



PERSPECTIVE ARTICLE

Psychology of Fear: Vaccine Hesitancy, a Major Barrier to Full and Fair Balanced Reporting of Injuries, Disabilities, and Death after Vaccination

Peter A. McCullough, MD, MPH

McCullough Foundation

6320 LBJ Freeway, Suite 221.

 OPEN ACCESS

PUBLISHED

31 January 2026

CITATION

McCullough, P., A., Psychology of Fear: Vaccine Hesitancy, a Major Barrier to Full and Fair Balanced Reporting of Injuries, Disabilities, and Death after Vaccination. [online] 14(1).

COPYRIGHT

© 2026 European Society of Medicine. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ISSN

2375-1924

ABSTRACT

Throughout history, there has always been fear of untreatable, potentially fatal communicable disease. Immunization has been an advancement in population health that has developed over three centuries. However, fear of side effects is a psychological phenomenon that has arisen as a substantial issue for the lay public. Vaccine hesitancy, a complex phenomenon rooted in historical resistance to immunization, poses significant challenges as a concept to the research and public health communities. This manuscript explores its origins from the 18th century, methods for measurement using scales and surveys, and its effects on public health, including disease outbreaks and eroded herd immunity and avoidance of vaccine serious adverse events. Drawing upon contemporary evidence, there is a biased impact of vaccine hesitancy research working to suppress full and fair-balanced reporting of vaccine adverse and serious adverse events. Such events may lead to injuries, disabilities, and death. Fear of amplifying vaccine hesitancy may impact the mental well-being of healthcare providers with the injection of guilt, remorse, and regret of not being truthful about side effects. Without balance in reporting and publication of vaccine safety, the medical literature has become distorted in representing real risks and theoretical benefits of immunization.

Introduction

Psychological dimensions of the human cycle are relevant to the relationship between doctor and patient and are crucial to medical compliance. Vaccine hesitancy is considered a "mental disorder" refers to a state of indecisiveness regarding vaccination decisions, distinct from outright refusal.¹ It encompasses delays in acceptance or refusal despite available services, influenced by factors including fear of side effects, confidence, complacency, and convenience.² Recognized by the World Health Organization (WHO) as a top global health threat in 2019, hesitancy has persisted since the advent of vaccines. This manuscript examines its historical evolution, measurement approaches, and public health impact of vaccine hesitancy in the peer-reviewed literature and what it has done to reporting and publication of vaccine safety data.³ Because vaccine hesitancy is a public health construct that is measured and evaluated, fear of vaccine hesitancy among researchers and clinicians may introduce a threat their mental health and cloud objectivity around side effects from vaccine products.

History of Vaccine Hesitancy

The roots of vaccine hesitancy as a psychological phenomenon trace back to Edward Jenner's smallpox vaccine in 1796, which faced immediate opposition on sanitary, religious, scientific, and political grounds. Critics deemed it "unchristian" due to its animal-derived lymph, while others distrusted medical theories linking disease to atmospheric decay. In England, the 1853 Vaccination Act mandating infant immunization sparked resistance, escalating with the 1867 extension to age 14 and penalties for non-compliance. This led to the formation of Anti-Vaccination Leagues and journals, culminating in the 1885 Leicester Demonstration March, where up to 100,000 protested with symbolic effigies and coffins. By 1898, a "conscientious objector" clause

was introduced, reflecting growing public pressure.^{4,5}

In the United States, late-19th-century smallpox outbreaks prompted campaigns, but groups like the Anti Vaccination Society of America (1879) fought mandatory laws. The 1905 Supreme Court case *Jacobson v. Massachusetts* upheld compulsory vaccination for public health. Mid-20th-century controversies included the 1970s DTP vaccine scare, amplified by media reports of neurological risks, leading to groups like the Association of Parents of Vaccine Damaged Children in the UK.

Modern hesitancy surged with Andrew Wakefield's 1998 seminal study linking MMR vaccine to autism. Thimerosal concerns in the late 1990s led to precautionary removals. The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted hesitancy, with rapid vaccine development raising concerns over lack of preclinical testing and assurances concerning pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, teratogenicity, oncogenicity, and genome toxicity. A deluge of safety reports, peer-reviewed manuscripts, and package insert warnings led to massive public loss of confidence in the COVID-19 immunization campaign, very low uptake of boosters, and doubts cast on the entire field of synthetic mRNA and adenoviral DNA research.

