The effect of stress-related factors on depressive state among medical residents
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Abstract
Background: Medical residents are at a high risk of depression. The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) job stress model explains that job stressors, buffer factors, and individual factors are related to worker health, including depression. This study investigated which job stressors and buffer factors influence depression in residents, independent of individual factors.

Methods: A self-administered questionnaire was distributed to second-year residents at 251 teaching hospitals. The questionnaire contained the Brief Scales for Job Stress (BSJS), the Sense of Coherence (SOC) Scale, the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D) Scale, and questions on working hours and demographic characteristics. The BSJS is composed of six subscales including workload, mental workload, problems in personal relationships, job control, reward from work, and support from colleagues and superiors. The SOC includes individual factors about life stressors and health. We analyzed the relationship between depressive symptoms and these factors.

Results: Among 1,121 second-year residents who responded (64.5% response rate), 274 (24.4%) had depressive symptoms. In the multivariable analysis, depressive symptoms were significantly associated with SOC-13 score ($p < 0.001$), mental workload ($p < 0.01$), problems in personal relationships ($p < 0.001$), and reward from work ($p < 0.01$). Depressive symptoms were not significantly associated with mean number of hours worked per week.

Conclusion: Mental workload and problems in personal relationships are job stressors and reward from work is a buffer factor associated with depressive symptoms in medical residents. These findings can help residency programs support mental health of residents more effectively.
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1. Introduction

In medical residents, depression can result in serious, potentially life-threatening problems, such as absence from the training program, dropping out of medicine, and suicide in the worst case (Smith et al., 1986). In one meta-analysis, male physicians had a modestly higher (1.4-fold) suicide rate compared to the general population. Among female physicians, the rate was even higher (2.3-fold) (Schernhammer & Colditz, 2004). Beyond the effect of depression on the resident physicians themselves, it may also affect patients. Many studies show that depressed residents provide poor medical care (Beckman, 2012; Yoshino, 2007) and make more medication, procedural, or other types of medical errors (Fahrenkopf et al., 2008; Horinouchi et al., 2008; Wada et al., 2007; West et al., 2006).

Medical residents are at a high risk of depression. In a recent systematic review and meta-analysis, the summary estimate of the prevalence of depression or depressive symptoms among residents was 28.8% (95% confidence interval [CI], 25.3%–32.5%), and significantly increased with baseline survey year (0.5% per year increase) (Mata et al., 2015). Such rates are much higher than the prevalence of lifetime depression in the general population in 10 countries, which ranges from 3% to 16.9% (Andrade et al., 2003).

The depression rate is not high among medical students, but it increases after starting residency. A prospective cohort study shows that the rate of depression increased during the first year of residency, from 3.9% before internship to an average of 25.3% during the internship year (Sen et al., 2010). A prospective study in Japan reported that the rate of depression among first-year residents increased from 16.0% to 35.8% during the first two months of training (Maeno et al., 2008).

These findings suggest that a risk factor for depression is related to a work environment of a junior resident. Stress and psychosocial factors are related to the onset of depression, as well as genetic and biochemical changes (Belmaker & Agam, 2008). Stressors for residents have been well documented in the literature. Common stressors include heavy workload, sleep deprivation, difficult patients, poor learning environment, financial concerns, information overload, and uncertainty about one’s career (Levey, 2001).

The job stress model developed by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) (NIOSH, 1999) is one of the most widely used occupational stress models. The NIOSH model explains that exposure to job stressors can have a direct influence on worker safety and health, including mental problems such as depression and burnout. Individual and buffer factors can intervene to strengthen or weaken this influence (Hurrell & McLaney, 1988).

Antonovsky uses a concept called “sense of coherence” (SOC) to explain individual factors related to life stresses and health. SOC is defined as “a global orientation that expresses the extent to which one has a pervasive, enduring, though dynamic, feeling of confidence that one's internal and external environments are predictable and that there is a high probability that things will work out as well as can reasonably be expected” (Antonovsky & Sagy, 1986). One review showed that SOC is an individual factor that promotes health by strengthening resilience and developing a positive subjective state of both mental and physical health (Eriksson & Lindstrom, 2006). SOC is strongly negatively associated with anxiety, anger, burnout, demoralization, hostility, hopelessness, depression, perceived stressors, and post-traumatic stress disorder (Eriksson & Lindstrom, 2005; 2006).

Residency program directors are expected to try to reduce job stressors and increase buffer factors. If a concrete factor that influences mental health is clearly identified, a director can support a resident effectively. However, there are few studies that clarify which specific factors influence the mental health of residents. Haoka et al. (2010) reported that workload, mental workload, and problems in
personal relationships that are perceived as job stressors are negatively associated with residents’ psychological well-being, and reward from work is a buffer factor that is positively associated psychological well-being. However, this study was conducted just after the beginning of training and the number of participants was small. Another study reported that SOC is associated with perceived stressors (Gilbar et al., 2003). No studies have investigated the influence of job stressors and buffer factors adjusted for SOC on depression in residents. Therefore, we aimed to clarify which perceived job stressors and buffer factors influence depression in residents, independent of SOC.

