



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The Sleeping Pill Paradox: Better Sleep After Benzodiazepine Discontinuation

Marie-Paule Gustin^{1,2}, Flore Collong³, Mélinée Chapoutot^{4,5}, Hélène Bastuji^{5,6,7}, Wendy Leslie⁸, Mélanie Havy³, Royce Anders⁹, Benjamin Putois^{3,4*},

¹Public Health, Epidemiology and Evolutionary Ecology of Infectious Diseases (PHE3ID), International Center for Infectiology Research (CIRI), INSERM U1111, CNRS UMR5308, ENS Lyon, Lyon, France.

²Institute of Pharmaceutic and Biological Sciences, Public Health department, Biostatistics, University Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Villeurbanne, France.

³Faculty of Psychology, UniDistance Suisse, 3900 Brig, Switzerland.

⁴Sleep Center, CHVR, Av. du Grand-Champsec 80, 1951 Sion, Switzerland.

⁵Lyon Neuroscience Research Center, CNRS UMR 5292 - INSERM U1028 - Lyon 1 University, 95 Bd Pinel, 69500 Bron, France.

⁶Sleep Medicine and Respiratory Disease Center, Croix-Rousse Hospital, Lyon University Hospital, 103 Gd Rue de la Croix-Rousse, 69000 Lyon, France.

⁷Lyon 1 University, 43 Bd du 11 Novembre 1918, 69000 Lyon, France.

⁸Xceltranslate, 06000, Nice, France.

⁹Univ Paul Valéry Montpellier 3, Univ. Montpellier, EPSYLON EA 4556, F34000, Rte de Mende, Montpellier, France.

ABSTRACT

Purpose of the study: The objective of this study is to evaluate subjective changes in sleep, insomnia, and dream production in chronic benzodiazepine (BZ) users enrolled in a withdrawal program. BZs, widely prescribed to treat insomnia, are likely to alter sleep structure. This research aims to determine the extent to which discontinuing these treatments promotes the restoration of sleep and dream processes.

Method: The study is based on data from a drug withdrawal program combined with Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Insomnia (CBT-I), including 107 participants presenting prolonged use of BZs. Assessments were conducted at three time points: before the program (T0), after 3 months on the program (T1), and after 12 months (T2). The main variables included the severity of insomnia (ISI), sleepiness (Epworth), fatigue (Pichot), sleep quality and efficiency (SQ and SE), sleep parameters (diary), and the frequency of dreams and nightmares (non-standardized questions; NFQ). The analyses were conducted using repeated measures ANOVAs and post hoc tests, with withdrawal status and sleep restriction practice as between-subject factors.

Results: The majority of participants managed to stop using BZs completely or reduce their consumption by more than 50%. Overall, all sleep and dream parameters showed improvement with BZs reduction or discontinuation, except for daytime sleepiness. The number of individuals experiencing chronic nightmares also decreased. Individuals who completely stopped using BZs showed a greater reduction in the severity of their insomnia. These improvements associated with BZs reduction were independent of continued use of CBT-I methods.

Conclusion: These results indicate that the observed benefits to sleep quality and wellness, including dream activity, found both in the medium and long term, were mainly attributed to BZs discontinuation, rather than to the application of behavioral techniques (which may hence serve rather to achieve said discontinuation). Discontinuing BZs, preferably through gradual tapering, therefore appears beneficial for improving insomnia and regulating dream activity.

Keywords: Benzodiazepine, Dependence, Insomnia, Cognitive Behavioral Therapy, Tapering.

OPEN ACCESS

PUBLISHED

31 March 2026

CITATION

Gustin, M.P., et al., 2026. The Sleeping Pill Paradox: Better Sleep After Benzodiazepine Discontinuation. Medical Research Archives, [online] 14(3).

COPYRIGHT

© 2026 European Society of Medicine. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

ISSN

2375-1924

Introduction

Insomnia is defined as “difficulty falling asleep, staying asleep, or non-restorative sleep”¹. There are two main therapeutic approaches to treating insomnia: psychotherapy using cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia (CBT-I), which is recommended as a first-line treatment²⁻⁴, and pharmacological treatment. The latter, based primarily on the use of benzodiazepines and related substances (BZs) due to their hypnotic action, is recommended when CBT-I does not bring about sufficient improvement²⁻⁵, but nonetheless tends to be the most frequently adopted solution⁶. However, studies indicate that BZs produce positive effects, with lowest risk of long-term side effects or dependence, only when their administration is limited to a very short duration³. For this reason, health authorities specifically recommend a maximum of 4 weeks of BZs use for hypnotic purposes, and limited dosages^{7,8}.

Approximately 3% of the population misuse BZs protocols, either by consuming them for longer, or in greater quantities, than recommended⁹. This prevalence is higher among older people¹⁰. BZs use in general is associated with a number of adverse side effects, both cognitive (increased drowsiness, decreased alertness, memory and learning difficulties) and relating to motor coordination (increasing the risk of driving accidents), that may aggravate with prolonged use^{11,12}.

Risk of addiction is also a major concern. Tolerance develops quickly and a rebound effect may occur if the medication is stopped abruptly (typically manifesting by a resurgence of insomnia or anxiety symptoms), complicating the process of discontinuing use of these drugs¹³. The intensity of this rebound may be more pronounced when there has been prolonged consumption at high doses². Thus, faced with the sudden recurrence of withdrawal symptoms, patients can quickly become convinced of the need to continue treatment, thereby reinforcing the vicious cycle of dependence¹³.

A central issue leading to the misunderstanding of the link between BZs and sleep quality, or studies

that examine the effectiveness of BZs, concerns the major contradiction between subjective and objective data. On the one hand, subjective assessments, based on self-report questionnaires, show that the administration of BZs is perceived to be beneficial by consumers: reduced sleep latency, increased total sleep time, and fewer nighttime awakenings^{14,15}. These subjective measures give patients the impression that their sleep satisfaction has improved significantly. On the other hand, in terms of objective measures or effects, a systematic review concluded that the use of BZs increases the duration of light slow-wave sleep (stage 2) and decreases the duration of deep sleep (stage 3) and REM sleep¹⁶. While increased stage 2 sleep is associated with fewer nighttime awakenings and a subjective perception of sleep quality, reduction in deep sleep, considered to be the “brain’s rest period,” and REM sleep, which are essential for cognitive, emotional, and physical recovery, raises questions on the actual restorative effectiveness of BZs on sleep. These objective data show that, despite perceived improvement, patients’ sleep architecture is altered¹⁶. Though, most of the studies presented in this review have significant methodological limitations: lack of control groups, of study of the effects of BZs on non-insomniacs, or of information on the duration of pre-test BZs consumption.