Measurement of Vaccine Hesitancy

Measuring vaccine hesitancy as a psychiatric disease requires distinguishing it from behavior, focusing on its psychological state of indecision. The WHO SAGE Working Group defines it as indecisiveness, recommending tools like surveys and social media analysis. Key models include the 3C framework (confidence, complacency, convenience) and the expanded 5C model adding calculation and collective responsibility.

The Vaccine Hesitancy Scale (VHS), a 10-item tool with Likert and dichotomous questions, assesses attitudes in diverse settings. Field-tested in Guatemala, it revealed low refusal rates but cultural

challenges in scale interpretation.⁶ Other instruments include the Vaccination Attitude Examination (VAX) Scale, a 12-item measure of general attitudes, and the Multidimensional Vaccine Hesitancy Scale (MVHS),⁷ with 32 items evaluating behavior. Global surveys, like the Wellcome Global Monitor, gauge confidence through statements on importance, safety, and effectiveness. Table 1 gives an extensive list of 20 published vaccine hesitancy scales. All of these instruments visualize vaccine hesitancy as "bad" "negative" or "unfavorable" and conversely vaccine acceptance as "good" "positive" or "favorable."⁸

Influence on Public Health

Vaccine hesitancy works to reduce utilization of immunization and reduction in the case count of adverse events; however, it leads to reduced coverage of vaccine preventable diseases. It disrupts theoretical herd immunity, essential for community protection, resulting in resurgences among vaccinated and unvaccinated such as measles, mumps, and pertussis. Economically, it burdens healthcare systems through purchase and disposal of unused vaccine lots.⁹ Yet, reduced vaccination offers an opportunity for more appropriate and targeted use of vaccines for those with a greater benefit-to-risk ratio. In the setting of concerningly unsafe and ineffective vaccines, such as emergency use authorized COVID-19 products, hesitancy had a favorable public health impact by limiting injuries, disabilities, and death from vaccination.

Fear of Vaccine Hesitancy and Published Manuscripts

A search on PubMed, found 380 peer-reviewed manuscripts that discuss the benefits of COVID-19 vaccination outweighing the risks or having a favorable risk-benefit ratio, with the articles generally supporting this conclusion based on titles and abstracts. A similar search on PubMed, found 0 peer-reviewed manuscripts that indicate the risks of COVID-19 vaccination outweigh the benefits or

conclude the risk-to-benefit ratio is not favorable, with the articles generally supporting the opposite conclusion based on titles and abstracts. Could fear of vaccine hesitancy at the levels of authors, institutions, editors, reviewers, and publishers have led to this finding? Indeed, multiple peer-reviewed manuscripts have reported serious adverse effects from COVID-19 vaccination, and many have concluded the remaining boosters should be removed from public use.¹⁰⁻¹⁷ Likely concern over vaccine hesitancy has been a strong directional bias against publication in PUBMED listed journals resulting in a lack of fair presentation on risks and benefits. This gross imbalance may be leading to reduced public trust and even more vaccine hesitancy. Finally, when researchers and clinicians have a fear-based motive in their work, it may introduce a source of anxiety about their personal, family, and patient's vaccine histories. Guilt, shame, and psychological coping mechanisms may come into play for health professionals to maintain a stance that all vaccines are "safe and effective" and none have safety issues that could lead to valid public vaccine hesitancy. The great concern here is for health care providers transferring their own suppressed fears to patients in the form of countertransference further working to heighten fear, suppression of reporting, and ideological bias on vaccination.

Conclusion

Vaccine hesitancy has evolved to be a biased concept and measurement tool of a mental disorder without equipoise. The construct does not consider deferral of immunization in the setting of unfavorable risk to benefit ratios being "positive" "good" or "favorable" despite the net benefit of freedom from injuries, disabilities, and death. Enhancing trust through education and communication is crucial to safeguard populations and thus, fear of vaccine hesitancy must be overcome with checks on bias and an understanding that refusal of an intervention in the setting of serious safety concerns is appropriate and favorable to public health.