2. Methods

2.1 Participants

Participants were second-year residents at hospitals designated as postgraduate education hospitals. In Japan, all medical residents are required to undergo 2 years of postgraduate training in several clinical medical fields, including internal medicine, emergency medicine, and primary care. They also take electives.

2.2 Design

Throughout Japan, there are 853 hospitals with residents, of which 251 hospitals participated in this study. In February 2011, a self-report questionnaire was distributed to participants through the residency program directors or clerks. At the time, participants were expected to complete the training two months later. Responses were voluntary and anonymous. Residents placed their completed questionnaires in sealed envelopes, which were collected by the directors or clerks.

2.3 Questionnaire

The questionnaires contained the Brief Scales for Job Stress (BSJS), the Japanese version of the Sense of Coherence (SOC) Scale, and the Japanese version of the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D) Scale. It also included objective questions about workload such as the mean number of hours worked on weekdays and weekends and number of night shifts per month.

2.4 Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D) Scale

The depressive state as a stress reaction was evaluated using the CES-D Scale. This is a 20-item questionnaire about how often one has symptoms related to depression over the past week. All items are rated on a four-point scale ranging from 0 to 3 (0 = none, 1 = 1–2 days, 2 = 3–4 days, and 3 = ≥5 days). The total score can range from 0 to 60. A cut-off score of 16 has been recommended to define a clinically significant level of depressive symptoms (Radloff, 1977). The Japanese version of the CES-D Scale has been demonstrated to have sufficiently high reliability and validity (Shima et al., 1985).

2.5 Sense of Coherence (SOC) Scale

Individual factors were evaluated using the 13-item version of the SOC scale (SOC-13) (Eriksson & Lindstrom, 2005). This is a five-point scale ranging 1 to 5. The SOC is composed of three factors: (1) stimuli derived from internal and external environments in the course of living are structured, predictable, and explicable (comprehensibility); (2) resources are available to meet the demands posed by these stimuli (manageability); and (3) such demands are challenges, worthy of investment and engagement (meaningfulness) (Urakawa et al., 2012). In this study, we use the total SOC-13 score, which can range from 13 to 65. The Japanese version of the five-point SOC-13 has been demonstrated to have sufficiently high internal consistency (Togari & Yamazaki, 2005).

2.6 Brief Scales for Job Stress (BSJS)

Job stressors and buffer factors were evaluated using the BSJS. This is a 20-item questionnaire appropriate for white-collar workers. All items are rated on a four-point scale ranging from 1 to 4 (1 = not at all, 2 = a little, 3 = fairly, and 4 = extremely). Mean scores are calculated for six subscales, including workload, mental workload,
problems in personal relationships, job control (job decision latitude), reward from work (job satisfaction), and support from colleagues and superiors. The first three factors are perceived job stressors, whereas the rest are buffer factors. These scales have been demonstrated to have sufficiently high internal consistency (Nishikido et al., 2000).

2.7 Working Hours
As in previous studies (Haoka et al., 2010; Ito et al., 2015; Taniguchi et al., 2007), mean number of hours worked per week was calculated with following equation:
Mean number of hours worked per week = 5 days × (mean number of hours worked on weekdays) + 2 days × (mean number of hours worked on weekends) + 7/30 days × (number of night shifts per month) × (24 hours – mean working hours on weekdays)

2.8 Statistical Analysis
Descriptive statistics were used to characterize sample demographics and other information. All participants were divided into those with and without depressive symptoms based on a cut-off CES-D score of 16. Univariate analysis was performed to evaluate the association between depressive symptoms and demographic factors, number of hours worked, SOC score, and the six BSJS subscale scores. The chi-square test was used for categorical variables (sex) and Student’s t-test was used for continuous variables (other variables). Next, multivariate logistic regression analysis with forced entry for depressive symptoms was performed to adjust for confounding factors. The significance level was set at p < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics 23 for Windows (IBM Japan, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). Results are reported as means ± SD unless indicated otherwise.

2.9 Ethics
This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Tsukuba Faculty of Medicine. All participants provided written informed consent.

3. Results
3.1 Characteristics of the Study Participants
We distributed questionnaires to 1,738 residents at 251 participating teaching hospitals, and 1,146 residents responded to the questionnaire. Replies from 1,121 residents had no missing data (64.5% response rate) and were used for analysis. Of these, 729 (65.0%) residents were male. The mean age was 28.0 ± 3.0 years. The mean number of hours worked per week was 71.9 ± 15.7. Demographic factors, mean number of hours worked per week, CES-D score, SOC-13 score, and BSJS subscale scores are shown in Table 1.

