THE EUROPEAN SOCIETY OF MEDICINE
Medical Research Archives, Volume 14 Issue 2

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Telemedicine-Driven Assistive Technology Solutions: Bridging Digital Care and Remote Service Delivery

Suman Badhal

Professor, Department of PMR, VMMC & SJH, New Delhi 110029

OPEN ACCESS

PUBLISHED
28 February 2026

CITATION
Badhal, S., 2026. Telemedicine-Driven Assistive Technology Solutions: Bridging Digital Care and Remote Service Delivery. Medical Research Archives, [online] 14(2).

ISSN
2375-1924

ABSTRACT

There is a vast need of assistive technology among more than huge population segment of the global population that are in need of at least one assistive product, yet merely one in ten people has access to the life-changing devices. Telemedicine provides a revolution towards closing this global Assistive Technology gap, especially in low- and middle-income countries where service delivery obstacles are the highest. This article discusses how telemedicine can be used in the provision of Assistive Technology with a focus on the potential to improve the assessment, prescription, training, and follow-up care. Using World Health Organization frameworks, empirical data, and implementation science, the review illustrates that Assistive Technology services provided through telemedicine can help to reduce costs, increase geographic access, reduce user satisfaction, and encourage equitable application of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities.

By combining telemedicine with new technologies such as artificial intelligence, wearable sensors, virtual and augmented reality, and electromyography-based technology, there are possibilities to offer personalized Assistive Technology delivery and real-time monitoring. These multimodal solutions aid distance evaluation, anticipatory examination to lessen the discontinuation of instruments, and constant tracking of results at the community level. Hybrid models of delivery that use a combination of both synchronous videoconferencing and asynchronous mobile health tools create flexible structures of service that can be changed to suit various contexts of resources.

Such issues as the rural-urban gaps in infrastructure, insufficiency of rehabilitation personnel, and the changing regulatory stipulations under the National Digital Health Mission continue to be a challenge in India. Nonetheless, robust digital health, mobile connectivity, and the advancements towards universal health coverage place India in a good position in terms of scalable, rights-based telemedicine-Assistive Technology application.

Keywords: Assistive Technology, Telemedicine, Rehabilitation, Artificial Intelligence

Introduction

Telemedicine, which can be described as the provision of healthcare services through the use of information and communication technologies, has become a possible remedy to such barriers of the system. Tele rehabilitation and remote Assistive Technology (AT) services have shown being viable in various clinical population and settings[1]. The COVID-19 crisis triggered the global shift towards telemedicine, exposing the opportunities and challenges in the implementation of digital health that remain[2,3].

Assistive technology (AT) can be defined as devices, equipment, software, and systems that preserve or enhance the functioning and independence of those with functional impairments, people of old age, and people with non-communicable illnesses. The WHO Priority Assistive Products List has a list of 50 products that are considered essential that include wheelchairs and hearing aids, communication devices and environmental modifications[4,5]. Although their importance is acknowledged, it is estimated that 90% of those who require AT lack access to it, and the differences are largest in low- and middle-income nations (LMICs) where only 3-5% of the needs are addressed[6,7]. The consequences of this access gap run deep in a variety of areas: AT helps to accomplish education, employment, and community life, which is very likely to lead to the achievement of basic human rights stipulated in the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD)[6]. On the other hand, poverty, exclusion and avoidable functional constraints continue to exist due to poor access[8]. Classical AT service delivery models require physical assessments of specialized professionals- a system that cannot succeed in the conditions of the workforce shortage, extensive geographical conditions, insufficient infrastructure, and limited funds[9,10].

Scope of Telemedicine in Assistive Technology's Delivery

1. NEEDS ASSESSMENT

Telemedicine facilitates systemic distant need evaluation by use of videoconferencing or mobile applications with the aid of digital protocols that are standardized[11]. Users can be included in the assessment activities by community health workers or family members who can help in the administration of the assessment activities[12,18]. Smartphone cameras, 360deg images, user-created videos assist in environmental assessment offering a detailed view of accessibility barriers at home, workplaces, and community locales[13]. The tools enlighten the selection of AT products and environmental adaptations by informed choice and reduce the necessity of having clinicians onsite.

2. ASSESSMENT AND PRESCRIPTION

Synchronous telemedicine provides the real-time conduction of multidisciplinary examination by means of video consultation that can reproduce the face-to-face examination but can remove the obstacle of traveling[12,14]. Asynchronous or store and forward modalities also allow users to share recorded functional task performance to be reviewed by experts[15]. Decision-support systems and their corresponding matching algorithms are able to combine both user characteristics and environmental information to achieve precise remote prescription and product selection with the assistance of distributed clinical teams[16,17].

3. TRAINING AND CAPACITY BUILDING

Training is an important aspect of effective adoption of AT. Telemedicine increases the possibility of training by means of remote interactive training, video libraries of demonstrations, and by educating caregivers[12]. Such versatile designs increase the competency and confidence of the users to sustain the use of the device particularly in regions where there is a lack of rehabilitation experts.

4. FOLLOW-UP AND FEEDBACK

Telemedicine helps to conduct follow-ups in time with the help of virtual troubleshooting, device parameter calibration, and performance evaluation with the help of validated remote outcome measures[18,19]. Combining wearable sensors and mobile health devices will enable constant feedback regarding the usage trends and physiological parameters[20-23].

This live data is helpful to identify problems before they arise, and to optimize the devices according to each person.

5. USER SATISFACTION AND OUTCOME MONITORING

Remote outcome monitoring takes into account standardized measures like QUEST 2.0, PIADS, and MPT to assess user satisfaction, psychosocial impact and person-environment fit[16,24,25]. Hybrid telemedicine models - by incorporating the elements of sync, asynchronous, and mobile health - enhance user engagement, the continuity of the service, and long-term satisfaction, with the help of accessible and user-friendly feedback mechanisms[11,26].