Table 1. Selected, published vaccine hesitancy instruments¹⁸⁻³⁵

Published Vaccine Hesitancy Scientific Scales

| Scale Name | Acronym | Number of Items | Target Population | Brief Description |
|--|---------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 5C Scale | 5C | 15 (long), 5 (short) | General adults | Measures psychological antecedents of vaccination: confidence, complacency, constraints, calculation, collective responsibility.  |
| Parent Attitudes about Childhood Vaccines Survey | PACV | Not specified | Parents | Survey to identify vaccine-hesitant parents using qualitative methods, with content and face validity.  |
| Vaccine Confidence Scale | VCS | 8 | Parents | Assesses parents' confidence in adolescent vaccination.  |
| Vaccination Attitudes Examination Scale | VAX | 12 | General | Examines dimensions of anti-vaccination attitudes.  |
| Survey Instrument for Measuring Vaccine Acceptance | None | Not specified | General | Tool for measuring overall vaccine acceptance.  |
| US Trust Measure for Parental Confidence in the Vaccine System | None | Not specified | Parents | Assesses and monitors parental confidence in the vaccine system.  |
| Human Papillomavirus Attitudes and Beliefs Scale | None | Not specified | General | Assesses attitudes and beliefs about HPV vaccination.  |
| Vaccine Conspiracy Beliefs Scale | VCBS | 7 | General | Measures beliefs in vaccine-related conspiracies.  |
| Caregiver Vaccine Acceptance Scale | None | Not specified | Caregivers (e.g., in Ghana) | Validated tool for measuring caregiver vaccine acceptance in low- and middle-income countries.  |
| Global Vaccine Confidence Index | None | Not specified | General population | Measures vaccine confidence globally, including attitudes on importance, safety, and effectiveness.  |
| Vaccine Hesitancy Scale | VHS | 10 | Parents/ Caregivers | WHO SAGE-developed tool to identify and compare vaccine hesitancy, with Likert and dichotomous items.  |
| Vaccine Confidence Index™ | VCI™ | Not specified | General population | Survey tool measuring hesitancy on vaccine importance, safety, and effectiveness across countries.  |

Conflict of Interest:

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding Statement:

No financial disclosure

References:

1. Vaccine hesitancy: An overview - PMC.
<https://PMC3906279/>
2. The Vaccine-Hesitant Moment - The New England Journal of Medicine.
<https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMra2106441>
3. Defining and measuring vaccine hesitancy.
<https://www.nature.com/articles/s41562-022-01484-7>
4. Vaccine Access and Hesitancy - The Public Health Importance of Vaccines.
<https://www.nationalacademies.org/news/2020/06/vaccine-access-and-hesitancy-the-public-health-importance-of-vaccines>
5. History of Anti-Vaccination Movements - HistoryOfVaccines.org.
<https://historyofvaccines.org/vaccines-101/misconceptions-about-vaccines/history-anti-vaccination-movements/>
6. Measuring vaccine hesitancy: Field testing the WHO SAGE Working Group on Vaccine Hesitancy survey tool in Guatemala.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0264410X18310156>
7. The Multidimensional Vaccine Hesitancy Scale: A Validation Study. <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-393X/10/10/1755>
8. Overview of Tools and Measures Investigating Vaccine Hesitancy in Adult Populations.
<https://PMC9412526/>
9. COVID-19 Vaccine Hesitancy and Implications for Economic Recovery.
<https://PMC10458140/>
10. Mead, M. N., Seneff, S., Wolfinger, R., Rose, J., Denhaerynck, K., Kirsch, S., & McCullough, P. A. (2024). COVID-19 Modified mRNA "Vaccines" Part 1: Lessons Learned from Clinical Trials, Mass Vaccination, and the Bio-Pharmaceutical Complex. *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research*, 3(2), 1112–1178.
11. Mead, M. N., Seneff, S., Rose, J., Wolfinger, R., Hulscher, N., & McCullough, P. A. (2024). COVID-19 Modified mRNA "Vaccines": Lessons Learned from Clinical Trials, Mass Vaccination, and the Bio-Pharmaceutical Complex, Part 2. *International Journal of Vaccine Theory, Practice, and Research*, 3(2), 1246–1315.
12. M. Nathaniel Mead, Jessica Rose, William Makis, Kirk Milhoan, Nicolas Hulscher and Peter A. McCullough. Myocarditis after SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 vaccination: Epidemiology, outcomes, and new perspectives. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CARDIOVASCULAR RESEARCH & INNOVATION*. Jan-Mar 2025, VOL. 3, ISSUE 1, pp. 1-43, DOI 10.61577 ijcri.2025.100001
13. Hulscher N, Bowden M T., McCullough P A. Review of Calls for Market Removal of COVID-19 Vaccines Intensify: Risks Far Outweigh Theoretical Benefits. *Science, Public Health Policy and the Law*. 2025 Jan 28; v6.2019-2025
14. Thorp JA, Rogers C, Deskevitch MP, Tankersly S, Benavides A, Redshaw MD, McCullough PA. COVID-19 Vaccines: The Impact on Pregnancy Outcomes and Menstrual Function. *Journal of American Physicians and Surgeons* 28 (1) Spring 2023, 28-34.
<https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202209.0430/v1>
15. Thorp JA, Rogers C, Deskevitch MP, Tankersley S, Benavides A, Redshaw MD, McCullough PA. Increased risk of fetal loss after COVID-19 vaccination. *Hum Reprod*. 2023 Dec 4;38(12):2536. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dead204. PMID: 37823793; PMCID: PMC10694405.
16. Cosgrove K, Thorp JA, Milhoan KA, McCullough PA. Comparative Risks Cardiovascular Adverse Events of COVID-19 and other Vaccines. *G Med Sci*. 2024;5(1):50-60.
<https://www.doi.org/10.46766/thegms.pubheal.24160201>

