Medical Research Archives

European Society of Medicine

OPEN ACCESS

Published: December 31, 2023

Citation: Lee, Y., L., et al., 2024. Hysteroscopic Endomyometrium Resection combined with concomitant Insertion of LNG-IUS for Treatment of Symptomatic Adenomyosis; A 5-year follow up result. Medical Research Archives, [online] 11(12). https://doi.org/10.18103/mra.v11i12.4566

DOI: https://doi.org/10.18103/mra.v11i12.4566

ISSN: 2375-1924

CASE REPORT

Hysteroscopic Endomyometrium Resection combined with concomitant Insertion of LNG-IUS for Treatment of Symptomatic Adenomyosis; A 5-year follow up result.

Yi Liang Lee, MD[2], Yin-Shium Bai, MPhil. PhD candidate[1,3], Chang Sheng Yin, MD[1,3*].

[1]Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Kang Ning Hospital, Neihu, Taipei, Taiwan, ROC.
[2]Tri-Service General Hospital, Neihu, Taipei, Taiwan, ROC.
[3]National Defense Medical Center, Neihu, Taipei, Taiwan, ROC.

[*]ycsobgyn@yahoo.com.tw

ABSTRACT

Background: Treatment for patients with symptomatic adenomyosis has been mainly by hysterectomy. The aim of this 5-year observational study was to assess the feasibility of Hysteroscopic Endomyometrium Resection with concomitant Insertion of LNG-IUD for symptomatic adenomyosis.

Methods: From May 2015 and December 2022, a group of 36 women with symptomatic adenomyosis underwent this combined modality treatment at a community hospital. The core outcomes of the study were effective rate of dysmenorrhea, menorrhagia, secondary outcomes as hysterectomy, IUD expulsion, or premature removal, repeated the procedures.

Results: The study followed the progress of 36 women, aged 42.9±4, over a period of five years. The procedures were performed safely, and no complications were observed. The total successful rate of amenorrhea was 27 of 36 (75%). For the Pain Control, the intervention led to a significant reduction in pain scores, with the mean pain score decreasing by approximately 63.4% (95% CI: -64.3% to -62.5%). For the Blood Loss, with the mean blood loss decreasing by approximately 75.77% (95% CI: 32.93% to 118.61%). The study also showed reduced CA-125 level, reduction in uterine length and volume, about 70% of patients reported being very satisfied and satisfied of this combined treatment.

Conclusion: We demonstrated this combined modality is feasible and safe for treatment of symptomatic adenomyosis.

Keywords: Symptomatic Adenomyosis, Transcervical endomyometrium resection (TCREM), LNG-IUD.

Introduction:

The exact disease incidence of adenomyosis remains unclear, ranging from 9 to 62 percent among individuals who undergo hysterectomy. This variability is influenced by diverse population groups and reasons for hysterectomy, varying diagnostic criteria, and the quantity of histologic sections analyzed. Approximately two-thirds of cases exhibit symptoms. Adenomyosis is classified into two types: diffuse uniformly and focal, both of which lack a pseudocapsule.[1, 2]

The treatment of symptomatic adenomyosis, most reported are hysterectomy, remains a topic of debate. The LNG-IUD, a hormone-releasing IUDs, in vitro releases 20 mcg of levonorgestrel per day for 5 years, has treating symptomatic adenomyosis but have a high one-year expulsion rates 25.3% and 33% had persisted bleeding that needed further treatment.[3]

Transcervical resection of the endomyometrium (TCREM) has demonstrated its safety and significantly superior effectiveness compared to alternative methods of endometrium destruction for managing heavy menstrual bleeding in women.[4] Xia documented the complete resection of adenomyosis using hystero-resectoscopy; however, this approach requires an experienced surgeon due to safety considerations.[5]

Earlier study of transcervical resection of the endomyometrium (TCREM), resect both the endometrium and underlying myometrium layer with concomitant insertion of an LNG-IUD, an effective exposure more dept myometrium to local progesterone from LNG-IUD appears to be safe and to result in a high rate of amenorrhea, but more and longer-term data are called for to evaluate clinical outcome and efficacy.[6,7]

This study aimed to assess the feasibility of TCREM combined with LNG-IUS for the treatment of symptomatic adenomyosis over a 5-year follow-up period.

Methods:

From May 2015 to December 2022, a total of 36 women who voluntarily sought to preserve their uterus but had no desire for conception and showed no signs of other pelvic diseases, participated in this study. This study was conducted at a community hospital and focused on hysteroscopic endomyometrium resection, combined with the immediate insertion of LNG-IUD, as a treatment for symptomatic adenomyosis.