Global Perspective on Telemedicine in Association with Assistive Technologies

A global snapshot of assistive technology (AT) access shows there is an acute crisis of access, within a huge population segment in need of assistive product(s), yet access to these products is significantly lacking, with only about 10 per cent having adequate access, the lowest number of it being in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs)[4,5,27]. This is a critical gap that continues the cycles of poverty and social exclusion, as well as avoidable functional restrictions, which compromise the basic human rights enshrined in the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD)[6]. The conventional AT service delivery models require face-to-face evaluation with professional rehabilitation experts, the method that does not work systematically in situations that include workforce shortages, geographical issues, insufficiency of infrastructure, and resource-related limitations[26,28]. Telemedicine has appeared to be a radical approach to overcome these systemic impediments through the provision of remote AT assessment, prescription, training, and follow-ups[14,15]. Telemedicine is a diverse field of modalities that have a different application throughout the AT provision pathway. Synchronous telemedicine uses the real-time video conferencing to aid remote clinical consultations, collaborative care tests, and interactive training that simulates real-life experience and removes geographical and transport obstacles[14,26]. The asynchronous or store-and-forward models allow recording data, such as functional performance videos, environmental analysis, and result measures, to be sent later to be reviewed by an expert, e.g., in a location with limited connectivity or a substantial time zone gap[15]. Continuous data streams on AT usage patterns, functional outcomes and physiological parameters can be provided by remote monitoring with wearable sensors and mobile health technologies, which sustain data-enabled clinical decision-making and early detection of issues with devices[29,30]. Hybrid models are a strategic approach to take the advantages of both modalities and build comprehensive flexible service architectures that can be customized to suit a wide range of infrastructure capabilities and user needs[12,26].

The introduction of the new technologies glued with telemedicine provides new prospects of evidence-based, individualized AT provision. Artificial intelligence uses include predictive analytics on device abandonment possibility, the automated evaluation plans, intelligent matching algorithms between person and product, and distributed clinical team decision support systems[31-33]. Immersive remote training environment, competency development through simulation, environmental accessibility testing, and application of virtual and augmented reality technologies to rehabilitation are all possible, thus improving motor learning and functional results[34-37]. Wearable sensor technologies can offer objective and continuous measurements of device use, biomechanical variables, activity behavior, and the risk of falls- generating rich datasets on outcome monitoring and individually tailoring AT interventions [20,38,39]. It is used as a support of remote neuromuscular assessment, biofeedback training, and control interfaces of sophisticated assistive devices such as powered wheelchairs and upper limb prosthetics[40].

Irrespective of these technological provisions, there are tremendous limitations that limit the

implementation of telemedicine-AT in the world. Digital infrastructure disconnects such as poor broadband access, access to electricity, and access to devices have disproportionately impacted rural and underserved people in LMICs[19,26]. The problem of rehabilitation workforce capacity is not only limited to the lack of numbers, but also to the lack of training in tele-practice competencies, the lack of digital literacy, and the lack of experience with remote assessment protocols[12,14]. The problem of trust and acceptance between the service providers and the users demonstrates the problem of clinical efficacy, privacy of data, the quality of therapeutic relationship, and the suitability of remote modalities to address complex needs of AT[11]. Technological innovation has not been reflected in policy and regulatory frameworks to make it legally uncertain whether licensure, reimbursement, liability, data protection, and cross-border service provision are legal[3,14,26].

The COVID-19 pandemic was a worldwide catalyst to the adoption of telemedicine, which increased the pace of its adoption, but also exposed unresolved digital gaps and inequity issues [2,41]. In India particularly, telemedicine growth has been influenced by the National Digital Health Mission, Telemedicine Practice Guidelines released by the Medical Council of India, a high degree of mobile connectivity of more than 1.2 billion subscribers, and policy commitments of universal health coverage of Ayushman Bharat[2,3, 19,41]. Nonetheless, India poses special implementation issues such as the presence of urban-rural disparities in infrastructure, lack of spread of rehabilitation workers in the rural areas with high concentration in urban centers, language and cultural barriers with variations in approaches that need conditions-based, and financial hurdles that limit access to devices and internet accessibility among poor populations[2,3,19,26]. The cross-sectional research on Indian physicians demonstrates mixed patterns of adoption of telemedicine with apprehensions over diagnostic accuracy, patient safety, and medicolegal concerns limiting the interest towards remote service models[41].

The global best practices that have been observed to develop out of the applications of telehealth in different settings highlight various critical success factors. The hybrid type of service models, which combine both in-person and remote aspects in a strategic way according to the needs of an individual, clinical complexity, and the availability of resources, are the most efficient and cost-effective. Capacity-building efforts at the levels of rehabilitation professionals and community health workers increase the capability of the workforce to provide tele-practice services with the proper supervision and quality assurance systems. The cultural appropriateness of technology, accessibility, and alignment with user preferences and priorities are guaranteed through the user-centered design processes that actively engage persons with functional impairment in the design of technologies, plans of their implementation, and evaluation of services. Digital health ecosystems supporting the integration of telemedicine platforms with electronic health records, AT databases, and outcomes monitoring systems make care continuity more effective and allow tracking the quality of service and its overall impact across a population[14,26].

In the future, the implementation of telemedicine-AT should be sustainable and equitable, which can only be achieved through a coordinated approach in various areas. Digital infrastructure as a vital health infrastructure should be invested in to focus on connectivity, affordability, and accessibility by marginalized populations such as persons with functional impairment in remote and rural regions. Implementation research, pragmatic trials, and real-world effectiveness studies should be used to generate evidence to address critical gaps in knowledge about the best service delivery model, cost-effectiveness, long-term outcomes, and ways of reducing digital exclusion. The policy formulation should provide a facilitating regulatory environment that ensures the safety of patients and data protection as well as enabling innovation, cross-jurisdictional service delivery, and sustainable financing systems such as insurance reimbursements. The initiatives of capacity strengthening must incorporate the

tele-practice competencies into the pre-service rehabilitation education programs and offer competitive professional developmental opportunities in accordance to the changing technological capabilities and the standards of evidence-based practices[3,11,12,14].

