-249.

138. Sharma P, Jain M, Halder A. Whole Exome Sequencing identifies rare variants in obesity- and hyperinsulinemia-related genes in PCOS patients with high BMI and fasting insulin. J Hum Reprod Sci. 2023 (under review) 139. Bruni V, Capozzi A, Lello S. The role of genetics, epigenetics and lifestyle in Polycystic Ovary Syndrome Development: The state of the art. *Reprod* Sci. 2022;29:668-679.

140. Mu L, Sun X, Tu M, Zhang D. Non-coding RNAs in Polycystic Ovary Syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol*. 2021;19:10.

141. Zhang Y, Ho K, Keaton JM, et al. A genomewide association study of Polycystic Ovary Syndrome identified from electronic health record. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;223:559.

142. Reddy KR, Deepika ML, Supriya K, et al. CYP11A1 microsatellite (tttta)n polymorphism in PCOS women from South India. *J Assist Reprod Genet*. 2014;31:857-863.

143. Pall M, Azziz R, Beires J, Pignatelli D. The phenotype of hirsute women: a comparison of polycystic ovary syndrome and 21-hydroxylase-deficient nonclassic adrenal hyperplasia. *Fertil Steril.* 2010;94:684-689.

144. Yarman S, Dursun A, Oguz F, Alagol F. The prevalence, molecular analysis and HLA typing of late-onset 21-hydroxylase deficiency in Turkish woman with hirsutism and polycystic ovary. *Endocr J*. 2004;51:31-36.

145. Goodarzi MO, Jones MR, Li X, et al. Replication of association of DENND1A and THADA variants with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome in European cohorts. J Med Genet. 2012;49:90-95.

146. Shi Y, Zhao H, Shi Y, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies eight new risk loci for Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. *Nat Genet.* 2012;44:1020-1025.

147. Urbanek M, Sam S, Legro RS, Dunaif A. Identification of a Polycystic Ovary Syndrome susceptibility variant in fibrillin-3 and association with a metabolic phenotype. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2007;92:4191-4198.

148. Tan S, Scherag A, Janssen OE, et al. Large effects on body mass index and insulin resistance of fat mass and obesity associated gene (FTO) variants in patients with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS). *BMC Med Genet*. 2010;11:12.

149. Tata B, Mimouni NEH, Barbotin AL, et al. Elevated prenatal anti-Müllerian hormone reprograms the fetus and induces polycystic ovary syndrome in adulthood. Nat Med. 2018;24:834-846.

150. Alebić MŠ, Stojanović N, Duhamel A, Dewailly D. The phenotypic diversity in per follicle anti mullerian hormone production in Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. *Hum Reprod.* 2015;30:1927-1933.

151. Li S, Zhu D, Duan H, Tan Q. The epigenomics of PCOS: from pathogenesis to clinical manifestations. *Gynecol Endocrinol*. 2016;32:942-946.

152. Abbott DH, Barnett DK, Bruns CM, Dumesic DA. Androgen excess fetal programming of female reproduction: a developmental etiology for Polycystic Ovary Syndrome? *Hum Reprod Update*. 2005;11:357-374.

153. Li Z, Huang H. Epigenetic abnormality: a possible mechanism underlying the fetal origin of Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. *Med Hypotheses*. 2008;70:638-642.

154. Jones MR, Chazenbalk G, Xu N, et al. Steroidogenic regulatory factor FOS is under expressed in Polycystic Ovary Syndrome (PCOS) adipose tissue and genetically associated with PCOS susceptibility. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2012;97:E1750-E1757.

155. Jiang LL, Xie JK, Cui JQ, et al. Promoter methylation of yes-associated protein (YAP1) gene in Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2017;96(2):e5768.

156. Welt CK. Primary ovarian insufficiency: a more accurate term for premature ovarian failure. *Clin Endocrinol* (Oxf). 2008;68(4):499-509.

157. Qin Y, Jiao X, Simpson JL, Chen ZJ. Genetics of primary ovarian insufficiency: new developments and opportunities. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2015;21(6):787-808.

158. Thompson MW, McInnes RR, Willard HF. The sex chromosomes and their abnormalities. In Thompson MW, McInnes RR and Willard HF (eds). 1991. Genetics in Medicine. WB. Saunders, Philadelphia, 239–243

159. Huang C, Guo T, Qin Y. Meiotic Recombination Defects and Premature Ovarian Insufficiency. *Front* Cell Dev Biol. 2021;9:652407.