To address these limitations, two studies compared chronic users of BZs with healthy participants. The study by Manconi et al. (2017) demonstrates an increase in stage 1 sleep, a reduction in delta wave strength during REM sleep, and an extended latency to reach this phase in abusive and chronic users (n = 20) compared to healthy participants (n = 13). Similarly, Barbaux et al., (2025) adopted a comparative approach, studying individuals without sleep disorders (n = 28), insomniacs who do not consume BZs (n = 26) and insomniacs who do consume BZs (n = 47). The results show that among BZs users, the duration of stages 1 and 2 sleep is significantly increased, while that of stage 3 is reduced. These patients moreover experience a longer latency to reach stages 3 and REM, indicating delayed sleep onset in the restorative phases. Intragroup analyses also reveal a positive

correlation between the dose consumed and the increased in latency to stages 2 and 3¹⁸. These two studies, with their robust methodology, confirm that prolonged use of BZs induces objective changes in sleep that appear to be inconsistent with the subjective improvement reported by users.

If chronic consumption of BZs objectively impairs deep slow-wave sleep and REM sleep, then discontinuing their use should improve sleep parameters. Poyares et al. (2004) observed a resurgence of deep slow wave and delta wave sleep 15 days after discontinuing BZs in 19 long-term users, but no change in REM sleep. They also observed an improvement in sleep quality as reported by the visual analog sleep quality scale. This study is the first to measure changes in sleep following BZs discontinuation, however it does not evaluate changes in insomnia severity or other subjective sleep parameters (such as daytime fatigue), which reflects BZs consumers' perception of their objective sleep parameters, often paradoxical when taking medication. Moreover, the persistence of these improvements over the medium and long term was not assessed. Despite the methodological robustness of this study, the small sample size hinders any generalization of its conclusions.

The objective of this study was to test three hypotheses: 1) subjective sleep quality is maintained in the medium and long term after withdrawal from long-term benzodiazepine use, 2) dream recall enhanced after withdrawal, reflecting a restoration of dream content in REM sleep, and 3) the frequency of nightmares varies according to BZs use status, with an expected decrease among participants who have discontinued use. We analyzed changes in subjective sleep and dream characteristics in a sample of more than 100 patients after withdrawal, taking into account i) their withdrawal status (complete discontinuation or continued use of BZs) and ii) potential confounding factors such as CBT-I use.

Method

POPULATION

We used data from the reference randomized controlled trial that assessed BZs discontinuation

as a function of two CBT approaches combined with a BZs tapering schedule²⁰.

The inclusion criteria for this study were the following: (1) aged between 18 and 70 years old; (2) chronic (at least 4 nights/week) and long-term (over the previous 12 months) BZs users; (3) having an insomnia disorder as defined by the DSM-5; (4) having a sedative, hypnotic, or anxiolytic use disorder as defined by the DSM-5 (Chapoutot et al., 2025).

Participants were interviewed before treatment (T0), i.e., before the withdrawal (discontinuation) program began, then 3 months (T1, medium term) and 12 months (T2, long term) after the end of the withdrawal program. Participants had to complete a two-weeks sleep diary prior to the interviews and were asked to complete a series of questionnaires.

The "BZs consumption" variable, termed "withdrawal status", was assessed at 3 time points (T0, prior to treatment, before the withdrawal program; T1: at 3 months and T2: at 12 months post-withdrawal). It comprises 3 conditions: group 1, subjects who have completely stopped consuming BZs (withdrawn); group 2, those who have reduced their consumption by at least 50%; and group 3, those who have failed withdrawal and continue to consume. Data on the binary variable "sleep restriction" were collected at T1 and T2, differentiating between participants who maintained use of CBT-I methods, particularly sleep restriction coupled with stimulus control, and those who discontinued CBT-I method use.

MEASURES – RESPONSE VARIABLES

The response variables measured on three occasions (T0, T1, and T2) include indicators from the sleep diary and all subjective sleep and wakefulness parameters measured using validated psychometric scales.

Indicators resulting from the sleep diary include total sleep time (TST), time in bed (TIB), sleep onset latency (SOL), number of nocturnal awakenings excluding the final awakening (NWAK), total wake time after sleep onset (WASO), time spent in bed after final awakening (TWAK), sleep efficiency (SE) and sleep quality (SQ). These measures enable the assessment

of perceived changes in sleep quality and continuity over time.

Insomnia severity was assessed using the Insomnia Severity Index (Smith, 2003), the scores of which range from 0 to 28, with scores between 15 and 21 indicating moderate clinical insomnia and scores above 22 indicating severe insomnia. Daytime sleepiness was measured using the Epworth Sleepiness Scale²¹, which generates a score between 0 and 24, with values between 13 and 15 reflecting moderate sleepiness and values between 16 and 24 reflecting severe sleepiness. Subjective fatigue was measured using the Pichot Fatigue Scale (Pichot & Brun, 1984), with a total score ranging from 0 to 32; a score above 22 indicates excessive fatigue.

Two other complementary dimensions related to dreams and nightmares were explored at T1 and T2 only. The frequency and accuracy of dream recall were assessed using non-standardized questions about subjective dream recall and scored using a 7-point Likert scale. And the frequency of nightmares was measured using the Nightmare Frequency Questionnaire²², to estimate the frequency and recurrence of nightmares over the previous three months. These scales are described in the appendix (Appendix A).

Additionally, the subject's opinion of their condition was assessed at T2 using a binary score on several items (Did you experience better sleep? Feel more rested the next day? Sleepiness signals in the evening?), as well as ordinal on others: for example a question asked at T1 and T2 "Do you have the impression that you remember your dreams more (or not) since you stopped taking BZs?", scored on a 7-point Likert scale.

Patients' dependence on BZs was assessed on the 3 time points using the Benzodiazepine Dependence Questionnaire (BDEP), and the deterioration of their mental health was evaluated using the Symptom Checklist-90 (SCL-90). These questionnaires are provided in the supplementary data.

STATISTICAL ANALYSES

Descriptive statistics

Collected data were reported in the manuscript as means \pm standard deviation (SD) for continuous, count or ordinal variables and as frequency with percentage n(%) for qualitative or binary variables. No imputation for missing values was performed. The binary variable "prone to nightmares" was derived from the number of nightmares per year according to a threshold of ≥ 36 (more than 5 weeks/year).

Similarity of response variables at T0 according to withdrawal status

We verified this similarity between the three withdrawal status groups for the response variables mentioned above, but also for the variables collected at T0 which could potentially have influenced the resumption of BZs consumption after withdrawal (see details in Table S1).