Telemedicine-Assistive Technology in India: Context and Opportunities

The case of India is a distinctive and attractive one in the context of telemedicine-enabled assistive technology (AT) services because of high challenges and opportunities. The barriers to healthcare accessibility in the country are huge: there is a high level of geographical distance, urban-rural inequality in infrastructure, and unequal distribution of professional medical rehabilitation[19,41]. The conventional AT models of service delivery that rely on face-to-face evaluations by trained clinicians are especially insufficient in rural and remote communities where there is a severe shortage of workforce and facility-based services which result in significant gaps to access[27,28]. Poor transportation, unavailability of facilities and barriers to service delivery are some of the environmental factors that complicate such challenges and result in exclusion and unmet AT needs[42].

The COVID-19 pandemic was a watershed event in the adoption of telemedicine throughout the healthcare system in India, as the system unveiled the possibility of organizing access to healthcare, and continuing digital gaps among marginalized communities, such as persons with functional impairment [2]. In a cross-sectional study conducted on the views of doctors in India, the researchers concluded that telemedicine had gained acceptance as a service delivery model, but its application had several obstacles associated with digital literacy, connectivity infrastructure, and regulatory issues[41]. Telehealth applications during and after the pandemic have shown concrete benefits in terms of minimizing the travel burden, remote consultation, service continuity during lockdowns, and the spread of specialists to underserved areas, and, at the same time, have shown some issues, such as insufficient technological infrastructure in rural areas, insufficient training on telehealth among providers, and quality of clinical examination[2].

The powerful digital health programs in India present significant opportunities of scalable telemedicine-AT programs. Regulatory tools to be applied in remote healthcare delivery include the National Digital Health Mission and the changing Telemedicine Practice Guidelines, but regulations specific to AT assessment, prescription and follow-up need additional development and standardization[3,41]. Extensive mobile connectivity, increasing rates of internet penetration, rising levels of smartphone use, and government policy promises of universal health access place India in an advantaged place to carry out rights-based, technology-enabled AT delivery that would be in line with the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities[3]. The accessibility of primary healthcare can be improved through telemedicine, and it is a primary resource to implement the AT services as a part of community-based rehabilitation and attain equitable coverage[3,19].

Combination with new technologies such as the artificial intelligence, wearable sensors, mobile health applications, and decision-support systems provide unprecedented prospects to overcome the AT access disparities in India by means of personalized remote evaluation, anticipatory monitoring of device usage behavior, and continuous outcome observation[31,33,43]. Artificial intelligence-based systems can be used to aid clinical decision-making in a low-resource environment, where access to specialists is of paramount importance in a situation, and wearable technologies can also be used to monitor the functional aspects of the body and identify issues even before they emerge without having to visit a facility regularly[44]. Nevertheless, trust is also a vital factor that influences the adoption of telemedicine to receive the AT services, systematic consideration has to be made regarding the level of user confidence, privacy of data, cultural acceptability, competences of providers, and the reliability of remote service delivery models[11].

Training Requirements for Telemedicine-Assistive Technology Delivery in Context of India

Effective execution of telemedicine-supported assistive technology service needs to be meticulously structured training systems that deal with both technical capability as well as remote AT-related clinical expertise. India The Telemedicine Practice Guidelines as noted by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare in 2020 require that all registered medical practitioners who seek to offer online consultation should undergo training courses in telemedicine within three years of notification. Nonetheless, the specialist training of AT delivery via telemedicine platforms is not yet developed, which is one of the reasons why workforce capacity issues are a significant obstacle to fair access to AT by all[41,45.]

The multidimensional competencies that healthcare practitioners working in telemedicine-AT services must possess include therapeutic-professional, medical and telerehabilitation, technical expertise of working with digital platforms, and communication skills modified to facilitate remote communication. The training programs should also focus on the remote needs assessment guidelines based on a standardized digital tool, environmental assessment based on smartphone-based imaging, prescriptive decision-making without practical analysis, and remote training techniques of device utilization[28,45,46]. Capacity building is also required to cover community health workers, rehabilitation technicians that can enable remote assessment in resource-constrained environments which do not have sufficient specialized professionals[28,45].

In India, there is a huge problem in building sufficient capacity of AT workforce whereby there are few trained resource persons to offer AT services in the country. This is especially severe in rural regions where telemedicine can have the most significant effect, and infrastructure and training opportunities are the most scarce[19,41]. A cross-sectional analysis of telemedicine attitudes of Indian physicians indicates inconsistent results in terms of familiarity with remote service delivery models and the presence of gaps in knowledge in terms of both technical and clinical aspects of telehealth practice[41]. The disproportionate allocation of workforce in the rehabilitation field continues to exacerbate training gaps and requires new strategies such as the use of online training certification programs, peer mentoring networks, and the incorporation of telemedicine-AT competencies in medical and other health curricula[2,3].

Trust is an important, but frequently neglected element of the training needs to deliver telemedicine-AT[11]. The healthcare professionals need to acquire skills in remote establishment of a therapeutic relationship, respond to user concerns regarding technology, and communicate efficiently using digital platforms to promote the adoption of both forms of telemedicine and assistive products[11]. The modules on cultural sensitivity, disability awareness, user-centered AT prescription, and engaging family members as facilitators in remote service delivery should be included in the training programs[47]. With the development of telemedicine infrastructure in India, the National Digital Health Mission, the systematic funding of specialized training in telemedicine-AT provision is needed to transform technological opportunities into better access and outcomes among persons with functional impairment[3,19,41].

Challenges and Barriers in Telemedicine for Assistive Technology

In light of the transformative purpose of telemedicine in the assistive technology (AT) delivery, there are strong impediments in the way of wide adoption and even-handed implementation in different environments.