160. Sharma M, Halder A. Understanding Basic Concepts of Premature Ovarian Failure. *EC Gynaecology* 2021;10(11):25-36. 161. Allen EG, Sullivan AK, Marcus M, et al. Examination of reproductive aging milestones among women who carry the FMR1 premutation. *Hum* Reprod. 2007;22(8):2142-2152.

162. Sherman SL. Premature ovarian failure in the fragile X syndrome. Am J Med Genet. 2000;97(3):189-194.

163. Rana R, Sharma M, Halder A. New insights into the mechanism of pathogenesis of fragile Xassociated premature ovarian failure. *EC Gynecology* 2022;11.7 (6 pages)

164. Wittenberger MD, Hagerman RJ, Sherman SL, et al. The FMR1 premutation and reproduction. *Fertil Steril.* 2007;87(3):456-465.

165. Marozzi A, Vegetti W, Manfredini E, et al. Association between idiopathic premature ovarian failure and fragile X premutation. *Hum Reprod*. 2000;15(1):197-202.

166. Murray A, Schoemaker MJ, Bennett CE, et al. Population-based estimates of the prevalence of FMR1 expansion mutations in women with early menopause and primary ovarian insufficiency. *Genet Med.* 2014;16(1):19-24.

167. Allen EG, Charen K, Hipp HS, et al. Refining the risk for fragile X-associated primary ovarian insufficiency (FXPOI) by FMR1 CGG repeat size. Genet Med. 2021;23(9):1648-1655.

168. Pastore LM, Johnson J. The FMR1 gene, infertility, and reproductive decision-making: a review. *Front Genet*. 2014;5:195.

169. Davison RM, Fox M, Conway GS. Mapping of the POF1 locus and identification of putative genes for premature ovarian failure. *Mol Hum Reprod*. 2000;6(4):314-318.

170. Shelling AN. Premature ovarian failure. *Reproduction*. 2010;140:633-641.

171. Goswami D, Conway GS. Premature ovarian failure. *Hum Reprod.* 2005;11:391-410.

172. Bione S, Rizzolio F, Sala C, et al. Mutation analysis of two candidate genes for premature ovarian failure, DACH2 and POF1B. *Hum. Reprod.* 2004;19:2759-2766.

173. Bione S, Sala C, Manzini C, et al. A human homologue of the Drosophila melanogaster

diaphanous gene is disrupted in a patient with premature ovarian failure: evidence for conserved function in oogenesis and implications for human sterility. *Am J Hum Genet*. 1998;62(3):533-541.

174. Panda B, Rao L, Tosh D, et al. Germline study of AR gene of Indian women with ovarian failure. *Gynecol Endocrinol.* 2011;27(8):572-578.

175. Dixit H, Deendayal M, Singh L. Mutational analysis of the mature peptide region of inhibin genes in Indian women with ovarian failure. *Hum Reprod*. 2004;19(8):1760-1764.

176. Dixit H, Rao LK, Padmalatha V, et al. Mutational screening of the coding region of growth differentiation factor 9 gene in Indian women with ovarian failure. Menopause. 2005;12(6):749-754.

177. Harris SE, Chand AL, Winship IM, Gersak K, Aittomäki K, Shelling AN. Identification of novel mutations in FOXL2 associated with premature ovarian failure. *Mol Hum Reprod*. 2002;8(8):729-733.

178. Chand AL, Ponnampalam AP, Harris SE, Winship IM, Shelling AN. Mutational analysis of BMP15 and GDF9 as candidate genes for premature ovarian failure. *Fertil Steril.* 2006;86(4):1009-1012.

179. Qin Y, Choi Y, Zhao H, Simpson JL, Chen ZJ, Rajkovic A. NOBOX homeobox mutation causes premature ovarian failure. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2007;81(3):576-581.

180. Rishi I, Halder A, Sharma JB, Jain M, Sharma M. Single Strand Conformation Polymorphism and Sequencing of HS6ST2 Gene in Patients of Idiopathic Premature Ovarian Failure. J Clin Diagn Res. 2020;14(2):GC01-GC08

181. Persani L, Rossetti R, Cacciatore C. Genes involved in human premature ovarian failure. J Mol Endocrinol. 2010;45(5):257-279.

182. Knauff EAH, Franke L, van Es MA, et al. Genomewide association study in premature ovarian failure patients suggests ADAMTS19 as a possible candidate gene. *Hum Reprod.* 2009;24:2372-2378.