All patients were quantified dysmenorrhea pain VAS scale with blood loss at least 100 ml per month for previous 2 months by Magnay Menstrual Pictogram.[8] After obtaining the patient's medical history and conducting a physical examination, the primary imaging modality for diagnosing adenomyosis was a midsagittal transvaginal or abdominal ultrasound (TVUS or TAUS), as previously recommended by Sun et al.[9] In patients with a large uterus on pelvic examination (>12 weeks size) or combined with other uterine structural abnormalities excluded from the study.

A total of 36 patients, the average age was 42.95±4.57 years, were subjected to endomyometrium resection under spinal anesthesia, using a 27Fr bipolar resectoscope equipped with a 24 Fr 5x3 mm loop wire. Normal saline served as the distention medium. The histologic diagnosis of adenomyosis was

made by at least 2.5 mm invasion. The resection depth of the endomyometrium was limited to 5 mm. Depending on the location of lesion, resection was typically performed on the diseased side of the uterine wall or in diffuse symmetrical type on both anterior and posterior walls. After surgery the LNG-IUD was inserted without difficulty. No operative complication was recorded.

The core primary outcomes of the study were to determine the treatment's effectiveness, included pain reduction, menstrual improving. And the IUD expulsion, IUD premature removal, repeated procedures, or the need for a hysterectomy as a secondary outcome. We also evaluated the blood hemoglobin and CA-125 level, uterine length with volume before and patients' satisfaction after the treatment as a secondary outcome. Premature removal of Mirena was defined as the patient decided to remove it during the study period for reasons other than menorrhagia or dysmenorrhea. Patients were following year 1 and year 5 at our clinic or by telephone interviews, for clinical conditions, and adverse effects after surgery. Five years later, five questions regarding The Assessment of Patient Satisfaction are employed: "Are you satisfied with the procedures you received in the hospital?" The responses, "Very satisfied," "Satisfied," "unsatisfied," "very unsatisfied", are then recorded.

Descriptive statistics for fundamental clinical traits of patients were computed in Microsoft Excel, presenting means SD or frequency count (percentage). All data underwent analysis using Microsoft Excel software. For normally distributed data, paired t-tests were employed to compare treatment outcomes before and after the intervention were conducted using SPSS version 24, with a significance threshold of $P < 0.05$.

Result:

The baseline characteristics of the study women before after treatment are shown in Table 1. For the Pain Control Improvement, the intervention led to a significant reduction in pain scores, with the mean pain score decreasing by approximately 63.4% (95% CI: -64.3% to -62.5%, for both groups). For the Blood Loss Improvement: there was a notable improvement in blood loss, with the mean blood loss decreasing by approximately 75.77% (95% CI: 32.93% to 118.61%, for both groups).

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of 36 patients with adenomyosis

Parameter	Study data N=36	5-year follow-up N=34
Age, mean ± SD (yrs.)	42.95±4.57. (Range 28 to 51)	
Parity Nulliparous Multiparous	5(13%) 31(87%)	
Symptoms Menorrhagia and dysmenorrhea Dysmenorrhea	 29(81%) 4(11%)	

Parameter	Study data N=36	5-year follow-up N=34
Menorrhagia	3(8%)	
Duration of symptoms before treatment(months)	13.4±14.97 (Range 2 to 60)	
Location of lesion Anterior Posterior Diffuse	 3((8%) 24(67%) 9(25%)	Ant. Type, one repeated the procedure. Post-type. Three failed owing to expulsion iud.2 diffuse type underwent hysterectomies
Follow up(months)	76±8.5 (Range 62 to 92)	
Dysmenorrhea VAS scores	6.2±2.2 (Range 9-4)	1.9±2.5 (N=34, range 0-8, p value<0.001)
Blood loss (ml)	205.9±76.8	54.1±85.7(N=34 range minimal to 250, p value <0.001)
Hb (g/dL)	8.69±1.95. (±) 13-6.2	11.0 ±1.6 (N=34, Range 12-6, p value=0.625)
BMI (mean ± SD)	23.3±3.3. Range 32-17.7	24.2 ±3.7(N=34, Range 31.5-18, p value = 0.797)
CA-125(mean ± SD)	146.6±142.1 Range 494-20.3	57.5±64.5 (N=34, Range 250-6, P value<0.001)
Uterine size Vol (cm3) length(cm)	260.45.±108.20 9.71±1.35	216.62±179.71 (N=34, p value=0.005) 8.49±1.55(p value=0.002)
Operation time minutes. (mean ± SD) Weight of resection (mean ± S D)	21.14 ±7.6 3.09 ± 4.02g. range 23.5-0.96 g	

*Denote N=34, excluded 2 women who underwent hysterectomy.

Table 2 presents the outcomes of the study, indicating that nine women (25%) experienced treatment failure within a five-year period. Most of these failures occurred during the first year, primarily due to inadequate menstrual control. However, after the second year, most failures were attributed to side effects associated with LNG-IUS itself. These side effects included repeated yeast infections, weight gain, and troublesome bloody discharge, which occurred following combined treatments of 2 years, 3 years, and 4 years, respectively.