INFRASTRUCTURE AND DIGITAL ACCESS BARRIERS

Digital infrastructural gaps are an inherent problem to telemedicine-facilitated AT services. The lack of widespread broadband connections, unstable internet connection, and inadequate technological infrastructure is especially high in the rural and

remote regions where the need of AT is frequently the highest[13,28,45]. Only 3-5% of the AT needs are fulfilled in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) because of systemic barriers to delivery of AT, such as the insufficiency of telecommunications infrastructure[7,27]. Compounded troubles cause resource-limiting environments where there are either no or unreliable power resources, the unavailability of the necessary hardware and software, and a deficiency in bandwidth to facilitate synchronous video consultation[27,28]. Those infrastructure gaps introduce a digital divide that largely impacts people with functional impairments in underserved communities, so they cannot receive remote AT assessment services and training[45].

DIGITAL LITERACY AND TRAINING DEFICITS

Low levels of digital literacy among the service users and the healthcare providers represent a major obstacle to the adoption of telemedicine[2]. The elderly, rural and people with cognitive functional impairments have a unique experience of navigating telehealth services and digital applications. Numerous patients are not accustomed to videoconferencing technologies and smartphone applications that can be used to conduct remote AT consultations[2]. Medical professionals also face the problem of the lack of training to work with telehealth systems, which contributes to poor service delivery and the quality of care. Lack of the availability of patient education resources targeting individuals with a language barrier, literacy issues, or cognitive functional impairments also contributes to access problems[47]. The majority of telemedicine platforms do not have custom features to support individuals who are deaf, blind, and have other communication functional impairments, which poses a big limitation to meaningful involvement with remote AT services.

WORKFORCE CAPACITY AND SERVICE DELIVERY LIMITATIONS

Lack of special rehabilitation experts who possess training in AT provision and telemedicine delivery is a long-standing obstacle, especially in LMICs and remote areas. The conventional AT service model requires face-to-face evaluation by occupational therapist, physical therapist, and rehabilitation engineer which is a workforce that is not properly geographically distributed[2,9,28]. Providers are worried regarding the quality of the remote assessment, especially the failure to perform a physical assessment, engage the device, and monitor the actual functioning. The problem of transferring skills of tactile assessment and competencies of environmental evaluation to remote modality needs a special training that most rehabilitation professions lack[12,40,41]. The use of telemedicine in routine clinical practice requires workflow redesigning, spending on learning new platforms, and adapting assessment protocols changes that are met with resistance through developed practice patterns and the lack of institutional support.

TRUST, ACCEPTANCE, AND PSYCHOSOCIAL BARRIERS

The level of trust in telemedicine technology and the perceived risks of remote AT services play a significant role in the adoption rates. The cultural beliefs, the fear of privacy and data security, and the lack of trust in the validity of remote evaluations make patients and their families usually prefer to have in-person consultation[2,11]. Reliance on the reliability of technologies and the perceived usefulness of telemedicine were noted as the key determinants of adoption and there was a considerable gap in the confidence of patients that needs to be addressed systematically[11]. Telehealth hesitancy is caused by socio-cultural demands to interact with providers face-to-face when making decisions that involve complex choices regarding AT prescription and customization. Abandonment of the device - this is an issue that has been around in the AT provision, potentially worsened by poor remote training and follow-up, and lowering user confidence in the assistive product and the telemedicine provision model[48]. There are also psychosocial barriers related to stigma on the use of AT and disability and fear of privacy when using video calls at home[47].

REGULATORY, LEGAL, AND POLICY FRAMEWORK GAPS

There is a lack of policy and regulatory frameworks regulating the practice of telemedicine compared to technological advancement, which brings about medico-legal ambiguity in the practice, which deters service growth[3,19]. This extents to the fact that matters pertaining licensure of cross-jurisdictional practice, legal validity of prescriptions made under telemedicine, liability of the remote assessment, and the mechanism of reimbursement are not well-dolled-out in most settings[3]. Regulations related to data privacy, informed consent in case of remote consultation, and electronic health records integration would be a challenge to be complied with by AT providers using telemedicine platforms. The lack of quality standards and accreditation criteria unique to telemedicine-based AT services make and introduce variability in practice and pose a risk to patient safety[17,49]. Telemedicine consultations are not covered or underpaid by insurance coverage and reimbursement policies, which form financial incentives towards providers and obstacles to access[13].

TECHNOLOGY-SPECIFIC AND IMPLEMENTATION BARRIERS

The additional barriers hindering the clinical implementation of emerging technologies incorporated in telemedicine make AT delivery more complex. Although it could have advantages of remote measurements and biofeedback, surface electromyography (EMG) systems encounter obstacles such as variability of signal quality, difficulty in standardizing electrode placement, and complexity of interpretation which limits their use in telemedicine[50]. Wearable sensor technology demand user compliance to schedules of wearing, the reliability of the data transmission of the devices, and the validation of the algorithms in a variety of populations- difficulties that are increased in remote monitoring settings[21,38,51]. The role of artificial intelligence in assessing the AT provokes issues of algorithmic bias, the transparency of the decision, the safety of data, and the necessity of human control that are not reflected yet systematically in the telemedicine concept[15]. Training Virtual and augmented reality systems need access to hardware, technical support, and bandwidth that are currently beyond the capacity of many environments where AT is most needed[35-37,52,53].

INDIA-SPECIFIC IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES

Telemedicine of AT services faced in the Indian setting also has special challenges to face due to the variability of infrastructure, changes in regulations, and the diversity of the population[3]. The rural-urban digital disparity is also explicit as rural regions have a low smartphone rate, unreliable electricity, and a low level of internet connectivity which limits the possibilities of telemedicine[2]. Though there are the National Digital Health Mission and the new Telemedicine Practice Guidelines, the regulatory specifics of the cross-state practice, prescription validity, and data protection standards remain vague, presenting an implementation challenge[3,41]. Lack of equilibrium in the distribution of rehabilitation personnel, where the tertiary centers are based in the urban areas and there is a very severe shortage of proficient personnel in the rural areas, constrains supply of trained personnel to provide telemedicine-based AT services[2,19]. The linguistic variety, where both multilingual platforms and culturally sensitive assessment tools will be needed, is an operational challenge to the standardized telemedicine protocols[2]. Digital inequality translates to the fact that the most at risk populations to AT, such as persons with functional impairment in underprivileged and underserved communities, experience an added disadvantage to telemedicine adoption. The COVID-19 pandemic both increased the adoption of telemedicine but also revealed inequalities in digital access and brought to the fore the importance of inclusive application policies to cover the aspects of access, affordability, and acceptability in the heterogeneous Indian healthcare setting[2,41].