183. Aboura A, Dupas C, Tachdijan G, et al. Array comparative genomic hybridization profiling analysis reveals deoxyribonucleic acid copy number variations associated with premature ovarian failure. *J Clin Endocrinl Metab.* 2009;94:4540-4546. 184. McGuire MM, Bowden W, Engel NJ, Ahn HW, Kovanci E, Rajkovic A. Genomic analysis using highresolution single-nucleotide polymorphism arrays reveals novel microdeletions associated with premature ovarian failure. *Fertil Steril.* 2011;95:1595-1600.

185. AlAsiri S, Basit S, Wood-Trageser MA, et al. Exome sequencing reveals MCM8 mutation underlies ovarian failure and chromosomal instability. *J Clin Invest.* 2015;125:258-262.

186. Wood-Trageser MA, Gurbuz F, Yatsenko SA, et al. MCM9 mutations are associated with ovarian failure, short stature, and chromosomal instability. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2014;95:258-262.

187. Wang J, Zhang W, Jiang H, Wu BL. Mutations in HFM1 in recessive primary ovarian insufficiency. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;370:972-974.

188. Fonseca DJ, Patiño LC, Suárez YC, et al. Next generation sequencing in women affected by nonsyndromic premature ovarian failure displays new potential causative genes and mutations. *Fertil Steril.* 2015;104(1):154-162.

189. Berwaer M, Martial JA, Davis JR, et al. Characterization of an up-stream promoter directing extrapituitary expression of the human prolactin gene. Mol. Endocrinol. Baltim. Md. 1994;8:635–642.

190. Bazan JF. Haemopoietic receptors and helical cytokines. *Immunol Today*. 1990;11:350–354.

191. Kalsi AK, Halder A, Jain M, Chaturvedi PK, Mathew M, Sharma JB. Association of raised levels of IL-4 and anti-TPO with hyperprolactinemia. *Am J Reprod Immunol.* 2019;81(3):e13085.

192. Kalsi AK, Halder A, Jain M, Chaturvedi PK, Sharma JB. Prevalence and reproductive manifestations of macroprolactinemia. *Endocrine*. 2019;63(2):332-340.

193. Grossmann M. Mutant prolactin receptor and familial hyperprolactinemia. N Engl J Med. 2014;370(10):976-977.

194. Molitch ME. Mutant prolactin receptor and familial hyperprolactinemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2014;370(10):977.

195. Newey PJ, Gorvin CM, Cleland SJ, et al. Mutant prolactin receptor and familial hyperprolactinemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;369(21):2012-2020. 196. Huang X, Wang L, Zhao S, et al. Pregnancy Induces an Immunological Memory Characterized by Maternal Immune Alterations Through Specific Genes Methylation. *Front Immunol*. 2021;12:686676.

197. Okae H, Toh H, Sato T, et al. Derivation of Human Trophoblast Stem Cells. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2018;22:50-63.

198. James JL, Saghian R, Perwick R, et al. Trophoblast plugs: impact on utero-placental haemodynamics and spiral artery remodelling. *Human Reproduction*. 2018;33:1430–1441.

199. Prescott J, Farland LV, Tobias DK, et al. A prospective cohort study of endometriosis and subsequent risk of infertility. *Hum Reprod.* 2016;31(7):1475-1482.

200. Treloar SA, Wicks J, Nyholt DR, et al. Genomewide linkage study in 1,176 affected sister pair families identifies a significant susceptibility locus for endometriosis on chromosome 10q26. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2005;77:365–376.

201. Wu MH, Hsiao KY, Tsai SJ. Endometriosis and possible inflammation markers. *Gynecology and Minimally Invasive Therapy* 2015;4:61-67.

202. Borghese B, Vaiman D, de Ziegler D, Chapron C. Endométriose et génétique : les gènes sont-ils responsables de la maladie ? [Endometriosis and genetics: what responsibility for the genes?]. J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris). 2010;39(3):196-207.

203. Kobayashi H, Imanaka S, Nakamura H, Tsuji A. Understanding the role of epigenomic, genomic and genetic alterations in the development of endometriosis (review). Mol Med Rep. 2014;9(5):1483-1505.

204. Pollacco J, Sacco K, Portelli M, Schembri-Wismayer P, Calleja-Agius J. Molecular links between endometriosis and cancer. *Gynecol Endocrinol*. 2012;28(8):577-581.

205. Yang W, Zhang Y, Fu F, Li R. High-resolution array-comparative genomic hybridization profiling reveals 20q13.33 alterations associated with ovarian endometriosis. *Gynecol Endocrinol.* 2013;29(6):603-607.