We estimated the group effect on each response variable using linear models. For quantitative variables, a general linear model was used for variables that exhibited a symmetrical ($|\text{skewness}| < 2$) or approximately normal (Shapiro–Wilk test) distribution, either before or after parametric rank normalization. Where variance was heterogeneous between groups, we used a model enabling estimation of intragroup variance (gls function, R nlme package). Binary variables were modeled using a logistic linear model, and ordinal or numerical variables with fewer than 10 levels were modeled using an ordinal or nominal linear model, respectively.

Influence of withdrawal status (at T1 and T2) on the change in response variables since T0

For each response variable collected on the 3 time points, we calculated their difference (T1-T0, T2-T0). We then modeled this difference according to withdrawal status as previously. For the 3 intragroup paired (T1 vs T0; T2 vs T0) comparisons, we used the univariate student t-test comparing the mean difference to 0, Wilcoxon signed rank test with continuity correction, and the exact binomial test for binary data, as appropriate. We reported the

adjusted p-value for multiple comparisons using the Holm correction.

Additive and multiplicative linear models were performed for each response variable with withdrawal status and sleep restriction practice (yes/no) as predictors, to determine whether practicing sleep restriction influences the effect of withdrawal status on the response variables.

The significance level was 0.05. Statistical analysis was performed using R language version 4.4.0, freely available at <https://cran.r-project.org>.

Results

DESCRIPTION OF THE POPULATION SAMPLE AND GROUP EQUIVALENCE PRIOR TO BZs WITHDRAWAL TREATMENT

107 patients were included from the reference study that consisted of a mean age of 54.6 years (SD = 10.1)

ranging between 25 and 70 years, 73.8% female, 49.5% married. The averaged duration of their hypnotic BZs use was 15.0 years (SD = 11.9) with 6.6 (SD = 0.9) weekly hypnotic BZs uses and a daily hypnotic dosage of 5.7 mg (SD = 3.9). Half of the patients used a BZs with a short half-life. According to the BZs dependence questionnaire (BDEPQ), they were highly dependent with a mean score of 42.4 (SD = 13.9), and exhibited some deterioration of mental health with a score of 58.8 (SD = 36.4) on the SCL-90 (Table 1). Almost all variables of interest or potential confounders were verified similar at baseline between the three groups in terms of medium- or long-term withdrawal status (Table 1S).

Table 1. Patient characteristics at baseline and their distribution according to patient consumption of BZs at the 3- (T1) and 12-month (T2) follow-up points.

Characteristics at T0	Total n = 107	Withdrawal status at T1			P-value	Withdrawal status at T2			P-value
		Group 1 60 (56.1)	Group 2 40 (37.4)	Group 3 7 (6.5)		Group 1 61 (57.0)	Group 2 33 (30.8)	Group 3 13 (12.1)	
Age (years)	54.6±10.1	54.2±10.1	54.6±10.4	57.9±7.6	0.67	54.5±9.5	55.2±11.2	53.5±10.3	0.86
Sexe		s3			0.95				0.89
Male	28(26.2)	15(25.0)	11(27.5)	2(28.6)		15(24.6)	9(27.3)	4(30.8)	
Female	79(73.8)	45(75.0)	29(72.5)	5(71.4)		46(75.4)	24(72.7)	9(69.2)	
Marital status		s5			0.35				0.45
Single	35(32.7)	18(30.0)	15(37.5)	2(28.6)		19(31.1)	13(39.4)	3(23.1)	
Married	53(49.5)	27(45.0)	22(55)	4(57.1)		28(45.9)	16(48.5)	9(69.2)	
Pacs	7(6.5)	6(10.0)	1(2.5)	0(0)		6(9.8)	1(3)	0(0)	
Common-law Relationship	12.0(11.2)	9(15.0)	2(5.0)	1(14.3)		8(13.1)	3(9.1)	1(7.7)	
Duration of hypnotic use (years)	15.0±10.9	14.2±10.8	16.3±11.4	14.3±10.2	0.64	14.2±11	15.1±11.1	18.5±10.2	0.43
Weekly frequency of hypnotic use	6.6±0.9	s7	6.6±0.9	6.6±0.9	0.92	6.7±0.9	6.6±0.8	6.4±1.3	0.64
Half-life of benzodiazepine		s3			0.18				0.65
Short (n)	53(49.5)	25(41.7)	24(60)	4(57.1)		29(47.5)	16(48.5)	8(61.5)	
Moderate / long (n)	54(50.5)	35(58.3)	16(40)	3(42.9)		32(52.5)	17(51.5)	5(38.5)	
Hypnotic dosage (daily diazepam equivalent in mg)	5.7±3.9	6.1±4.4	5.2±3	5.3±3.5	0.88	* 5.9±4.1	5.6±4	4.8±2.4	0.63
BQDEP	42.4±13.9	39.7±13.1	45±14	51.1±15.9	0.04	39.9±13.7	42.4±14.2	54.2±7.9	0.003
SCL-90 (total)	58.8±36.4	58.8±39.1	60.6±34.7	48.3±18.9	0.72	58.5±39.1	60.4±34	55.7±30.4	0.92

Mean ± SD and n(%) for quantitative and qualitative data, respectively

T1: at 3 months follow-up, T2: at 12 months follow-up

Group 1 : Drug-free , Group 2: Reduction more than 50% of BZs , Group 3: Failed reduction

P-values were obtained using general linear model by default or logistic (s3) or nominal logistic (s5) linear model or Kruskal-Wallis test (s7)

* Data normalization before general linear modeling

Over half of the participants (56.1% and 57.0%) remained completely abstinent in the medium (3-month, T1) and long (12-month, T2) term. Respectively, only 7 and 13 patients (6.5% and 12.1%) were unsuccessful at these time points, with a relapse of more than 50% of initial BZs consumption in the medium and long term. No difference in baseline variables according to BZs consumption over time was observed, except for patients' dependence on BZs (T1: $p = .04$, T2: $p = .003$). The least BZ-dependent patients at baseline were more successful in maintaining abstinence. In the medium and long term, 45.0% and 36.3% of participants respectively still practiced sleep restriction.

CHANGES IN SLEEP PARAMETERS AFTER BENZODIAZEPINE WITHDRAWAL

All sleep parameters improved or remain stable after withdrawal regardless of the individual's medium- or long-term withdrawal status and without interaction with the practice of sleep restriction on this status (Tables 2 and S2).