Overcoming Barriers to Telemedicine-Based Assistive Technology Provision

To manage the complex issues in the telemedicine-based AT services, coordinated approaches at the

infrastructure, workforce, policy, and technology level are necessary. The reinforcing of digital infrastructure is still baseline, and investments in mobile connectivity, broadband growth, and low-bandwidth telemedicine solutions, which operate well in resource-limited environments, are implemented[19,26]. The National Digital Health Mission of India offers interoperable digital health system policy architecture, which opens up the possibilities of integrated telemedicine-AT platforms[3,41].

Competency-based training on remote assessment protocols, digital communication skills, and telerehabilitation delivery models should be the main focus of developing workforce capacity. Mixed training models of online courses and supervised clinical practice can quickly increase the capacity of the workforce in rehabilitation in geographical areas[46]. Basic AT assessment and telemedicine facilitation Community health workers/rehabilitation assistants may enhance access to specialists, especially in rural underserved communities[45].

Establishing confidence and goodwill between users and providers is one of the key implementation challenges. The approaches based on user-centered design that includes persons with functional impairment into the technical development process, effective communication of data privacy and security, and the presentation of work outcomes of telemedicine-AT can positively influence acceptance [54,55]. To overcome stigma surrounding the use of assistive technologies and digital health platforms, it is necessary to combat stigma culturally sensitive, as well as peer support networks[11,47].

Policy frameworks should be changed to appreciate AT services offered through telemedicine in the reimbursement frameworks, the professional scope of practice directives, and the quality assurance frameworks[17,49]. The WHO policy framework on assistive technology offers a basis of incorporating telemedicine modalities in the country of provision systems on AT[17]. Sustainable implementation will be introduced by regulatory clarity about the tele-practice standards, cross-border consultation, and licensure requirements[14].

Future Perspectives and Innovation Directions

Artificial Intelligence converging with telemedicine has a transformative potential of offering personalized and predictive AT. Clinical decision-making supported by evidence-based matching recommendations and predicting risks of device abandonment can be facilitated by machine learning algorithms using massive datasets of user characteristics, environmental influences, and device outcomes[16,44]. Video recordings of functional performance can be assessed by using AI-based tools, which can automatically calculate kinematic parameters and detect movement patterns that can be used to inform AT prescription[22,30,43].

The wearable sensors and the use of telemedicine platforms allows the objective, continuous monitoring of AT utilization, functional outcome, and physiological reaction in the community conditions[21,23]. Smart motion sensors integrated in assistive devices will give information streams like usage trends, environmental factors as well as performance indicators, which drive timely modifications and assists in avoiding device dumping[30,48]. Predictive analytics: Predictive analytics are able to detect signs of poorer functioning, maluses of devices or developing issues early, therefore action can be taken to avert clinical intervention[29,39].

Technologies of virtual and augmented reality provide training scenarios involving AT usage in a simulated real environment whereby they can repeat and practice in a simulated environment without having to face risks to their safety and logistical limitations[35,36]. Virtual Reality (VR) based rehabilitation programs are proven to be effective in gait training, cognitive rehabilitation and motor learning, and they can be provided over a distance and track progress automatically[34,52]. Augmented Reality (AR) applications may offer real-time visual directions superimposed on the environment of the user when achieving AT training assisting in the acquisition of skills

independently and in the teaching of skills by the caregiver[53,56].

Interfaces based on electromyography and used with telemedicine systems allow the assessment of neuromuscular functioning remotely, motor rehabilitation using biofeedback and assistive devices controlled using muscle signal pattern[57-60]. EMG sensors worn can be used in telehealth systems to support rehabilitation at home with real-time monitoring by clinicians and immediate feedback on muscle activity[59]. EMG signals can be used as inputs to a pattern recognition algorithm that identifies functional movements and hand gestures and may be used to direct the prescription of myoelectric prostheses and assistive devices[61,62].

The next frontier is multimodal integration, which is the combination of videoconferencing, wearable sensors, mobile health apps, AI-based analytics, and immersive technologies in integrated telerehabilitation ecosystems[15,26,63]. Such hybrid platforms will be able to provide the whole AT provision pathway, such as needs assessment to long-term outcome monitoring, and scale to different infrastructure capabilities and user preferences[12,46]. The cloud-based data integration is used to allow distributed clinical teams to coordinate their efforts in complex cases, and specialist knowledge can be accessed remotely to frontline LMIC providers[27,28].

Development of contextually suitable, affordable telemedicine-AT solution can be expedited by open innovation ecosystems that involve a variety of stakeholders: technology developers, rehabilitation professionals, users with functional impairments, policymakers, and researchers[8]. Co-design methodologies will make sure that technologies will meet the real user requirement and still be practical to local implementation environment[8,54]. The knowledge exchange and evidence synthesis with adaptation of successful models in new settings can be facilitated through the global collaboration platform.

To ensure that the adoption is sustainable in line with the human rights frameworks, it is necessary to purposefully pay attention to equity so that telemedicine-AT innovations become accessible to marginalized groups instead of contributing to digital disparities[45]. The universal design principles used in telemedicine platforms increase the access to persons with various functional impairments, and economic barriers are reduced by the subsidized connectivity programs and device loan programs. Constant tracking of disaggregated information on outcomes based on disability type, geographic setting, socioeconomic status, and other equity determinants help in detecting and addressing the existing access differences.