206. Bulun SE, Yilmaz BD, Sison C, et al. Endometriosis. *Endocr Rev.* 2019;40(4):1048-1079.

207. Dinulescu DM, Ince TA, Quade BJ, Shafer SA, Crowley D, Jacks T. Role of Kras and Pten in the

development of mouse models of endometriosis and endometrioid ovarian cancer. *Nat Med.* 2005;11:63– 70.

208. Jones RK, Searle RF, Bulmer JN. Apoptosis and bcl-2 expression in normal human endometrium, endometriosis and adenomyosis. *Hum Reprod.* 1998;13:3496–3502.

209. Wu Y, Strawn E, Basir Z, et al. Genomic alterations in ectopic and eutopic endometria of women with endometriosis. *Gynecol Obstet Invest.* 2006;62:148–159.

210. Guo SW, Wu Y, Strawn E, et al. Genomic alterations in the endometrium may be a proximate cause for endometriosis. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2004;116:89–99.

211. Kobayashi H, Kajiwara H, Kanayama S, et al. Molecular pathogenesis of endometriosis-associated clear cell carcinoma of the ovary (review). Oncol Rep. 2009;22(2):233-240.

212. Sato N, Tsunoda H, Nishida M, et al. Loss of heterozygosity on 10q23.3 and mutation of the tumor suppressor gene PTEN in benign endometrial cyst of the ovary: possible sequence progression from benign endometrial cyst to endometrioid carcinoma and clear cell carcinoma of the ovary. Cancer Res. 2000;60:7052–7056.

213. Houshdaran S, Zelenko Z, Tamaresis JS, Irwin JC, Giudice LC. Abnormal epigenetic signature in eutopic endometrium of subjects with severe endometriosis. *Reprod Sci.* 2011;18:191A.

214. Guo SW. Epigenetics of endometriosis. *Mol Hum* Reprod. 2009;15:587–607.

215. Martin RH. Chromosomal abnormalities in human sperm. In: Robaire B, Hales BE, editor. Advances in Male-Mediated developmental toxicity. Vol. 518. New York, Plenum Press; 2003. pp. 181–188.

216. Plachot M. Chromosomal abnormalities in oocytes. *Mol Cell Endocrinol*. 2001;183 Suppl 1:S59-S63.

217. Plachot M, de Grouchy J, Cohen J, Salat-Baroux J. Anomalies chromosomiques de l'oeuf humain fécondé [Chromosome abnormalities of the fertilized human egg]. *Reprod Nutr Dev.* 1990;Suppl 1:83s-88s.

218. Zinaman MJ, Clegg ED, Brown CC, O'Connor J, Selevan SG. Estimates of human fertility and pregnancy loss. *Fertil Steril*. 1996;65(3):503-509. 219. Simpson JL. Causes of fetal wastage. *Clin Obstet* Gynecol. 2007;50(1):10-30.

220. Oniya O, Neves K, Ahmed B, Konje JC. A review of the reproductive consequences of consanguinity. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2019;232:87-96.

221. Kalousek DK, Pantzar T, Tsai M, Paradice B. Early spontaneous abortion: morphologic and karyotypic findings in 3,912 cases. *Birth Defects Orig Artic Series*. 1993;29:53-61.

222. Gao J, Liu C, Yao F, et al. Array-based comparative genomic hybridization is more informative than conventional karyotyping and fluorescence in situ hybridization in the analysis of first-trimester spontaneous abortion. *Mol Cytogenet*. 2012;5(1):33.

223. Li H, Liu M, Xie M, et al. Submicroscopic chromosomal imbalances contribute to early abortion. *Mol Cytogenet*. 2018;11:41.

224. Bender Atik R, Christiansen OB, Elson J, et al. ESHRE guideline: recurrent pregnancy loss. *Hum Reprod Open.* 2018;2018(2):hoy004.

225. Coomarasamy A, Williams H, Truchanowicz E, et al. PROMISE: first-trimester progesterone therapy in women with a history of unexplained recurrent miscarriages - a randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled, international multicentre trial and economic evaluation. *Health Technol Assess*. 2016;20(41):1-92.

226. Stern JJ, Dorfmann AD, Gutiérrez-Najar AJ, Cerrillo M, Coulam CB. Frequency of abnormal karyotypes among abortuses from women with and without a history of recurrent spontaneous abortion. *Fertil Steril.* 1996;65:250-253.

227. Ogasawara M, Aoki K, Okada S, Suzumori K. Embryonic karyotype of abortuses in relation to the number of previous miscarriages. *Fertil Steril.* 2000;73(2):300-304.