3.2 Prevalence of Depressive Symptoms
Based on the CES-D cut-off score of 16 points, 274 (24.4%) second-year residents had depressive symptoms (Table 1).

3.3 Association Between Depressive Symptoms and Various Factors
In the univariate analysis, residents with depressive symptoms worked for more hours per week than residents without depressive symptoms (71.2 ± 14.7 vs 73.8 ± 18.2; p = 0.035). The mean SOC-13 score was significantly lower in residents with depressive symptoms (p < 0.001). Residents with depressive symptoms had higher mean scores for all the job stressors items and lower scores for all the buffer factor items (p < 0.001). There were no significant differences in the proportion of residents with depressive symptoms by sex and age (Table 2).

3.4 Factors Independently Associated with Depressive Symptoms
In multivariable logistic regression analysis, depressive symptoms were significantly associated with SOC-13 score (p < 0.001), mental workload (p < 0.01), problems in personal relationships (p < 0.001), and...
reward from work \( (p < 0.01) \). There were no significant associations between depressive symptoms and mean number of hours worked per week, perceived workload, job control, and support from colleagues and superiors, although associations were observed in the univariate analysis. The \( R^2 \) for the multivariable model was 0.495 (Table 3).

4. Discussion

4.1 Significant Factors

This study verified that the presence of depressive symptoms in medical residents is associated with mental workload, problems in personal relationships, and reward from work, even after adjustment for the number of hours worked and the SOC-13 score. These results are consistent with findings from an earlier investigation. Haoka et al. (2004) showed that workload, mental workload, problems in personal relationships, and reward from work affect the mental health status of first-year residents.

SOC was strongly negatively associated with depression. In previous studies, a similar result was observed among medical residents (Haoka et al., 2010; Ito et al., 2015), nurses (Kikuchi et al., 2014) and factory workers (Urakawa et al., 2012). SOC might influence the strength of feelings about job stressors and buffering factors. In this study, it is noteworthy that concrete factors independent of SOC were identified.

4.2 Mean Number of Hours Worked

Interestingly, mean number of hours worked and perceived workload was not significantly associated with depressive symptoms in the multivariable analysis. Many studies (Gong et al., 2014; Kato et al., 2014; Kleppa et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2013; Virtanen et al., 2012) show that long working hours are related to depression in various occupations. On the other hand, in previous studies involving medical residents, number of hours worked per week was not linked to mental health problems (Tyssen et al., 2000), anxiety, or depression (Pereira-Lima et al., 2016).

One reason for this finding may be that the impact of the number of hours worked on mental health might have decreased. The working hours of junior residents have been adjusted downwards after the initiation of a new postgraduate residency program by order of the Japanese government. In Japan, the mean number of hours worked per week decreased to 71.9 ± 15.7 hours in 2011 (this study) from 86.3 ± 16.1 hours in 2004 (Haoka et al., 2010). Tomioka et al. (2011) showed that physicians who work 54–70 hours/week and physicians who work <54 hours/week have a similar prevalence of depression. However, physicians who work >70 hours/week have a significantly higher prevalence of depression than those who work <54 hours/week. They concluded that depression is not associated with the number of hours worked per week when it is below a certain threshold.

The present study suggests that shortening the working hours is unlikely to be an effective countermeasure against depression. Nevertheless, long working hours are not justified. Extremely long working hours may be related to depression (Tomioka et al., 2011).

4.3 Mental Workload

Mental workload was more strongly associated with depressive symptoms than physical workload, which is consistent with results from a study about job stress and mental health among Japanese office workers (Kawada et al., 2010). Similarly, among the various workload items, mental workload was associated with a significant adverse effect on health.

4.4 Reward from Work

The effort–reward imbalance (ERI) model is one theoretical model of stress (Siegrist, 1996). This model explains that the non-reciprocity of social exchanges at work (i.e., high-cost/low-gain condition at work) is associated with adverse health effects. Effort in this model corresponds to job stressor in the NIOSH model, and reward corresponds to buffer factors. Sakata et al. (2008) showed that ERI is related to depression among Japanese medical residents. Consequently,
balancing effort and reward at work is important for residents’ mental health. These findings support the results of the present study.
For a resident, rewards for work increase with feelings of performing meaningful work, pride, fulfillment, and satisfaction. To get these feelings, it will be necessary for residents to commit to performing medical treatments and associated duties with responsibility. When working hours are limited, resident may not sufficiently commit to their duties. In addition, as described before, limiting the number of working hours might have little direct effect on reducing depression. The present study suggests that it is more effective to reduce the mental workload than the physical workload. Therefore, residency program directors and supervisors should assess each resident’s abilities and decide when it is appropriate to entrusting or assist him or her with work.