Conclusion

Telemedicine is a radical paradigm of assistive technology (AT) service provision, and is channelled with potential solutions to resolve the global access crisis of over a huge population segment that need assistive products. This article shows that AT provision, which is supported by telemedicine, including needs assessment, prescription, training, follow-up, and outcome monitoring, can be an effective way to overcome geographical, economical, and workforce obstacles that perpetuate inequity, especially in low- and middle-income countries in which the traditional models of services have been systematically ineffective. The combination of new technologies such as artificial intelligence, wearable devices, virtual and augmented reality, and electromyography-driven systems will offer unprecedented chances of personalized and data-driven AT provision which is not limited to facility-based care but instead community environments where people with functional impairments reside, work, and live. But to achieve this potential, there should be a conscious, concerted effort to overcome endemic challenges. The absence of digital infrastructure access, employee capacity, lack of trust, and inadequate policy structures that are not abreast with technological innovation restrict fair implementation. In India, in particular, a combination of strong digital health efforts, extensive mobile connectivity, and the policy intent to achieve universal coverage makes the country positioned well in the

context of scalable telemedicine-AT models, though urban-rural inequalities, uneven labour distribution, and changes in regulatory clarity require context-grounded solutions, which center on marginalized populations inclusion.

The sustainable application in accordance with the human rights principles in the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities should be based on the transition to user-centered approaches based on equity, instead of technological solutionism. This requires an investment in easily accessible digital infrastructures as the core health infrastructures, competency-based training that integrates the skills of tele-practice into rehabilitation education, and policy frameworks that enable innovation as well as quality and safety, and research that is rigorous to produce evidence under various contexts and populations. It is proposed that hybrid delivery models involving the judicious integration of both synchronous and asynchronous forms of telemedicine with opportunistic amounts of face-to-face contact provide practical solutions to different resource scenarios and user needs. With the ongoing advancements in the field of telemedicine technologies, the need is evident: innovation should be planned and introduced intentionality to minimize and prevent, instead of increase, the disparities in access to AT that already exist. Telemedicine and assistive technology coming together present an immense possibility to ensure improved rights and participation as well as quality of life of persons with functional impairment worldwide, a possibility that can solely be fulfilled by maintaining true dedication to equity, evidence and genuine involvement of the individuals that the services are meant to serve.

References:

1. Federici S, Scherer MJ. Assistive Technology Assessment Handbook. Published January 1, 2018. (accessed on 22 December, 2025) Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/321683103_Assistive_Technology_Assessment_Handbook

2. Rajkumar E, Gopi A, Joshi A, Thomas AE, Arunima NM, Ramya GS, et al. Applications, benefits and challenges of telehealth in India during COVID-19 pandemic and beyond: a systematic review. BMC Health Services Research [Internet]. 2023 Jan 4;23 (1). (accessed on 16 December, 2025) Available from: https://bmchealthservres.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12913-022-08970-8

3. Parthasarathi A, George T, Kalimuth MB, et al. Exploring the potential of telemedicine for improved primary healthcare in India: a comprehensive review. *The Lancet regional health Southeast Asia*. 2024; 27:100431-100431. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lansea.2024.100431

4. Priority Assistive Products list [Internet]. www.who.int. (accessed on 27 December, 2025) Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/priority-assistive-products-list

5. World Health Organization. Global Report on Assistive Technology [Internet]. www.who.int. 2022. (accessed on 31 December, 2025) Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240049451

6. Borg J, Lindström A, Larsson S. Assistive technology in developing countries: national and international responsibilities to implement the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities. The Lancet. 2009 Nov;374(9704):1863–5.

7. Tebbutt E, Brodmann R, Borg J, MacLachlan M, Khasnabis C, Horvath R. Assistive products and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). Globalization and Health. 2016 Nov 29;12(1).

8. Holloway C, Morgado Ramirez DZ, Bhatnagar T, Oldfrey B, Morjaria P, Moulic SG, et al. A review of innovation strategies and processes to improve access to AT: Looking ahead to open innovation ecosystems. Assistive Technology [Internet]. 2021 Dec 1;33(sup1):68–86. (accessed on 15 December, 2025) Available from: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/10400435.2021.1970653

9. Boot FH, Dinsmore J, Khasnabis C, MacLachlan M. Intellectual Disability and Assistive Technology: Opening the GATE Wider. Frontiers in Public Health. 2017 Feb 22;5.

10. Rust KL, Smith RO. Assistive Technology in the Measurement of Rehabilitation and Health Outcomes: A Review and Analysis of Instruments. American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation [Internet]. 2005 Oct 1;84(10):780. (accessed on 20 December, 2025) Available from: https://journals.lww.com/ajpmr/fulltext/2005/10000/Assistive_Technology_in_the_Measurement_of.8.aspx?casa_token=RRKirROjECUAAAAA:pLdN0UbHKpsUufQc1djqysdox60SZ4AZuF_geEH6hqrHKBfLjRIyO1zUcMvY-i04jbEpuBC2XplzIqTdwwDQTEDI

11. Ghareeb Bahari, Ibrahim Mutambik, Abdullah Almuqrin, Alharbi Z. Trust: How It Affects the Use of Telemedicine in Improving Access to Assistive Technology to Enhance Healthcare Services. Risk Management and Healthcare Policy. 2024 Jul 1;Volume 17:1859–73.

12. Salman M, Kimball R, Bromley S, Belleville T, Ali, Mirza M, et al. Telemedicine: Future of the healthcare system and its impact on patient satisfaction: A literature review. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care. 2024 Nov 1;13(11):4810–4.

13. Removing financial barriers to access to assistive technology | ATscale [Internet]. Atscalepartnership.org. 2020, (accessed on 11 December, 2025) Available from: https://atscalepartnership.org/global-enablers/removing-financial-barriers-access

14. Valencia-Arias A, Gallegos A, Del V, Luna F, Uribe-Bedoya H, Palacios-Moya L. Understanding telemedicine adoption: evidence, gaps, and future perspectives for sustainable healthcare. Cogent Social Sciences. 2024 Feb 14;10(1).