228. Halder A, Fauzdar A. Skewed sex ratio and low aneuploidy in recurrent early missed abortion. *Indian* J Med Res. 2006;124(1):41-50.

229. Carp H, Toder V, Aviram A, Daniely M, Mashiach S, Barkai G. Karyotype of the abortus in recurrent miscarriage. *Fertil Steril*. 2001;75:678-682.

230. Sheng YR, Hou SY, Hu WT, et al. Characterization of Copy-Number Variations and

Possible Candidate Genes in Recurrent Pregnancy Losses. Genes (Basel). 2021;12(2):141.

231. Zhang X, Wu H, Gu Z, Yu Z, Lan L, Huang Q. Chromosomal Copy Number Variation Analysis in Pregnancy Products from Recurrent and Sporadic Miscarriage Using Next-Generation Sequencing. *Reprod Sci.* 2022;29(10):2927-2936.

232. Rajcan-Separovic E. Next generation sequencing in recurrent pregnancy loss-approaches and outcomes. *Eur J Med Genet*. 2020;63(2):103644.

233. Qiao Y, Wen J, Tang F, et al. Whole exome sequencing in recurrent early pregnancy loss. *Mol Hum Reprod*. 2016;22(5):364-372.

234. Murdoch S, Djuric U, Mazhar B, et al. Mutations in NALP7 cause recurrent hydatidiform moles and reproductive wastage in humans. *Nat Genet.* 2006;38:300–302.

235. Wang CM, Dixon PH, Decordova S, et al. Identification of 13 novel NLRP7 mutations in 20 families with recurrent hydatidiform mole; missense mutations cluster in the leucine-rich region. J Med Genet. 2009;46(8):569-575.

236. Deveault C, Qian JH, Chebaro W, et al. NLRP7 mutations in women with diploid androgenetic and triploid moles: a proposed mechanism for mole formation. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2009;18(5):888-897.

237. Messaed C, Chebaro W, Di Roberto RB, et al; HM Collaborative Group. NLRP7 in the spectrum of reproductive wastage: rare non-synonymous variants confer genetic susceptibility to recurrent reproductive wastage. J Med Genet. 2011;48(8):540-548.

238. Huang JY, Su M, Lin SH, Kuo PL. A genetic association study of NLRP2 and NLRP7 genes in idiopathic recurrent miscarriage. *Hum Reprod.* 2013;28(4):1127-1134.

239. Parry DA, Logan CV, Hayward BE, et al. Mutations causing familial biparental hydatidiform mole implicate cóorf221 as a possible regulator of genomic imprinting in the human oocyte. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2011;89:451–458.

240. Docherty LE, Rezwan FI, Poole RL, et al. Mutations in NLRP5 are associated with reproductive wastage and multilocus imprinting disorders in humans. Nat Commun. 2015;6:8086. 241. Xu Y, Shi Y, Fu J, et al. Mutations in PADI6 cause female infertility characterized by early embryonic arrest. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2016;99:744–752.

242. Maddirevula S, Awartani K, Coskun S, et al. A genomics approach to females with infertility and recurrent pregnancy loss. *Hum Genet*. 2020;139(5):605-613.

243. Qian J, Nguyen NMP, Rezaei M, et al. Biallelic PADI6 variants linking infertility, miscarriages, and hydatidiform moles. *Eur J Hum Genet*. 2018;26(7):1007-1013.

244. Preston FE, Rosendaal FR, Walker ID, et al. Increased fetal loss in women with heritable thrombophilia. *Lancet*. 1996;348:913–916.

245. Hassold T, Quillen SD, Yamane JA. Sex ratio in spontaneous abortions. *Ann Hum Genet*. 1983;47:39-47.

246. Kelly TE, Ferguson JE, Golden W. Survival of fetuses with 45,X: an instructive case and a hypothesis. *Am J Med Genet*. 1992;42:825-826.

247. Lebedev I. Molecular cytogenetics of recurrent missed abortions. *Indian J Med Res.* 2006;124(1):9-10.

248. Kalter, I.T. and Warkany, J. Congenital Malformation Etiologic Factors and Their Role in Prevention. Parts I and II. New Eng J Med. 1983;308:424-431, 491-497.

249. Nelson, K. and Holmes, L.B. (1989) Malformations Due to Presumed Spontaneous Mutations in Newborn Infants. *New Eng J Med.* 1989;320:19-23.

250. De Vigan C, Khoshnood B, Lhomme A, Vodovar V, Goujard J, Goffinet F. Prevalence and prenatal diagnosis of congenital malformations in the Parisian population: twenty years of surveillance by the Paris Registry of congenital malformations. J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris). 2005;34:8–16.