As demonstrated in the reference study, sleep quality improved after medium ($t(101) = 3.13$, $p = .002$) and long ($t(101) = 4.98$, $p < .001$) term withdrawal for all patients as did sleep efficiency ($t(101) = 3.03$, $p = .003$ and $t(101) = 2.58$, $p = .011$) (Table 2). The severity of insomnia also decreased at both follow-ups (T1: $t(106) = -12.27$, $p < .001$; T2: $t(106) = -12.20$, $p < .001$) with a significant effect of withdrawal status on the difference in long-term ISI scores (T2: $F(2, 104) = 3.218$, $p = .044$). The most significant decrease occurred in the group of patients who had discontinued BZs use (-8.15 ± 6.2 , $t(60) = -10.27$, $p < .001$). Daytime sleepiness decreased in the long term in all patients (T2: $t(106) = -2.5$, $p = .014$) with no effect of withdrawal status on the Epworth score. Fatigue decreased at both follow-ups (T1: $t(106) = -6.71$, $p < .001$; T2: $t(106) = -6.78$, $p < .001$) with a significant effect of withdrawal status on the Pichot score only at T2 ($F(2, 104) = 3.882$, $p = .024$), the decrease being greatest in the group of patients having discontinued BZs use (-5.89 ± 7).

Dream recall was more frequent at both time points (T1: Wilcoxon signed rank test $V = 1831.5$, $p < .001$; T2: $t(103) = 6.09$, $p < .001$) as was accuracy of dream recall (T1: $t(97) = 6.6$, $p < .001$; T2: $t(103) = 6.51$, $p < .001$) for all patients. Withdrawal status had no significant effect on these outcomes ($P2 < 0.16$ and $P4 < 0.52$, Table 3). Participants suffered fewer nightmares in the long term ($\chi^2(1) = 6.75$, $p = .009$).

No significant interaction between withdrawal status and sleep restriction practices was found for these variables ($P3$ and $P6 > 0.05$, Table 2).

Table 2. Changes in sleep parameters after medium- and long-term withdrawal

	Pre-treatment	3-month FU	T1-T0	n1	P1	P2	P3	Pre-treatment	12-month FU	T2-T0	n2	P4	P5	P6			
Sleep																	
Diary - Sleep Efficiency (SE)	81.6±9.5	83.7±11	2.04±6.81	102	0.003	0.33	0.24	81.8±9.6	83.8±10.5	2.02±7.91	102	0.011	0.72	0.16			
Drug-free	81.6±10.4	83.5±13.1	1.83±6.79	58	0.09			81.1±10.2	83.6±11.9	2.44±8.06	59	0.024					
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	81.6±8.3	84.5±7.9	2.96±6.16	37	0.018			81.6±8.9	82.9±7.8	1.29±6.95	31	0.31					
Failed reduction	82±8.5	80.8±6.4	-1.12±9.88	7	0.77			85.4±7.6	87.3±8.9	1.88±9.84	12	0.52					
Diary - Sleep Quality (SQ)	0.6±0.2	0.6±0.2	0.04±0.13	102	0.002	0.36	0.32	0.6±0.2	0.6±0.2	0.07±0.14	102	0.000	0.42	0.18			
Drug-free	0.6±0.2	0.6±0.2	0.06±0.13	58	0.004			0.6±0.2	0.6±0.2	0.08±0.15	59	0.000					
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	0.6±0.2	0.6±0.2	0.03±0.15	37	0.53			0.6±0.2	0.6±0.1	0.05±0.13	31	0.06					
Failed reduction	0.6±0.1	0.6±0.1	-0.01±0.14	7	0.91			0.6±0.1	0.7±0.1	0.04±0.11	12	0.27					
Insomnia - ISI	18±5	11±6.2	-6.97±5.88	107	0.000	0.07	0.67	18±5.0	11.1±6.0	-6.93±5.87	107	0.000	0.044	0.78			
Drug-free	17.5±5.1	9.5±6.5	-8±6.13	60	0.000			18±5.1	9.8±6.2	-8.15±6.2	61	0.000					
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	18.8±4.4	12.7±4.9	-6.05±5.46	40	0.000			18±4.8	12.6±5.8	-5.39±5.12	33	0.000					
Failed reduction	18.1±6.7	14.7±6.9	-3.43±4.08	7	0.07			18.3±4.9	13.2±3.8	-5.08±4.99	13	0.003					
Sleepiness - Epworth	5.5±4.2	5±3.8	-0.5±3.04	107	0.10	0.50	0.53	5.5±4.2	4.7±3.7	-0.84±3.48	107	0.014	0.94	0.43			
Drug-free	6.2±4.4	5.4±4	-0.78±3.21	60	0.19			5.8±4.4	4.9±3.9	-0.93±3.88	61	0.19					
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	4.8±3.9	4.7±3.7	-0.05±2.85	40	1.00			5.7±4.3	5±3.6	-0.67±3.11	33	0.43					
Failed reduction	4±3.9	3.4±2.1	-0.57±2.44	7	1.00			3.9±2.8	3.1±2.1	-0.85±2.34	13	0.43					
Fatigue - Pichot	10.6±7.8	6.3±6.7	-4.28±6.6	107	0.000	0.20	0.95	10.6±7.8	6.2±5.9	-4.42±6.74	107	0.000	0.024	0.92			
Drug-free	11±8.2	5.7±7.2	-5.3±6.76	60	0.000			12±8.2	6.1±6.6	-5.89±7	61	0.000					
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	10.2±7.5	7.2±6.2	-3±6.16	40	0.008			8.8±7.3	6.9±5.3	-1.97±6.15	33	0.07					
Failed reduction	9.9±6.1	7±4.2	-2.86±7.08	7	0.33			8.7±5.9	4.9±3.1	-3.77±5.26	13	0.048					
Dreams and Nightmares																	
Dream recall frequency	2.3±2.1	3.8±1.9	1.51±2.32	98	0.000	s11	0.18	0.36	s5	2.3±2.1	3.8±2	1.49±2.5	104	0.000	0.52	0.13	
Drug-free	1.9±1.9	3.9±1.9	1.95±2.24	55	0.000	s11				2.2±2.1	3.9±2.1	1.72±2.68	60	0.000			
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	2.8±2.2	3.8±1.9	1.03±2.47	36	0.038	s11				2.4±1.9	3.5±1.8	1.09±2.32	32	0.024			
Failed reduction	2.9±2.7	3.4±2.7	0.57±1.51	7	0.13	s13				2.8±2.4	4.2±2.1	1.42±2.02	12	0.033			
Dream recall accuracy	2.2±2	3.6±1.7	1.41±2.09	98	0.000	0.16	0.25	2±1.9	3.3±1.7	1.38±2.17	104	0.000	0.25	0.32	s2		
Drug-free	2±2	3.8±1.6	1.76±2.13	55	0.000			1.9±2	3.5±1.7	1.67±2.27	60	0.000					
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	2.5±1.9	3.5±1.7	0.97±2.01	36	0.013			2.2±1.8	3.2±1.6	1.03±2.15	32	0.021					
Failed reduction	2.1±2.3	3±2.2	0.86±1.86	7	0.27			1.8±1.6	2.8±1.9	0.92±1.51	12	0.06					
Number of nightmares per month	17.1±51.3	13.9±43.2	-3.2±34.8	98	0.85	*	0.60	0.99	22.1±58.2	9.4±25.7	-12.7±51.5	104	0.92	*	0.11	0.14	
Drug-free	17.6±53.5	12.7±29.9	-4.9±39.9	55	1.00				24.2±64.6	9.7±30.2	-14.5±52.8	60	0.63				
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	18.4±53.3	17.5±61.3	-0.9±29.4	36	1.00				20.8±56.1	5.9±10.7	-14.9±56.4	32	0.97				
Failed reduction	7.1±9.2	4.4±5.8	-2.7±9.6	7	1.00				14.8±20.9	17.3±29.5	2.6±25.1	12	0.40				
Prone to nightmare	10(42.9)	8(5.1)		98	0.72	s12	0.28	1.00	s5	14(31.7)	4(4.8)		104	0.009	s12	0.86	0.11
Drug-free	5(9.1)	6(10.9)		55	1.00	s12				7(11.7)	2(3.3)		60	0.39	s12		
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	5(13.9)	2(5.6)		36	0.50	s12				5(15.6)	1(3.1)		32	0.39	s12		
Failed reduction	0(0)	0(0)		7		s12				2(16.7)	1(8.3)		12	1.00	s12		