Table 2 Core Outcomes Undergoing Combined Treatments of 36 Symptomatic Women with Adenomyosis

Time after treatment year	Amenorrhea N (%)	TAH N (%) Total 2(6%)	Expulsion N (%) 3 (8%)	Premature removal of Mirena N (%) Total 4(11%)	Total success rate
1	32(89%)	1(3%)	3(8%)	0	32(89%)
2	31(86%) #	1(3%)	0	2(Infection, repeat procedures) *	29(81%)
3	31(86%) #	0	0	1(persisted bloody discharge) *	28(77%)
4	31(86%) #	0	0	1(weight gaining) *	27(75%)
5	31(86%) #	0	0	0	27(75%)

TAH: total abdominal hysterectomy.

after the year 2 amenorrhea was not change. Total 5 years successful rate 75%.

Throughout the entire span of five years, the treatment exhibited an overall success rate of 75%. Even one year after the conclusion of the 5-year period, there were 17 instances of patients having the IUD removed, while 10 patients continued to utilize it, and 7 cases were lost to follow-up.

As for The Assessment of Patient Satisfaction, 62% of patients reported being "Very satisfied" (22 patients), 8% were "Satisfied" (3 patients), and11(30%) expressed being "Dissatisfied" (11 patients) with no women was very dissatisfied. It's worth noting that two additional patients who had successful treatment but reported dissatisfaction due to skin allergies and irregular bleeding respectively.

Discussion:

In this 5-year follow-up study demonstrated encouraging results. The successful rate after 1 year was 89% (32/36), with amenorrhea rate was 32 out of 36 (89%), four treatments failed (11%) included 1 hysterectomy and 3 spontaneous expulsions of Mirena. After 5 years successful rate was 75% (29/36). The hysterectomy rate was 2 (6%), expulsion rate was 3 (8%), and premature removal was 4 (11%), all of which were lower than the figures reported in the previous studies.[3,4] This study suggests that the endomyometrium resection to the depth of 5mm and insertion of LNG-IUS treatment is an effective exposure more dept myometrium to local progesterone.

For the sake of programmatic considerations, we restricted the resection of the endomyometrium to a depth of 5 mm. Our reported the median weight of resected tissue was 3.09 ± 1.95g. range 23.5-0.96 g, different from Xia reported 54.4-46.9g, and Wortman et al 12.3-8.6g.[4,5]

In this study majority of adverse events came from the LNG-IUS, premature removal in 3 patients with 2 more unsatisfied for the treatment. Table 2. Most common side effect reported by Nidhi et al, was vaginal spotting

observed in 50.0% of the patient followed by vaginal discharge (38.1%).[10] Adverse effects of this combination regimens were issued as a concern. We found that the most failure occurred before 6 months, with these patients experiencing persistent heavy menstrual bleeding, ultimately leading to the expulsion of the IUD, and necessitating a hysterectomy.

To address this issue, we suggest administering a GnRH-Agonist for three months, which would effectively reduce ovarian hormones and lead to transient amenorrhea. The goal of this approach is to alleviate heavy menstruation and, consequently, reduce the expulsion rate of the IUS Mirena.

Common symptoms of adenomyosis include dysmenorrhea and menorrhagia. While some patients may only experience one of these symptoms, others might have both, and some could even have minimal symptoms. Around 19% of our patients exhibited only one symptom. Our study found that patients with adenomyosis who sought treatment at the clinic typically presented symptoms for a duration of 12 months.

Currently, there is no strong evidence to indicate which technique that secures the best clinical performance. In 2014, Grimbizis et al. conducted a systematic literature review which found that different uterine-sparing surgical treatments for symptomatic adenomyosis demonstrated an 81% control rate for menorrhagia,50% for dysmenorrhea, and a 46% pregnancy rate.[11] Adenomyosis is a common disease, yet there is a dearth of comprehensive information regarding treatment effectiveness. An analysis of different approaches unveiled notable inconsistencies in how the disease is defined and treatment outcomes are assessed. This study adopts the Core Outcome Set in Adenomyosis (COSAR) framework. [12] The fundamental aspects encompass pain levels, menstrual bleeding, anemia presence, patient contentment with the treatment, uterine volume, procedure-related discomfort, hospitalization duration, untimely procedure cessation, and adverse events. From an economic perspective, utilizing this combined approach for treatment proves to be budget friendly. Before 2018, the typical expenses associated with the combined therapy in Taiwan were commonly covered by the national health insurance. Nevertheless, patients were required to personally cover approximately 200 US dollars for the LNG-IUS. Hence, the evaluation of patient satisfaction in our study revealed a significantly high satisfaction rate. It's important to note that adenomyosis treatment decisions should also consider clinical guidelines, patient preferences, and individual variations. A cost-effectiveness analysis provides valuable information, but it's just one piece of the puzzle when making treatment decisions for a complex condition like adenomyosis. Finally, to utilize personalized and conservative therapeutic approaches tailored to the specific conditions of each patient can be a good modality.[13]

It is important to note that our study had certain limitations: cases number is small, no control groups, and it did not track detailed yearly changes in outcomes. The recall of menstrual blood loss and dysmenorrhea among women with adenomyosis can be prone to errors.