251. Lobo I, Zhaurova K. Birth defects: causes and statistics. *Nature Education*. 2008;1(1):18.

252. Christianson A, Howson CP, Modell B. Global report on birth defects. The hidden toll of dying and disabled children. March of Dimes Birth Defects Foundation, White Plains 2005

253. Dolk H, Loane M, Garne E. The prevalence of congenital anomalies in Europe. Adv Exp Med Biol.

2010;686:349-364.

254. Shazly SA, Abbas AM, Ali SS, Salem NZ. Integrative mid-trimester anomaly (IMTA) chart: a novel sonographic approach for syndromatic challenges (pilot study). J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. 2016;29(6):885-891.

255. Halder A. Approach to Prenatal Fetal Malformations. *EC Gynaecology*. 2016;3(3):294-307.

256. Halder A. Lethal Developmental Defects: An Overview. Open J Obstet Gynecol. 2014;4:1006-1036

257. Flint J, Wilkie AO, Buckle VJ, Winter RM, Holland AJ, McDermid HE. The detection of subtelomeric chromosomal rearrangements in idiopathic mental retardation. *Nat Genet*. 1995;9:132-40.

258. Vissers LE, De Vries BB, Osoegawa K, et al. Array-based comparative genomic hybridization for the genomewide detection of submicroscopic chromosomal abnormalities. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2003;73:1261-1270.

259. Miller DT, Adam MP, Aradhya S, et al. Consensus statement: chromosomal microarray is a first-tier clinical diagnostic test for individuals with developmental disabilities or congenital anomalies. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2010;86:749–764.

260. Hughes IA. Disorders of sex development: a new definition and classification. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2008;22:119-134.

261. Ogilvy-Stuart AL, Brain CE. Early assessment of ambiguous genitalia. *Arch Dis Child* 2004;89:401–407.

262. Baetens D, Verdin H, De Baere E, Cools M. Update on the genetics of differences of sex development (DSD). *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2019;33(3):101271.

263. Biason-Lauber A. WNT4, RSPO1, and FOXL2 in sex development. Semin. Reprod. Med. 2012;30:387–395.

264. Ahmed SF, Hughes IA. The genetics of male undermasulinization. *Clin Endocrinol.* 2002;56:1–18.

265. Mamsen LS, Ernst EH, Borup R, et al. Temporal expression pattern of genes during the period of sex

differentiation in human embryonic gonads. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):15961.

266. Belville C, Marechal JD, Pennetier S, et al. Natural mutations of the anti-Mullerian hormone type II receptor found in persistent Mullerian duct syndrome affect ligand binding, signal transduction and cellular transport. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2009;18:3002–3013.

267. Ekici AB, Strissel PL, Oppelt PG, et al. HOXA10 and HOXA13 sequence variations in human female genital malformations including congenital absence of the uterus and vagina. *Gene.* 2013;518:267–272.

268. Miyamoto N, Yoshida M, Kuratani S, Matsuo I, Aizawa S. Defects of urogenital development in mice lacking *Emx2*. *Development*. 1997;124:1653–1664.

269. Mendelsohn C, Lohnes D, Decimo D, et al. Function of the retinoic acid receptors (RARs) during development (II). Multiple abnormalities at various stages of organogenesis in RAR double mutants. Development. 1994;120:2749–2771.

270. Goodman FR, Bacchelli C, Brady AF, et al. Novel HOXA13 mutations and the phenotypic spectrum of hand-foot-genital syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2000;67:197–202.

271. Bouchard M, Souabni A, Mandler M, Neubüser A, Busslinger M. Nephric lineage specification by Pax2 and Pax8. Genes Dev. 2002;16:2958–2970.

272. Mullen RD, Behringer RR. Molecular genetics of Müllerian duct formation, regression and differentiation. Sex Dev. 2014;8(5):281-96.

273. Belville C, Josso N, Picard JY. Persistence of Mullerian derivatives in males. *Am J Med Genet* 1999;89:218-223.

274. Halder A. 46, XY Disorder of Sex Development with Mullerian Ducts Remnants. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2010;4:2169-2174.

275. Scolfaro MR, Cardinalli IA, Stuchi-Perez EG, et al. Morphometry and histology of gonads from 13 children with dysgenetic male pseudohermaphroditism. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2001;125:652–656.

276. Halder A, Gupta RK. Male like external genitalia with epididymis in a case of 46,XX disorder of sex development due to congenital adrenal hyperplasia. J Res Med Sci. 2008;13(3):141-145.

