REVIEW ARTICLE

From Technical Accuracy to Clinical Meaning: Second-Order Perspectives on Diagnostic Innovation in Psychiatric and Addiction Care

Vladimir Nord

Umeå University, Department of Clinical Sciences, Psychiatry, Sweden

vladnord@icloud.com

ABSTRACT

Diagnostic innovations in public health are commonly evaluated through measures of technical accuracy and clinical validity. While such first-order evaluations are necessary, they offer limited insight into why diagnostic tools that perform well under controlled conditions are often unevenly adopted or resisted in psychiatric and addiction care. Implementation outcomes are strongly influenced by professional meaning-making, stigma, and contextual factors that shape how diagnostic practices are understood and enacted in everyday clinical work.

The aim of this article is to examine diagnostic innovation in psychiatric and addiction care from a second-order perspective, focusing on how diagnostic practices are experienced, interpreted, and made meaningful by clinicians. The article adopts a theory-informed qualitative review design, using phenomenography as an analytical framework to synthesize existing phenomenographic and closely related qualitative research from psychiatry, addiction care, and health services research.

The review demonstrates substantial variation in how diagnostic innovations are conceptualized in practice. Diagnostic tools may be understood as mechanisms of control and surveillance or, alternatively, as resources for dialogue, support, and patient engagement. These differences are closely linked to stigma, professional role conceptions, and organizational context. By structuring these variations into phenomenographic outcome spaces, the review highlights how diagnostic practices are embedded in dynamic configurations connecting professional understanding, patient experience, and implementation processes.

The findings suggest that the success of diagnostic innovation in psychiatric and addiction care cannot be explained by technical performance alone. A second-order, phenomenographic perspective provides critical insight into the meaning structures shaping implementation and offers a foundation for more context-sensitive educational, organizational, and policy strategies aimed at improving diagnostic innovation in complex clinical settings.

Introduction

In the contemporary public health landscape, diagnostic innovations are commonly evaluated through measures of technical accuracy and clinical validity, reflecting a first-order perspective focused on describing what a diagnostic phenomenon is.[1–3] However, despite strong technical performance, the implementation of new diagnostic tools in psychiatric and addiction care remains inconsistent and frequently problematic.[4–6] Empirical research indicates that behavioral dynamics, stigma, and variation in how diagnostic practices are perceived and enacted play a central role in shaping implementation outcomes.[4–6]

These challenges become particularly evident when newer diagnostic tools—such as digital screening applications or AI-based risk assessments—are introduced alongside traditional diagnostic practices grounded in clinical interviews, professional judgment, and established routines.[1,3] While traditional approaches are often embedded within familiar professional cultures, emerging technologies may disrupt existing norms and expectations, rendering their use sensitive to clinicians' underlying conceptions of mental illness, responsibility, and risk.[3,4]

Phenomenography has been most extensively developed and applied within educational research, where it has been used to examine variation in learning and understanding.[1,2] Its application within clinical and health-care contexts remains more limited, though a growing body of research demonstrates its relevance for examining professional practice, implementation processes, and patient care.[4,7,8] Phenomenographic research in mental health and substance use contexts has shown substantial variation in how service users and professionals understand care, recovery, stigma, and responsibility, underscoring the importance of second-order perspectives for clinical practice.[9–12]

The aim of this article is to provide a theory-informed phenomenographic review of diagnostic innovation in psychiatric and addiction care, examining how variation in professional understanding shapes implementation beyond technical performance. By adopting a second-order perspective, the article focuses on how diagnostic practices are experienced, interpreted, and made meaningful in clinical work.[3,13]

Theoretical Framework: Relational Knowledge and Second-Order Perspectives

This article adopts a qualitative, theory-informed review design, using phenomenography as an analytical framework to synthesize existing qualitative research across psychiatry and addiction care.[3,13] Phenomenography is grounded in a non-dualistic ontology that rejects a strict separation between an internal subjective mind and an external objective world.[1,2] Knowledge is instead understood as relational, constituted through the interaction between individuals and the phenomena they encounter.[1,2,14,15]

From this perspective, diagnostic tools do not function independently of clinicians' conceptions. Rather, they are enacted through "intellectual maps" that guide how practitioners interpret symptoms, engage with patients, and integrate diagnostic information into decision-making.[3,4] Variation in these maps helps explain why similar diagnostic innovations may be adopted, adapted, or resisted across different clinical settings.[3,14]

Psychiatric diagnosis presents a particular challenge for diagnostic innovation due to substantial variation in how mental health and illness are conceptualized. Research has identified a range of professional understandings, spanning from fear-driven and stigma-informed perspectives to more holistic and dialogical approaches that integrate mental and physical well-being.[16–18] When diagnostic innovations are introduced into contexts dominated by control- or protection-oriented understandings, tools risk being interpreted as instruments of surveillance rather than support.[5,16] Conversely, approaches grounded in involvement and dialogue are more likely to position diagnostic practices as resources for patient participation and empowerment.[3]

Phenomenography enables the identification of such critical aspects of understanding—those dimensions of meaning that must shift to support movement from task-oriented or control-focused practices toward more person-centred and professionally integrated forms of care.[3]

Transforming Addiction Care through Phenomenography

Addiction care is particularly well suited for phenomenographic inquiry due to the intersection of complex clinical competencies and deeply embedded social attitudes. Research across addiction-related contexts demonstrates that the adoption of new practices is influenced not only by the availability of protocols or guidelines, but also by how clinicians conceptualize their professional role, responsibility, and capacity for action. [11,19]

Phenomenographic studies of opioid use disorder illustrate variation in clinicians' capability development, ranging from foundational practice knowledge to more adaptive and reflective forms of expertise aligned with harm-reduction principles.[11,19] Mapping such variation provides a basis for designing educational and mentorship strategies that address conceptual barriers encountered when implementing new treatment practices.[19]