Mean ± SD and n(%) for quantitative and qualitative data

T1: at 3 months follow-up, T2: at 12 months follow-up

Group 1 : Drug-free , Group 2: Reduction more than 50% of BZs , Group 3: Failed reduction

P-values P1, P3 were obtained using univariate Student test per default, Wilcoxon signed rank test (s11), Mac Nemar test (s12) or binomial exact test (s13)

P-values P2, P3, P5, P6 were obtained using general linear model by default or general linear model taking into account heteroscedasticity (s2) or logistic (s3), ordinal

* Data normalization before general linear modeling

Discussion

The main objective of this study was to examine subjective changes in sleep and dream recall in participants enrolled in a benzodiazepine (BZ) withdrawal program combined with cognitive behavioral therapy for insomnia (Chapoutot, Gustin et al., 2025), compared to those who did not achieve total abstinence but reduced their consumption over a 12-month period.

Overall, participants maintained either total abstinence (57%) or a reduction of more than 50% of their initial doses (31%). Only 12% continued their initial consumption. This high therapeutic efficacy presents a ceiling effect bias which reduces the statistical power of interactions and effects related to this latter group. Nevertheless, it should be noted that, taken as a whole and with a sufficient sample size, following a BZs withdrawal program, subjective sleep parameters and dream recall remained stable while sleep efficiency and quality improved. The Number of aWAKenings (NWAK), Wake time After Sleep Onset (WASO), and Time spent in bed after final aWAKening (TWAK), as well as severity of insomnia and fatigue all decreased. Participants who were fully or partially withdrawn reported, at 12 months, sleeping more naturally and having regained natural sleep onset signals (e.g., yawning), which they stated had been lost while taking BZs (Table S2). Frequency and accuracy of dream recall increased. It is important to note that although the therapeutic intervention did not focus on chronic nightmares, the proportion of participants suffering from nightmares decreased significantly in the long term. Only daytime sleepiness did not change over time, which is unsurprising given that it was non-pathological prior to treatment (Epworth < 10).

The most robust effect of this study, beyond the ceiling effect of BZs reduction, was the group x time interaction on the Insomnia Severity Index (ISI): that is, patients who remained completely abstinent at 3 and 12 months experienced greater reduction in insomnia severity compared to other groups.

The observed improvements in sleep could have been explained by the continuation of methods taught during CBT-I. However, this is not the case, as this variable did not interact with any outcome, in line with the previous analyses (Chapoutot, Gustin, et al., 2025). Whether or not participants continued to apply sleep restriction or stimulus control, they showed significant clinical improvements. We cannot ignore the fact that, to our knowledge, CBT-I had no effect on dream parameters. The observed improvements in these dimensions is an argument in favor of the fact that they cannot be attributed solely to the massive reduction in BZs in the reference study²⁰.

Physiologically, these effects could be explained by a regulation of sleep architecture after withdrawal¹⁹. Previous studies have shown that BZs induce a reduction in deep slow-wave sleep (stage N3) and a decrease in REM sleep, thereby impairing the restorative quality of sleep¹⁶. Conversely, discontinuation is often followed by recovery of these sleep stages: in the study by Poyares et al. (2004), within two weeks, subjects who had discontinued their BZs use regained a proportion of stage 2 and 3 sleep comparable to that of healthy subjects, despite unchanged subjective perception of sleep efficiency. Our results, spanning a period of twelve months, substantiate these observations by demonstrating a sustained improvement in perceived insomnia, supporting the notion of a gradual restoration of deep slow-wave sleep and REM sleep following withdrawal. As underscored by Chennaoui and Léger (2022), slow-wave sleep represents a major physiological recovery phase for the body and brain. Subjective improvement in insomnia, without significant change in total sleep time, could thus reflect a qualitative rather than quantitative restructuring of sleep. In other words, withdrawal may promote more restful sleep, without necessarily prolonging sleep duration. Regarding dream processes, previous studies have shown that BZs consumption not only reduces the amount of REM sleep and the intensity of the waves characteristic of this phase, but also increases sleep latency¹⁶⁻¹⁸.

However, this is the phase during which dream activity is most intense²⁴. In our study, participants having discontinued BZs use presented an increase in frequency of dream recall. This variation may be interpreted as the manifestation of a rebound in REM sleep following BZs discontinuation^{24,25}. This rebound corresponds to a temporary increase in REM sleep after discontinued use of a substance that suppressed it, before stabilizing at normal physiological levels. Thus, our results suggest that individuals undergoing BZs withdrawal experience a phase of REM sleep regulation, resulting in more frequent dream activity, followed by restoration of dream recall.

CLINICAL AND SOCIETAL IMPLICATIONS

This study adds an additional argument to the paradox of BZs: although BZs are prescribed to improve sleep, sleep quality often improves after significant dose reduction or discontinuation of these molecules. Individuals suffering from chronic insomnia who use BZs are doubly penalized: their drug-induced sleep is objectively of poorer quality, and they develop a lasting dependence on the substance. An appropriate withdrawal program therefore offers them a twofold release: an end to their substance-related disorder and improvement in their sleep. However, adequate support is still needed to ensure that users beginning withdrawal are informed about withdrawal syndrome, particularly the rebound effect of insomnia, which is a temporary condition, i.e., a short-term cost to pay before a significant and lasting improvement in their sleep.