277. Ludwig M, Beck A, Wickert L, et al. Female pseudohermaphroditism associated with a novel homozygous G-to-A (V370-to-M) substitution in the P-450 aromatase gene. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 1998;11:657-664.

278. Heikkila M, Prunskaite R, Naillat F, et al. The partial female to male sex reversal in Wnt-4deficient females involves induced expression of testosterone biosynthetic genes and testosterone production, and depends on androgen action. *Endocrinology*. 2005;146:4016-4023.

279. de Pater JM, Poot M, Beemer FA, et al. Virilization of the external genitalia and severe mental retardation in a girl with an unbalanced translocation 1;18. *Eur J Med Genet*. 2006;49:19-27.

280. Grumbach MM, Hughes IA, Cante FA. Disorders of sexual differentiation. In: Larsen PR, Kronenberg HM, Melmed S, Polonsky KS, editors. Williams textbook of endocrinology. 10th Ed. Philadelphia: Saunders (Elsevier Science) 2003; p.913-926.

281. Baronio F, Ortolano R, Menabò S, et al. 46,XX DSD due to Androgen Excess in Monogenic Disorders of Steroidogenesis: Genetic, Biochemical, and Clinical Features. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2019;20(18):4605.

282. Hathi D, Goswami S, Sengupta N, Baidya A. A Novel Homozygous CYP19A1 Gene Mutation Causing Aromatase Deficiency. *Cureus*. 2022;14(2):e22059.

283. Halder A. Disorder of Sex Development: spectrum of disorder in a referral tertiary care hospital in Northern India. The Global Journal of Human Genetics & Gene Therapy. 2013;1 (2):77-89

284. Elzaiat M, McElreavey K, Bashamboo A. Genetics of 46,XY gonadal dysgenesis. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2022;36(1):101633.

285. Délot EC, Vilain EJ. Nonsyndromic 46,XX Testicular Disorders/Differences of Sex Development. 2003 Oct 30 [updated 2022 May 26]. In: Adam MP, Everman DB, Mirzaa GM, Pagon RA, Wallace SE, Bean LJH, Gripp KW, Amemiya A, editors. GeneReviews® [Internet]. Seattle (WA): University of Washington, Seattle; 1993–2023.

286. Hughes LA, McKay-Bounford K, Webb EA, et al. Next generation sequencing (NGS) to improve the diagnosis and management of patients with disorders of sex development (DSD). *Endocr Connect*. 2019;8(2):100-110. 287. Ahmed SF, Alimusina M, Batista RL, et al. The Use of Genetics for Reaching a Diagnosis in XY DSD. Sex Dev. 2022;16(2-3):207-224.

288. Fukami M, Wada Y, Miyabayashi K, et al. CXorfó is a causative gene for hypospadias. *Nat Genet*. 2006;38:1369–1371.

289. Fluck CE, Audi L, Fernandez-Cancio M, et al. Broad phenotypes of disorders/differences of sex development in MAMLD1 patients through oligogenic disease. *Front Genet*. 2019;10:746.

290. Camats N, Fluck CE, Audi L. Oligogenic origin of differences of sex development in humans. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020;21:1809.

291. Li L, Gao F, Fan L, Su C, Liang X, Gong C. Disorders of sex development in individuals harbouring MAMLD1 variants: WES and interactome evidence of oligogenic inheritance. *Front Endocrinol*. 2020;11:582516.

292. Martinez de LaPiscina I, Flück CE. Genetics of human sexual development and related disorders. *Curr Opin Pediatr.* 2021;33(6):556-563.

293. McElreavey K, Bashamboo A. Monogenic forms of DSD: An update. *Horm Res Paediatr*. 2021. doi: 10.1159/000521381.

294. Yu PH, Tsai MC, Chiang CT, Wang HY, Kuo PL. Novel mutation of MAP3K1 gene in 46,XY DSD with complete gonadal dysgenesis. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol.* 2022;61(5):903-905.

295. Nowell PC, Hungerford DA. A minute chromosome in human chronic granulocytic leukemia. *Science*. 1960;142:1497.

296. Hahn WC, Counter CM, Lundberg AS, Beijersbergen RL, Brooks MW, Weinberg RA. (1999) Creation of human tumour cells with defined genetic elements. *Nature*. 1999;400:464-468.

297. Jain P, Wadhwa N, Joshi MK, Jain M, Halder A, Mishra K. Cellular mesenchymal epithelial transition (C-MET) gene copy number variation in gastric adenocarcinoma: A pilot search for new marker for targeted therapy in HER-2/neu resistance. *Indian J Pathol Microbiol.* 2020;63(1):86-89.