17.Thorp JA, Rogers C, Deskevich MP, Tankersley S, Benavides A, Redshaw MD, McCullough PA. Increased risk of fetal loss after COVID-19 vaccination. *Hum Reprod.* 2023 Oct 12:dead204. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dead204. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 37823793.

18.Opel DJ, Mangione-Smith R, Taylor JA, Korfiatis C, Wiese C, Catz S, Martin DP. Development of a survey to identify vaccine-hesitant parents: the parent attitudes about childhood vaccines survey. *Hum Vaccin.* 2011 Apr;7(4):419-25. doi: 10.4161/hv.7.4.14120. Epub 2011 Apr 1. PMID: 21389777; PMCID: PMC3360071.

19.Zeng F, Du B, Jiang H, Zheng M, Qiu X, Li F, Yi N, Wu Y, Ma Y, Li C, Gu C, Wang L, Yang F, Jin L, Yang Y, Qian X. Reliability and validity study of the "5Cs" hesitancy scale for maternal influenza vaccination among pregnant and postpartum women. *Infect Dis Poverty.* 2025 Apr 30;14(1):34. doi: 10.1186/s40249-025-01295-8. PMID: 40307882; PMCID: PMC12042492.

20.Gilkey MB, Magnus BE, Reiter PL, McRee AL, Dempsey AF, Brewer NT. The Vaccination Confidence Scale: a brief measure of parents' vaccination beliefs. *Vaccine.* 2014 Oct 29;32(47):6259-65. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.09.007. Epub 2014 Sep 22. PMID: 25258098; PMCID: PMC4418546.

21.Martin LR, Petrie KJ. Understanding the Dimensions of Anti-Vaccination Attitudes: the Vaccination Attitudes Examination (VAX) Scale. *Ann Behav Med.* 2017 Oct;51(5):652-660. doi: 10.1007/s12160-017-9888-y. PMID: 28255934.

22.Sarathchandra D, Navin MC, Largent MA, McCright AM. A survey instrument for measuring vaccine acceptance. *Prev Med.* 2018 Apr;109:1-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ypmed.2018.01.006. Epub 2018 Jan 11. PMID: 29337069.

23.Frew PM, Murden R, Mehta CC, Chamberlain AT, Hinman AR, Nowak G, Mendel J, Aikin A, Randall LA, Hargreaves AL, Omer SB, Orenstein WA, Bednarczyk RA. Development of a US trust measure to assess and monitor parental confidence in the vaccine system. *Vaccine.* 2019 Jan 7;37(2):325-332. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.09.043. Epub 2018 Nov 30. PMID: 30503657; PMCID: PMC6573024.

24.Perez S, Shapiro GK, Tatar O, Joyal-Desmarais K, Rosberger Z. Development and Validation of the Human Papillomavirus Attitudes and Beliefs Scale in a National Canadian Sample. *Sex Transm Dis.* 2016 Oct;43(10):626-32. doi: 10.1097/OLQ.0000000000000506. PMID: 27631357.