4.5 Problems in Personal Relationships
The present study showed that problems in personal relationships are negatively associated with depression. In Japan, medical residents rotate through many clinical departments every 1 to 6 months during training. They must build new personal relationships with supervisors, co-workers, and other healthcare staff members during each new rotation. It is important to support residents so that they become familiar with new co-workers early and build good personal relationships.

4.6 Limitations
This study has some limitations. First, the cross-sectional study design prevents determining causal associations between significant independent factors and depressive symptoms. Second, only 251 of 853 hospitals (29.4%) participated in the thus, selection bias cannot be excluded. The participating hospitals might have been more interested in resident education or health. The participating hospitals were distributed throughout Japan; there was no geographical clustering. Third, CES-D is a screening tool, and positive tests are not necessarily indicative of depressive disorders. In a validation study of the Japanese version of the CES-D (Shima et al., 1985), a cut-off of 16 had a sensitivity of 88.2% and specificity of 84.8%. Fourth, it is possible that severely depressed residents could not respond to this survey. In addition, the study did not ask about disease under treatment, which may mask depressive symptoms.

5. Conclusion
In this study, we identified mental workload and problems in personal relationships as job stressors and reward from work as a buffering factor associated with depressive symptoms in medical residents, independent of SOC, which is an individual factor. To offer more effective support, longitudinal studies are needed to identify the causal association between factors and depression.
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**Table 1**

**Basic Characteristics of the Study Participants**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex, n (%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>(65.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>(34.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, years, mean ± SD (range)</strong></td>
<td>28.0 ± 3.0</td>
<td>(25–63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean number of hours worked per week , mean ± SD (range)</strong></td>
<td>71.9 ± 15.7</td>
<td>(22–150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CES-D score, mean ± SD (possible range)</strong></td>
<td>11.0 ± 8.3</td>
<td>(0–60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOC-13 score, mean ± SD (possible range)</strong></td>
<td>43.4 ± 7.9</td>
<td>(13–65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BSJS score, mean ± SD (possible range)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workload</td>
<td>1.87 ± 0.68</td>
<td>(1–4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental workload</td>
<td>1.93 ± 0.65</td>
<td>(1–4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problems in personal relationships</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.64</td>
<td>(1–4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job control</td>
<td>2.47 ± 0.64</td>
<td>(1–4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reward from work</td>
<td>2.89 ± 0.73</td>
<td>(1–4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support from colleagues and superiors</td>
<td>2.92 ± 0.64</td>
<td>(1–4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SD = standard deviation
CES-D = Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression; SOC = Sense of Coherence
BSJS = Brief Scales for Job Stress*
Univariate Analysis of Factors Associated with Depressive Symptoms Among Second-year Residents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CES-D score &lt; 16 (n = 847)</th>
<th>CES-D score ≥ 16 (n = 274)</th>
<th>p*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>553 (75.9%)</td>
<td>176 (24.1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>294 (75.0%)</td>
<td>98 (25.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>Mean value ± SD</td>
<td>Mean value ± SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>27.9 ± 2.8</td>
<td>28.2 ± 3.6</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean number of hours worked per week</strong></td>
<td>71.2 ± 14.7</td>
<td>73.8 ± 18.2</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SOC-13 score</strong></td>
<td>Mean value ± SD</td>
<td>Mean value ± SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45.7 ± 7.0</td>
<td>36.3 ± 5.7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BSJS score</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workload</td>
<td>1.77 ± 0.62</td>
<td>2.19 ± 0.74</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental workload</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.60</td>
<td>2.33 ± 0.65</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problems in personal relationships</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.56</td>
<td>2.11 ± 0.69</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job control</td>
<td>2.54 ± 0.62</td>
<td>2.27 ± 0.67</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reward from work</td>
<td>3.01 ± 0.69</td>
<td>2.51 ± 0.72</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support from colleagues and superiors</td>
<td>3.01 ± 0.63</td>
<td>2.65 ± 0.60</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = standard deviation
* Sex: chi-square test; other: Student’s t-test
CES-D = Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression; SOC = Sense of Coherence
BSJS = Brief Scales for Job Stress
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>(95% CI)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (referent)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>(0.71–1.48)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean number of hours worked per week</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>(0.99–1.01)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC-13 score</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>(0.80–0.86)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSJS score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workload</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>(0.76–1.58)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental workload</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>(1.26–2.75)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problems in personal relationships</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>(1.43–2.58)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job control</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>(0.65–1.21)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reward from work</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>(0.44–0.80)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support from colleagues and superiors</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>(0.59–1.19)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = 1121; Nagelkerke’s $R^2 = 0.495$

OR = odds ratio; CI = confidence interval

CES-D = Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression; SOC = Sense of Coherence
BSJS = Brief Scales for Job Stress