298. Halder A, Halder S, Fauzdar A. A Preliminary Investigation on Molecular Basis for Clinical Aggressiveness in Cervical Carcinoma by Comparative Genomic Hybridization and Conventional Fluorescent In-situ Hybridization. *Ind J of Med Res.* 2005;122:434-446.

299. Aggarwal D, Wadhwa N, Arora T, et al. Human telomerase RNA component (hTERC) gene expression and chromosome 7 ploidy correlate positively with histological grade of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia. Cytopathology. 2021;32(5):631-639.

300. Shukla B, Agarwal S, Suri V, et al. Assessment of 1p/19q status by fluorescence in situ hybridization assay: A comparative study in oligodendroglial, mixed oligoastrocytic and astrocytic tumors. Neurol India. 2009;57:559-566.

301. Koch L. Non-coding mutations in the driver seat. Nat Rev Genet. 2014;15:574–575.

302. Auffray C, Hood L. (2012) Systems biology and personalized medicine – the future is now. Biotechnol J 7: 938–939.

303. Ao A, Wells D, Handyside AH, Winston RM, Delhanty JD. Preimplantation genetic diagnosis of inherited cancer: familial adenomatous polyposis coli. J Assist Reprod Genet. 1998;15(3):140-144.

304. Halder A. Canceromics and P3 medicine (editorial). *JBR J Clin Diagn Res.* 2015;3:1 (1000e104).

305. Halder A. Reproductive Genetic Counselling in Genomic Era. *EC Gynaecology* 2015;2 (1):132-148.

306. Halder A, Kumar P, Jain M, Kalsi AP. Genomics: Tool to predict & prevent male infertility. *Front Biosci* (Schol Ed). 2017;9:448-508.

307. Simpson JL, Elias S (1994). Prenatal diagnosis of genetic disorders.p.61; In Creasy RK, Resnik R [eds.]: Maternal fetal medicine: Principle & practice. WB Saunders, Philadelphia.

308. Halder A, Jain M, Chaudhary I and Varma B. Chromosome 22q11.2 microdeletion in monozygotic twins with discordant phenotype and deletion size. *Mol Cytogen.* 2012;5:13.

309. Milunsky A (1992). Genetic disorders and the fetus: diagnosis, prevention & treatment. Ed 3. Baltimore, Johns Hopkins University Press.

310. Fragouli E, Wells D. Aneuploidy in the human blastocyst. Cytogenet Genome Res. 2011;133:149–159.

311. Gutierrez-Mateo C, Colls P, Sanchez-Garcia J, et al. Validation of microarray comparative genomic hybridization for comprehensive chromosome analysis of embryos. *Fertil Steril*. 2011;95:953–958.

312. Bonduelle M, Wennerholm U-B, Loft A, et al. A multi-centre cohort study of the physical health of 5-year-old children conceived after intracytoplasmic sperm injenction, in vitro fertilization and natural conception. *Hum Reprod*. 2005;20:413–419.

313. Hansen M, Kurinczuk JJ, Bower C, et al. The risk of major birth defects after intracytoplasmic sperm injection and in vitro fertilization. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;346:725–730.

314. De Rycke M, Liebaers I, Van Steirteghem A. Epigenetic risks related to assisted reproductive technologies. Risk analysis and epigenetic inheritance. *Hum Reprod*. 2002;17:2487–2494.

315. Jablonka E, Raz G. Transgenerational epigenetic inheritance: prevalence, mechanisms, and implications for the study of heredity and evolution. *Quarter Rev Biol.* 2009;84:131–176.

316. van Montfoort AP, Hanssen LL, de Sutter P, et al. Assisted reproduction treatment and epigenetic inheritance. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2012;18:171–197.

317. Velker BA, Denomme MM, Mann MR. Embryo culture and epigenetics. *Methods Mol Biol.* 2012;912:399–421.

318. Marques CJ, Carvalho F, Souza M, et al. Genomic imprinting in disruptive spermatogenesis. *Lancet.* 2004;363:1700–1702.

319. Winston RM, Hardy K. Are we ignoring potential dangers of in vitro fertilization and related treatments? Nat Cell Biol Nat Med. 2002;8:S14–S18.

320. Iwarsson E, Lundqvist M, Inzunza J, et al. A high degree of aneuploidy in frozen – thawed human preimplantation embryos. *Hum Genet*. 1999;104:376–382.