Conclusion

In this study we confirmed that the 5-year effectiveness of TCREM combined with LNG-IUS to treat symptomative adenomyosis with high amenorrhea rate, and patients' satisfaction in women who want to preserve their uterus.

Disclosure of interests:

The authors of this article have no conflict of interest to disclose.

Acknowledgements:

The hospital ethics committee approved this study (KNH110-c-1). All patients who undergoing these procedures had a signed informed consent.

Contribution of authorship:

CSY initiated the idea of the subject. CSY and YLL performed the literatures search on the subject. YLL YSB collect the patient' data. All authors approved the final version of this article.

All authors followed The Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. (World Medical Association):

Funding:

None

References:

1. Upson K, Missmer SA. Epidemiology of Adenomyosis. Semin Reprod Med 2020; 38:89.

2. Levgur M, Abadi M. A., Tucker A. Adenomyosis: symptoms, histology, and pregnancy terminations. Obstetrics & Gynecology. 2000;95(5):688–691. doi:

3. F-S Peng, M-Y Wu, J-H Yan, S-U Chen, H-N Ho, Y-S Yang. Insertion of the Mirena Intrauterine System for Treatment of Adenomyosis-Associated Menorrhagia: A Novel Method. Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Volume 49, Issue 2, June 2010, Pages 160-164, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1028-4559(10)60034-4.

4. Wortman M, Daggett A. Hysteroscopic endomyometrial resection. JSLS. 2000 Jul-Sep;4(3):197-207. PMID: 10987395; PMCID: PMC3113171.

5. W Xia, D Zhang, Q Zhu, H Zhang, S Yang, J Ma, H Pan, T Tong, J Sun, J Zhang, Hysteroscopic excision of symptomatic myometrial adenomyosis: feasibility and effectiveness. BJOG. 2017 Sep https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.14673

6. Maia H Jr, Maltez A, Coelho G, et al. Insertion of Mirena after endometrial resection in patients with adenomyosis. J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc 2003; 10:512-6.

7. Akmal Zulayla Mohd Zahid, Noorneza Abdul Rahman, Zatul Akmar Ahmad, Combination of hysteroscopic resection of endomyometrium with insertion of Mirena: An alternative treatment for adenomyosis, CASE REPORT, Med J Malaysia, Vol 76 No 4 July 2021.

8. Magnay JL, Nevatte TM, O'Brien S, Gerlinger C, Seitz C. Validation of a new menstrual pictogram (superabsorbent polymer-c version) for use with ultraslim towels that contain superabsorbent polymers. Fertil Steril. 2014 Feb;101(2):515-22.
doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.10.051.
Epub 2013 Dec 12. PMID: 24331833.

9. Sun YL, Wang CB, Lee CY, Wun TH, Lin P, Lin YH, Tseng CC, Chen CH, Tseng CJ. Transvaginal sonographic criteria for the diagnosis of adenomyosis based on histopathologic correlation. Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol. 2010 Mar;49(1):40-4.
doi: 10.1016/S1028-4559(10)60007-1.
PMID: 20466291.

10. Nidhi, Kumari A, Tirkey S, Prakash J. Effectiveness of Levonorgestrel Releasing Intrauterine System in Perimenopausal Women with Heavy Menstrual Bleeding: A Prospective Study at a Teaching Hospital in India. J Menopausal Med. 2022 Dec;28(3):128-135. doi: 10.6118/jmm.22025. PMID: 36647276; PMCID: PMC9843034.

11. Grimbizis GF, Mikos T, Tarlatzis B. Uterus-sparing operative treatment for adenomyosis. FertilSteril.2014,Feb;101(2):472-87.
doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2013.10.025.
Epub 2013 Nov 26. PMID: 24289992.

12. Tellum T, Omtvedt M, Naftalin J, Hirsch M, Jurkovic D. A systematic review of outcome reporting and outcome measures in studies investigating uterine-sparing treatment for adenomyosis. Hum Reprod Open. 2021 Aug 7;2021(3):hoab030.
doi: 10.1093/hropen/hoab030.
PMID: 34466664; PMCID: PMC8398753.

13. Arakawa, I., Momoeda, M., Osuga, Y. et al. Cost-effectiveness of the recommended medical intervention for the treatment of dysmenorrhea and endometriosis in Japan. Cost Eff Resour Alloc 16, 12 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12962-018-0097-8