Comparable patterns have been identified in studies of smoking cessation and health promotion, where clinicians often rely on intuitive practice rather than formalized guidelines.[20] Phenomenographic analyses in these contexts make visible the pool of meanings guiding clinical action, thereby supporting the development of training initiatives that transform intuitive approaches into evidence-based practice.[20]

In community mental health settings, staff-directed aggression represents a significant challenge for care delivery.[4,21] Research indicates that innovations in risk assessment may be hindered when staff experience disempowerment or rely primarily on observational vigilance.[21] Related qualitative research has shown how professional mental models and stigma shape the uptake and enactment of mental health interventions, reinforcing the importance of addressing meaning-making processes alongside technical implementation strategies.[12,17]

Taken together, these phenomenographic findings demonstrate that diagnostic and therapeutic innovations in psychiatric and addiction care are filtered through shared meaning structures that shape professional action. This helps explain why diagnostic tools with strong technical or clinical validity may nevertheless be unevenly adopted, reinterpreted, or resisted in practice, underscoring the need for implementation strategies that address conceptual and contextual dimensions alongside technical performance.[3,5,9,12,17]

The Outcome Space as a Strategic Analytical Tool

The primary outcome of a phenomenographic study is the outcome space, which represents the qualitatively different ways a phenomenon is understood within a collective.[13] Rather than describing individual opinions, the outcome space captures shared patterns of meaning that structure professional practice and decision-making.[13]

In health research, and particularly in psychiatric contexts, such patterns are not always best represented as linear hierarchies. Instead, outcome spaces may be conceptualized as dynamic configurations or recurring loops, in which patients' embodied experiences, identity formation, and encounters with health-care systems mutually influence one another.[3,5] These representations support analysis of how diagnostic innovations interact with lived experience and organizational context, helping to explain why implementation may succeed, stall, or generate unintended consequences.[3,5]

Conclusion

For diagnostic innovations to succeed in the complex fields of psychiatry and addiction care, users' perspectives must be recognized as central rather than peripheral to the implementation process. Technical accuracy and clinical validity, while necessary, are insufficient to ensure meaningful uptake in practice. This review demonstrates how a second-order, phenomenographic perspective can illuminate the collective meaning structures that shape diagnostic practices and professional action.

By synthesizing variation in how diagnostic innovations are understood and enacted, the article contributes to a structured conceptual framework for examining implementation beyond first-order evaluations. Phenomenography offers a valuable analytical lens for aligning diagnostic innovation with clinical practice, reducing the impact of stigma, and supporting more person-centered and context-sensitive approaches to psychiatric and addiction care.

References:

1. Marton F. Phenomenography—describing conceptions of the world around us. *Instructional Science*. 1981;10:177–200.

2. Marton F. Phenomenography—a research approach to investigating different understandings of reality. *Journal of Thought*. 1986;21(3):28–49.

3. Sims DA. Introducing the research design of phenomenography. *Medical Science Educator*. 2024. doi:10.1007/s40670-024-02082-0

4. Barnard A, McCosker H, Gerber R. Phenomenography: A qualitative research approach for exploring understanding in health care. *Qualitative Health Research*. 1999;9(2):212–226.

5. Röing M, Sanner M. A meta-ethnographic synthesis on phenomenographic studies of patients' experiences of chronic illness. *Int J Qual Stud Health Well-being*. 2015;10:26279.

6. Sjöström B, Dahlgren LO. Applying phenomenography in nursing research. *J Adv Nurs*. 2002;40(3):339–345.

7. Bayuo J, Aziato L, Wong KY, et al. Phenomenography: An emerging qualitative research design for nursing. *J Adv Nurs*. 2023;80(2).

8. Åkerlind GS. *Phenomenography in the 21st Century*. Open Book Publishers; 2025.

9. Laitila M, Nummelin J, Kortteisto T, Pitkänen A. Service users' views of involvement in mental health and substance abuse services. *Health Expectations*. 2011;14(2):144–156.

10. Marynowski-Traczyk D. *Nurses' conceptions of recovery in mental health care: A phenomenographic study*. University of Wollongong; 2015.

11. Whitfield MM, Mimirinis M, Macdonald D, Klein T, Wilson R. Nurse practitioner conceptions of capability providing medication for opioid use disorder. *J Adv Nurs*. 2025.

12. Watson J. *Stigma and recovery in mental health nursing: A phenomenographic study*. Durham University; 2019.

13. Sin S. Considerations of quality in phenomenographic research. *Int J Qual Methods*. 2010;9(4):305–319.

14. Ammerman A, Smith TW, Calancie L. Practice-based evidence in public health. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2014;35:47–63.

15. Han F, Ellis RA. Using phenomenography to tackle key challenges in science education. *Front Psychol*. 2019;10:1414.

16. Barry S, Ward L, Walter R. Exploring nursing students' experiences of learning using phenomenography. *J Nurs Educ*. 2017;56(10):591–598.

17. Genrich M, Brakemeier EL, Höfler M, et al. Mental models guiding organizational mental health interventions. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022;19:12610.

18. Röing M, Holmström IK. A metasynthesis of phenomenographic articles on understandings of work among healthcare professionals. *Qual Health Res*. 2018;28(2):273–284.

19. Ernelind N, Wirkensjö H, Pangastuti HS, et al. Nurses' experiences of health promotion and prevention related to smoking. *Int Arch Nurs Health Care*. 2019;5:124.

20. Maagerø-Bangstad ER, Sælør KT, Lillevik OG, Ness O. Exploring staff conceptions of prevention and management practices in encounters with staff-directed aggression. *Int J Ment Health Syst*. 2020;14:60.