Without reiterating the other adverse effects of this type of long-term consumption as presented in the introduction^{26,27}, withdrawal from benzodiazepines is possible and seems necessary in the context of chronic insomnia. BZs prescriptions should, in accordance with regulations²⁸, be reduced over time and coupled from the beginning of use with a gradual withdrawal program. Furthermore, healthcare professionals have a duty to inform patients about the addictive nature of these substances from the outset, as well as their paradoxical effects on sleep,

warning them that “in the long term, you will sleep less well when taking these medications.”

LIMITATIONS AND PERSPECTIVES FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

The groups were necessarily formed retrospectively, and the small number of participants not having discontinued BZs use is a significant limitation, considerably reducing the statistical power of comparisons of clinical improvements between groups. Nonetheless, the consistency of the results observed over time, particularly the maintenance of improvements at 12 months, supports the overall validity of the conclusions.

It is possible that the sleep-related clinical improvements are driven by the medium- and long-term effects of CBT-I. Although continued use of these methods did not interact with our variables (and strictly speaking we can conclude that there was no effect), the effects obtained on dream variables and the reduction in the number of nightmare sufferers cannot be explained by the effect of CBT-I, since these are neither therapeutic targets nor classic effects observed following CBT-I. It would be useful to repeat this study without any therapeutic intervention aimed at treating insomnia.

The use of self-report measures could constitute a major criticism. Notwithstanding the fact that this study is a complementary analysis of a reference study (Chapoutot, Gustin et al., 2025) which did not allow us to choose the experimental design, these self-assessments are of fundamental importance since satisfaction with these drugs is inherently subjective despite their objective adverse effects. The fact that, overall, long-term users who stop or reduce their use of BZs report subjective improvements in their sleep in the medium and long term is relevant in support of the objective assessments made by Poyares et al. (2004). Nevertheless, future similar research would gain in methodological robustness if coupled with objective measures such as actimetry or polysomnography, despite a significant increase in costs.

Although this study somewhat broadens the generalization of the findings of Poyares et al. (2004) with a larger sample size, it should be viewed with caution given that the participants included in the study wanted to stop using BZs and may have been dissatisfied with their consumption compared to other users. This nuance potentially represents a selection bias that should be taken into account in future studies by assessing patients' degree of satisfaction with BZs prior to withdrawal.

Finally, the evaluation of dream factors should be carried out using standardized measures such as the MADRE²⁹ or a dream diary recorded prospectively³⁰.

Conclusion

Although based on self-reported observations, this study highlights what patients consistently report to us in routine care: they experience more natural, better-quality sleep and remember their dreams more when they stop taking BZs. This is the second study to observe this striking clinical phenomenon, which highlights the iatrogenic and paradoxical effects of long-term use of this type of substances in the context of chronic insomnia: stopping consumption of sleep aid substances promotes better sleep!

Acknowledgments:

This work was supported by *ALCEA* to which we would like to express our thanks. We also thank all of the participants and contributors to this study.

Author Contributions:

Conceptualization: B.P., M.C.; Investigation: M.C., B.P.; Formal analysis: M.-P.G. and R.A.; Writing-original draft: B.P., F.C. M.C., M-P.G., R.A.; Synthesis of the literature: F.C., Writing-review and editing: F.C., M.C., M-P.G., R.A., M.H., Y.K., W.L., B.P.; Supervision: B.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest Statement:

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

Funding Sources:

This work was funded by *ALCEA* to which we would like to express our thanks.

References:

1. Karrer W. Insomnie chronique. Fatigue ou somnolence diurne causes des troubles chroniques du sommeil. *Forum Med Suisse*. 2008;8(23). doi:10.4414/fms.2008.06507
2. Grandjean C, Crettol Wavre S, Khazaal Y, et al. Recommandations de prise en charge des mésusages et addictions aux benzodiazépines. *Revue Médicale Suisse*. 2021;17(754):1754-1759. doi:10.53738/REVMED.2021.17.754.1754
3. Riemann D, Espie CA, Altena E, et al. The European Insomnia Guideline: An update on the diagnosis and treatment of insomnia 2023 [Lignes directrices européennes sur l'insomnie : mise à jour sur le diagnostic et le traitement de l'insomnie 2023]. *Journal of Sleep Research*. 2023;32(6):e14035. doi:10.1111/jsr.14035
4. Sftg - Has. Prise en charge du patient adulte se plaignant d'insomnie en médecine générale. *Médecine du Sommeil*. 2007;4(14):5-27. doi:10.1016/S1769-4493(07)70020-1
5. Medhi T. Benzodiazepines Revisited [Les benzodiazépines revisitées]. *British Journal of Medical Practitioners*. 2012;5(1). Accessed March 14, 2025. <https://www.bjmp.org/content/benzodiazepines-revisited>
6. Szczerbowski L. Alternatives aux hypnotiques et anxiolytiques dans la prise en charge des troubles du sommeil de l'adulte : étude qualitative pluriprofessionnelle. Published online November 14, 2023. Accessed April 4, 2025. <https://policycommons.net/artifacts/15654276/alternatives-aux-hypnotiques-et-anxiolytiques-dans-la-prise-en-charge-des-troubles-du-sommeil-de-ladulte/16545135/>
7. Haute Autorité de Santé. *Quelle place pour les benzodiazépines dans l'insomnie ?*, 2024. Accessed August 16, 2024. https://www.has-sante.fr/upload/docs/application/pdf/2024-07/bum_bzd_insomnie_11_07_24_vf.pdf
8. OFSP O fédéral de la santé publique. Somnifères et tranquillisants psychoactifs. December 30, 2022. Accessed August 16, 2024. <https://www.bag.admin.ch/bag/fr/home/gesund-leben/sucht-und-gesundheit/medikamentenmissbrauch/schlaf-und-beruhigungsmittel.html>
9. Kurko T a. T, Saastamoinen LK, Tähkäpää S, et al. Long-term use of benzodiazepines: Definitions, prevalence and usage patterns – a systematic review of register-based studies [Utilisation à long terme des benzodiazépines : définitions, prévalence et modes d'utilisation – revue systématique des études basées sur des registres]. *European Psychiatry*. 2015;30(8):1037-1047. doi:10.1016/j.eurpsy.2015.09.003
10. Addiction Suisse. Usage de somnifères ou tranquillisants dans la population. 2022. Accessed March 21, 2025. <https://www.addictionsuisse.ch/faits-et-chiffres/medicaments/medicaments-usage/>
11. Baldwin DS, Aitchison K, Bateson A, et al. Benzodiazepines: risks and benefits. A reconsideration [Benzodiazépines : risques et avantages. Une nouvelle réflexion]. *J Psychopharmacol*. 2013;27(11):967-971. doi:10.1177/0269881113503509
12. Dell'osso B, Lader M. Do Benzodiazepines Still Deserve a Major Role in The Treatment of Psychiatric Disorders? A Critical Reappraisal [Les benzodiazépines méritent-elles encore de jouer un rôle majeur dans le traitement des troubles psychiatriques ? Une réévaluation critique]. *Eur psychiatr*. 2013;28(1):7-20. doi:10.1016/j.eurpsy.2011.11.003
13. Cloos JM, Stein R, Rauchs P, Koch P, Chouinard P. Addictions aux benzodiazépines: prévalence, diagnostic et traitement. *EMC - Psychiatrie*. Published online January 1, 2011:1-10. doi:10.1016/S0246-1072(11)55586-5
14. Nowell PD, Mazumdar S, Buysse DJ, Dew MA, Reynolds CF III, Kupfer DJ. Benzodiazepines and Zolpidem for Chronic Insomnia: A Meta-analysis of Treatment Efficacy [Benzodiazépines et zolpidem dans le traitement de l'insomnie chronique : méta-analyse de l'efficacité thérapeutique]. *JAMA*. 1997;278(24):2170-2177. doi:10.1001/jama.1997.03550240060035
15. Winkler A, Auer C, Doering BK, Rief W. Drug Treatment of Primary Insomnia: A Meta-Analysis of