15. Ahmadi Z, Lewis PR, Sukhai MA. Reporting Risks in AI-based Assistive Technology Research: A

Systematic Review [Internet]. arXiv.org. 2024. (accessed on 12 December, 2025) Available from: https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.12035

16. Scherer MJ, Craddock G. Matching Person & Technology (MPT) assessment process. Gelderblom GJ, de Witte LP, editors. Technology and Disability. 2002 Sep 29;14(3):125–31.

17. Khasnabis C, Mirza Z, MacLachlan M. Opening the GATE to inclusion for people with disabilities. The Lancet. 2015 Dec;386(10010):2229–30.

18. Auger C, Demers L, Gélinas I, Jutai J, Fuhrer MJ, DeRuyter F. Powered Mobility for Middle-Aged and Older Adults. American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation. 2008 Aug;87(8):666–80.

19. Anawade PA, Sharma D, Gahane S. A comprehensive review on exploring the impact of telemedicine on healthcare accessibility. Cureus [Internet]. 2024 Mar 12;16(3). (accessed on 26 December, 2025) Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11009553/

20. Gao S, Chen J, Xia Y, Li X, Ma W, Yang H, et al. Wearable technologies for assisted mobility in the real world. Nature Communications [Internet]. 2025 Dec 8 [cited 2026 Jan 27];16(1). (accessed on 28 December, 2025) Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-025-67126-4.

21. Khoshmanesh F, Thurgood P, Pirogova E, Nahavandi S, Baratchi S. Wearable sensors: At the frontier of personalised health monitoring, smart prosthetics and assistive technologies. Biosensors and Bioelectronics. 2021 Mar;176:112946.

22. Liu S, Zhang J, Zhang Y, Zhu R. A wearable motion capture device able to detect dynamic motion of human limbs. Nature Communications. 2020 Nov 5;11(1).

23. Rodgers MM, Alon G, Pai VM, Conroy RS. Wearable technologies for active living and rehabilitation: Current research challenges and future opportunities. Journal of Rehabilitation and Assistive Technologies Engineering. 2019 Jan;6: 205566831983960.

24. Demers L, Weiss-Lambrou R, Ska B. Development of the Quebec User Evaluation of Satisfaction with assistive Technology (QUEST). Assistive Technology. 1996 Jun 30;8(1):3–13

25. Jutai J, Day H. Psychosocial Impact of Assistive Devices Scale. PsycTESTS Dataset. 1996;

26. Haleem A, Javaid M, Singh R, Suman R. Telemedicine for healthcare: Capabilities, features, barriers, and Applications. Sensors International. 2021;2(2):100–17.

27. Tangcharoensathien V, Witthayapipopsakul W, Viriyathorn S, Patcharanarumol W. Improving access to assistive technologies: challenges and solutions in low- and middle-income countries. WHO South-East Asia journal of public health [Internet]. 2018;7 (2):84–9. (accessed on 30 December, 2025) Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30136666

28. Matter R, Harniss M, Oderud T, Borg J, Eide AH. Assistive technology in resource-limited environments: a scoping review. Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology. 2016 Jul 21;12(2):105–14.

29. Sherrill DM, Moy ML, Reilly JJ, Bonato P. Using hierarchical clustering methods to classify motor activities of COPD patients from wearable sensor data. Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation. 2005 Jun 29;2(1).

30. Jovanov E, Milenkovic A, Otto C, de Groen PC. A wireless body area network of intelligent motion sensors for computer assisted physical rehabilitation. Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation. 2005;2(1):6.

31. Giansanti D, Pirrera A. Integrating AI and Assistive Technologies in Healthcare: Insights from a Narrative Review of Reviews. Healthcare [Internet]. 2025 Mar 4;13(5):556. (accessed on 3 January, 2026) Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2227-9032/13/5/556

32. Tsvyakh AI, Hospodarskyy AJ. Telerehabilitation of Patients with Injuries of the Lower Extremities. Telemedicine and e-Health. 2017 Dec;23(12):1011–5.

33. Khalifa AA, Ibrahim MA. Artificial Intelligence, Rehabilitation, and Physical Disabilities. 2025 Jan 1;1–13.

34. Alqithami S, Alzahrani M, Alzahrani A, Mostafa A. Modeling an Augmented Reality Game Environment

to Enhance Behavior of ADHD Patients [Internet]. arXiv.org. 2019. (accessed on 16 January, 2026) Available from: https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.01003

35. Creed C, Maadh Al-Kalbani, Theil A, Sayan Sarcar, Williams I. Inclusive Augmented and Virtual Reality: A Research Agenda. International Journal of Human-computer Interaction. 2023 Aug 27;1–20.

36. Felsberg DT, Pousti R, Howard CK, Ross SE, Raisbeck LD, McGuirt JT, et al. The Landscape of Virtual Reality Use in Mobility Rehabilitation from 2010–2023: A Scoping Review. Technologies [Internet]. 2025 Apr 22 (accessed on 10 January, 2026) ;13 (5):167. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7080/13/5/167

37. Despoti A, Karatzanos E, Patsaki I, Tzoumi D, Roussou G, Leventakis N, et al. Immersive Virtual Reality in Cognitive Rehabilitation: A systematic Review. Health & Research Journal. 2022 Jul 7;8(3): 225–41.

38. Bonanno M, Ielo A, De Pasquale P, Celesti A, De Nunzio AM, Quartarone A, et al. Use of Wearable Sensors to Assess Fall Risk in Neurological Disorders: Systematic Review. JMIR mHealth and uHealth. 2025 Aug 18;13:e67265.

39. Ehrhardt A, Hostettler P, Widmer L, Reuter K, Petersen JA, Straumann D, et al. Fall-related functional impairments in patients with neurological gait disorder. Scientific Reports. 2020 Dec;10(1).