25.Shapiro GK, Holding A, Perez S, Amsel R, Rosberger Z. Validation of the vaccine conspiracy beliefs scale. *Papillomavirus Res.* 2016 Dec;2:167-172. doi: 10.1016/j.pvr.2016.09.001. Epub 2016 Sep 30. PMID: 29074176; PMCID: PMC5886898.

26.Marquez RR, Gosnell ES, Thikkurissy S, Schwartz SB, Cully JL. Caregiver acceptance of an anticipated COVID-19 vaccination. *J Am Dent Assoc.* 2021 Sep;152(9):730-739. doi: 10.1016/j.adaj.2021.03.004. Epub 2021 Mar 24. PMID: 34059293; PMCID: PMC7988472.

27.Larson, Heidi J; Schulz, William S; Tucker, Joseph D; Smith, David MD (2015). Measuring vaccine confidence: introducing a global vaccine confidence index. *PLoS currents, 7 (OUTBRE). ecurrents.outbreaks.ce0f6177bc97332602a8e3fe7d7f7c-. ISSN 2157-3999 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1371/currents.outbreaks.ce0f6177bc97332602a8e3fe7d7f7cc4>*

28.Kantor J, Carlisle RC, Morrison M, Pollard AJ, Vanderslott S. Oxford Vaccine Hesitancy Scale (OVHS): a UK-based and US-based online mixed-methods psychometric development and validation study of an instrument to assess vaccine hesitancy. *BMJ Open.* 2024 Oct 9;14(10):e084669. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2024-084669. PMID: 39384231; PMCID: PMC11474876.

29.Freeman D, Loe BS, Chadwick A, Vaccari C, Waite F, Rosebrock L, Jenner L, Petit A, Lewandowsky S, Vanderslott S, Innocenti S, Larkin M, Giubilini A, Yu LM, McShane H, Pollard AJ, Lambe S. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in the UK:

the Oxford coronavirus explanations, attitudes, and narratives survey (Oceans) II. *Psychol Med.* 2022 Oct;52(14):3127-3141. doi: 10.1017/S0033291720005188. Epub 2020 Dec 11. PMID: 33305716; PMCID: PMC7804077.

30. Gregory ME, MacEwan SR, Powell JR, Volney J, Kurth JD, Kenah E, Panchal AR, McAlearney AS. The COVID-19 vaccine concerns scale: Development and validation of a new measure. *Hum Vaccin Immunother.* 2022 Nov 30;18(5):2050105. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2022.2050105. Epub 2022 Apr 5. PMID: 35380510; PMCID: PMC9196820.

31. Nuzhath T, Yang Y, Couture MC, Gu NY, Comeau JL, Regan AK. Structural equation modeling to evaluate the adult vaccine hesitancy scale for vaccines recommended during pregnancy. *Vaccine.* 2025 Jan 25;45:126568. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2024.126568. Epub 2024 Dec 19. PMID: 39705797.

32. Balgiu BA, Sfeatcu R, Tâncu AMC, Imre M, Petre A, Tribus L. The Multidimensional Vaccine Hesitancy Scale: A Validation Study. *Vaccines (Basel).* 2022 Oct 20;10(10):1755. doi:

10.3390/vaccines10101755. PMID: 36298620; PMCID: PMC9608997.

33. Frew PM, Schamel JT, Randall LA, King AR, Spaulding AC, Wu E, Holloway IW. Vaccine confidence among people who use drugs: A cross-sectional survey. *Hum Vaccin Immunother.* 2022 Nov 30;18(6):2123201. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2022.2123201. Epub 2022 Sep 28. PMID: 36170655; PMCID: PMC9746418.

34. Novilla MLB, Goates MC, Redelfs AH, Quenzer M, Novilla LKB, Leffler T, Holt CA, Doria RB, Dang MT, Hewitt M, Lind E, Prickett E, Aldridge K. Why Parents Say No to Having Their Children Vaccinated against Measles: A Systematic Review of the Social Determinants of Parental Perceptions on MMR Vaccine Hesitancy. *Vaccines (Basel).* 2023 May 2;11(5):926. doi: 10.3390/vaccines11050926. PMID: 37243030; PMCID: PMC10224336.

35. Clarke RM, Sirota M, Paterson P. Do previously held vaccine attitudes dictate the extent and influence of vaccine information-seeking behavior during pregnancy? *Hum Vaccin Immunother.* 2019;15(9):2081-2089. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2019.1638203. Epub 2019 Aug 23. PMID: 31291160; PMCID: PMC6773393.