- Polysomnographic Randomized Controlled Trials [Traitement médicamenteux de l'insomnie primaire : méta-analyse d'essais contrôlés randomisés polysomnographiques]. *CNS Drugs*. 2014;28(9):799-816. doi:10.1007/s40263-014-0198-7
16. Mendonça FMR de, Mendonça GPRR de, Souza LC, et al. Benzodiazepines and Sleep Architecture: A Systematic Review [Benzodiazépines et architecture du sommeil : revue systématique]. *CNS & Neurological Disorders - Drug Targets (Formerly Current Drug Targets - CNS & Neurological Disorders)*. 2023;22(2):172-179. doi:10.2174/1871527320666210618103344
17. Manconi M, Ferri R, Miano S, et al. Sleep architecture in insomniacs with severe benzodiazepine abuse [Architecture du sommeil chez les insomniaques présentant une forte dépendance aux benzodiazépines]. *Clinical Neurophysiology*. 2017;128(6):875-881. doi:10.1016/j.clinph.2017.03.009
18. Barbaux L, Perrault AA, Cross NE, et al. Effect of chronic sedative-hypnotic use on sleep architecture and brain oscillations in older adults with chronic insomnia [Effet de l'utilisation chronique de sédatifs-hypnotiques sur l'architecture du sommeil et les oscillations cérébrales chez les personnes âgées souffrant d'insomnie chronique]. *Sleep*. 2025;48(10):zsaf168. doi:10.1093/sleep/zsaf168
19. Poyares D, Guilleminault C, Ohayon MM, Tufik S. Chronic benzodiazepine usage and withdrawal in insomnia patients. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2004;38(3):327-334. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2003.10.003
20. Chapoutot M, Gustin MP, Anders R, et al. Is a full psychotherapy program necessary to reduce benzodiazepine dependence for insomnia? A Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing Drug Tapering with Single-Session CBT vs. Full Acceptance and Commitment Therapy. *Sleep Medicine*. Published online December 30, 2025:108744. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2025.108744
21. Johns MW. A New Method for Measuring Daytime Sleepiness: The Epworth Sleepiness Scale [Une nouvelle méthode pour mesurer la somnolence diurne : l'échelle de somnolence d'Epworth]. *Sleep*. 1991;14(6):540-545. doi:10.1093/sleep/14.6.540
22. Krakow B, Schrader R, Tandberg D, et al. Nightmare frequency in sexual assault survivors with PTSD [Fréquence des cauchemars chez les victimes d'agression sexuelle souffrant de TSPT]. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*. 2002;16(2):175-190. doi:10.1016/S0887-6185(02)00093-2
23. Chennaoui M, Léger D. Le sommeil et les conséquences du manque de sommeil : définitions et généralités. *Revue Défense Nationale*. 2022;(HS1):13-21. doi:10.3917/rdna.hs07.0013
24. Feriante J, Singh S. REM Rebound Effect [Effet de rebond REM]. In: *StatPearls*. StatPearls Publishing; 2025. Accessed October 9, 2025. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK560713/>
25. Pagel JF, Parnes BL. Medications for the Treatment of Sleep Disorders: An Overview [Médicaments pour le traitement des troubles du sommeil : aperçu général]. *Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry*. 2001;3(3):118-125. doi:10.4088/pcc.v03n0303
26. Barker MJ, Greenwood KM, Jackson M, Crowe SF. Cognitive Effects of Long-Term Benzodiazepine Use: A Meta-Analysis [Effets cognitifs de l'utilisation à long terme des benzodiazépines : une méta-analyse]. *CNS Drugs*. 2004;18(1):37-48. doi:10.2165/00023210-200418010-00004
27. Zetsen SPG, Schellekens AFA, Paling EP, Kan CC, Kessels RPC. Cognitive Functioning in Long-Term Benzodiazepine Users [Fonctionnement cognitif chez les utilisateurs à long terme de benzodiazépines]. *Eur Addict Res*. 2022;28(5):377-381. doi:10.1159/000525988
28. Chapoutot M, Meloni F, Peter-Derex L, et al. A narrative review of strategies for discontinuing long-term benzodiazepine use and methodological recommendations: Is a success rate of only one in three patients sufficient? *Journal of Behavioral and Cognitive Therapy*. 2025;35(3):100533. doi:10.1016/j.jbct.2025.100533
29. Schredl M, Berres S, Klingauf A, Schellhaas S, Göritz AS. The Mannheim Dream Questionnaire (MADRE): Retest reliability, age and gender effects. *International Journal of Dream Research*. 2014;7(2):141-147.