40. Gowran RJ, Bray N, Goldberg M, Rushton P, Barhouche Abou Saab M, Constantine D, et al. Understanding the Global Challenges to Accessing Appropriate Wheelchairs: Position Paper. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health [Internet]. 2021 Mar 24;18(7):3338. (accessed on 5 January, 2026) Available from: https://dx.doi.org/10.3390%2Fijerph18073338

41. Nagaraja VH, Dastidar BG, Suri S, Jani AR. Perspectives and use of telemedicine by doctors in India: A cross-sectional study. Health Policy and Technology [Internet]. 2024 Jan 26;13(2):100845. (accessed on 11 January, 2026) Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S221188372400008X

42. Whiteneck GG, Harrison-Felix CL, Mellick DC, Brooks CA, Charlifue SB, Gerhart KA. Quantifying environmental factors: A measure of physical, attitudinal, service, productivity, and policy barriers11No commercial party having a direct financial interest in the results of the research supporting this article has or will confer a benefit upon the authors(s) or upon any organization with which the author(s) is/are associated. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. 2004 Aug;85 (8):1324–35.

43. Rasa AR. Artificial Intelligence and Its Revolutionary Role in Physical and Mental Rehabilitation: A Review of Recent Advancements. BioMed Research International [Internet]. 2024 Jan 1;2024(1). (accessed on 6 January, 2026) Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC11668540/

44. Sumner J, Lim HW, Chong LS, Bundele A, Mukhopadhyay A, Kayambu G. Artificial intelligence in physical rehabilitation: A systematic review. Artificial Intelligence in Medicine [Internet]. 2023 Dec 1;146:102693. (accessed on 11 January, 2026) Available from: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0933365723002075

45. Rad J. Health inequities: A persistent global challenge from past to future. International Journal for Equity in Health. 2025 May 23;24(1).

46. de Witte L, Steel E, Gupta S, Ramos VD, Roentgen U. Assistive technology provision: towards an international framework for assuring availability and accessibility of affordable high-quality assistive technology. Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology. 2018 May 9;13(5):467–72.

47. Parette P, Scherer M. Assistive Technology Use and Stigma. Education and training in developmental disabilities. 2004 Sep 1;39(3):217–26.

48. Phillips B, Zhao H. Predictors of Assistive Technology Abandonment. Assistive Technology. 1993 Jun 30;5(1):36–45.

49. MacLachlan M, Banes D, Bell D, Borg J, Donnelly B, Fembek M, et al. Assistive technology policy: a position paper from the first global research,

innovation, and education on assistive technology (GREAT) summit. Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology. 2018 May 23;13(5):454–66.

50. Campanini I, Disselhorst-Klug C, Rymer WZ, Merletti R. Surface EMG in Clinical Assessment and Neurorehabilitation: Barriers Limiting Its Use. Frontiers in Neurology. 2020 Sep 2;11(934).

51. Sung M, Marci C, Pentland A. Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation [Internet]. 2005;2(1):17. (accessed on 13 January, 2026) Available from: https://jneuroengrehab.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1743-0003-2-17

52. Mirelman A, Maidan I, Herman T, Deutsch JE, Giladi N, Hausdorff JM. Virtual Reality for Gait Training: Can It Induce Motor Learning to Enhance Complex Walking and Reduce Fall Risk in Patients With Parkinson's Disease? The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences. 2010 Nov 24;66A(2):234–40.

53. Singh J, Urvashi, Singh G, Maheshwari S. Augmented Reality Technology: Current Applications, Challenges and its Future [Internet]. IEEE Xplore. 2022 [cited 2023 May 17]. p. 1722–6. (accessed on 15 January, 2026) Available from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9985665

54. Borg J, Östergren PO. Users' perspectives on the provision of assistive technologies in Bangladesh: awareness, providers, costs and barriers. Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology. 2014 Oct 27;10(4):301–8.

55. Scherer M. Living in the State of Stuck: How Assistive Technology Impacts the Lives of People with Disabilities [Internet]. 2005. (accessed on 10 January, 2026) Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/319968008_Living_in_the_State_of_Stuck_How_Assistive_Technology_Impacts_the_Lives_of_People_with_Disabilities

56. Abid M, Bhimra MA, Mubeen M, Zahid AB, Shahid S. Peppy. Proceedings of the 18th ACM International Conference on Interaction Design and Children. 2019 Jun 12;

57. Kamavuako EN. On the Applications of EMG Sensors and Signals. Sensors. 2022 Oct 19;22(20):7966.

58. Fang C, He B, Wang Y, Cao J, Gao S. EMG-Centered Multisensory Based Technologies for Pattern Recognition in Rehabilitation: State of the Art and Challenges. Biosensors [Internet]. 2020 Jul 26;10(8):85. (accessed on 9 January, 2026) Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7460307/

59. Haab T, Leinen P, Burkey P. Role and effectiveness of surface EMG feedback in sports and orthopedic rehabilitation: a systematic review. Exploration of Musculoskeletal Diseases. 2024 Sep 12;2(5):391–407.

60. Ju B, Mark JI, Youn S, Ugale P, Sennik B, Adcock B, et al. Feasibility assessment of textile electromyography sensors for a wearable telehealth biofeedback system. Wearable Technologies. 2025;6.

61. Gandolla M, Ferrante S, Ferrigno G, Baldassini D, Molteni F, Guanziroli E, et al. Artificial neural network EMG classifier for functional hand grasp movements prediction. Journal of International Medical Research. 2016 Sep 27;45(6):1831–47.

62. Jong Man Kim, Koo B, Nam Y, Kim Y. sEMG-Based Hand Posture Recognition Considering Electrode Shift, Feature Vectors, and Posture Groups. Sensors. 2021 Nov 18;21(22):7681–1.

63. Deeksha Adiani, Breen MS, Miroslava Migovich, Wade J, Hunt S, Mahrukh Tauseef, et al. Multimodal job interview simulator for training of autistic individuals. Assistive Technology. 2023 Apr 24;36(1):22–39.