30. Putois B, Leslie W, Asfeld C, Sierro C, Higgins S, Ruby P. Methodological Recommendations to Control for Factors Influencing Dream and Nightmare Recall in Clinical and Experimental Studies of Dreaming. *Frontiers in neurology*. 2020;11. doi:10.3389/FNEUR.2020.00724
31. Pichot P, Brun JP. Brief self-evaluation questionnaire for depressive, asthenic and anxious dimensions [Questionnaire succinct d'auto-évaluation des dimensions dépressive, asthénique et anxieuse]. *Ann Med Psychol (Paris)*. 1984;142(6):862-865.
32. Baillie AJ, Mattick RP. The benzodiazepine dependence questionnaire: Development, reliability and validity. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 1996;169(3):276-281. doi:10.1192/bjp.169.3.276
33. Derogatis L. *SCL-R-90 Version: Manual-I*. John Hopki.; 1977.
34. Gosselin M, Bergeron J, RISQ. Evaluation des qualités psychométriques du questionnaire de santé mentale SCL-90-R. Published online 1993.
35. Monestès JL, Villatte M, Mouras H, Loas G, Bond FW. Traduction et validation française du questionnaire d'acceptation et d'action (AAQ-II). *Revue Européenne de Psychologie Appliquée/ European Review of Applied Psychology*. 2009;59(4):301-308. doi:10.1016/j.erap.2009.09.001
36. Courtois R, Petot JM, Plaisant O, et al. Validation of the French version of the 10-item Big Five Inventory. *Encephale*. Published online 2020. doi:10.1016/j.encep.2020.02.006
37. Busto UE, Kathy S, Sellers EM. A clinical scale to assess benzodiazepine withdrawal. *Journal of Clinical Psychopharmacology*. 1989;9(6):412-416. doi:10.1097/00004714-198912000-00005
38. Francis AW, Dawson DL, Golijani-Moghaddam N. The development and validation of the Comprehensive assessment of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy processes (CompACT). *Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science*. 2016;5(3):134-145. doi:10.1016/j.jcbs.2016.05.003
39. Larsen DL, Attkisson CC, Hargreaves WA, Nguyen TD. Assessment of client/patient satisfaction: Development of a general scale. *Evaluation and Program Planning*. 1979;2(3):197-207. doi:10.1016/0149-7189(79)90094-6
40. Loas G, Monestes JL, Wallier J, Berthoz S, Corcos M. Le questionnaire de personnalité dépendante (QPD) : traduction française et étude de validation dans une population de 138 patients psychiatriques hospitalisés. *Encephale*. 2010;36(2):111-115. doi:10.1016/j.encep.2009.03.008
41. Pelissolo A, Naja W. Evaluation de la dépendance aux benzodiazépines à l'aide d'une échelle cognitive. *Synapse*. 1996;131:37-40.
42. Fischer DG, Fick C. Measuring Social Desirability: Short Forms of the Marlowe-Crowne Social Desirability Scale. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*. 1993;53(2):417-424.
43. Jermann F, Billieux J, Larøi F, et al. Mindful Attention Awareness Scale (MAAS): Psychometric properties of the French translation and exploration of its relations with emotion regulation strategies. *Psychological Assessment*. 2009;21(4):506-514. doi:10.1037/a0017032
44. van Doorn, P., Colla, P., & Folgering, H. Een vragenlijst voor hyperventilatieklachten [A questionnaire for hyperventilation syndrome]. *De Psycholoog*. 1983;18(10):573-577.
45. Wegner DM, Zanakos S. Chronic Thought Suppression. *Journal of Personality*. Published online 1994. doi:10.1111/j.1467-6494.1994.tb00311.x
46. Harper A, Power M. Development of the World Health Organization WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment. The WHOQOL Group. *Psychological medicine*. 1998;28(3):551-558.
47. Leplege A, Reveillere C, Ecosse E, Caria A, Riviere H. Propriétés psychométriques d'un nouvel instrument d'évaluation de la qualité de vie, le WHOQOL-26, à partir d'une population de malades neuro-musculaires. *L'Encéphale*. 2000;26(5):13-22.
48. Munder T, Wilmers F, Leonhart R, Linster HW, Barth J. Working Alliance Inventory-Short Revised (WAI-SR): psychometric properties in outpatients and inpatients. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*. 2009;17(3):231-239.

Supplementary Data

DESCRIPTION OF THE MAIN MEASURES

Sleep Diary: Participants maintained a sleep diary and tracked their medication use over 14 days preceding each evaluation interview, enabling the collection of the following parameters: total sleep time (TST), time in bed (TIB), sleep onset latency (SOL), number of nocturnal awakenings (NWAK), total wake time after sleep onset (WASO), time spent in bed after final awakening (TWAK), sleep efficiency (SE), sleep quality (SQ), doses and number of medications taken for sleep, and the diazepam-equivalent dosage of BZs consumption over the recorded period (total dosage and daily average).

The **Insomnia Severity Index** is a self-report questionnaire consisting of 7 items rated on a 5-point Likert scale, used to assess the perceived severity of sleep disorders. The questions focus on sleep satisfaction, daytime impact (fatigue, attention, mood, overall functioning), and anxiety related to sleep difficulties. The total score ranges from 0 to 28, with higher scores indicating more severe insomnia.

The **Epworth Sleepiness Scale**²¹ is a self-administered scale comprising 8 common situations, in which the person must estimate the likelihood of falling asleep on a scale of 0 to 3 (from “never” to “highly likely”). It measures subjective daytime sleepiness, i.e., the tendency to fall asleep in low-stimulation situations in everyday life. The overall score ranges from 0 to 24.

The **Pichot Fatigue Scale**³¹ comprises 8 items rated on a 5-point Likert scale. It is used to assess the level of physical and mental fatigue experienced over the preceding days. The total score ranges from 0 to 32, with higher scores indicating increased fatigue.

The **Benzodiazepine Dependence Questionnaire** (BDEPQ)³² measures the severity of benzodiazepine dependence through 30 items rated on a 4-point scale, divided into three subscales: general dependence, pleasurable effects, and perceived need.

The **Symptom Checklist-90 (SCL-90)**^{33,34} assesses nine psychopathological dimensions through 90 items

rated on a 5-point scale: Somatization, Interpersonal Sensitivity, Depression, Anxiety, Hostility/Aggression, Obsessive-Compulsive Symptoms, Phobic Anxiety, Paranoid Ideation, and Psychoticism.

Effect of withdrawal: At 12 months, each participant answered yes or no to the following questions: “Do you feel your sleep is more natural than before the withdrawal program?”, “Do you feel more alert during the day than before the withdrawal program?”, “Do you feel you experience more signals of sleepiness (yawning, heavy eyelids, etc.) than before the withdrawal program?”

Dream recall measurement: Dream recall was assessed using two levels of non-standardized questions.

The first question, administered after the program (retrospectively), concerned perceived changes in dream recall: “Do you feel that you remember more (or less) of your dreams since you stopped taking benzodiazepines?” Responses were provided on a 7-point Likert scale, ranging from 0 = “I remember them much less” to 6 = “I remember them much more”.

The second set of questions, also administered retrospectively, concerned the frequency of dream recall before and after the program, formulated as follows: “Before the Benzostop program, how often did you remember your dreams?” and “After the Benzostop program, how often did you remember your dreams?” Responses were also rated on a 7-point Likert scale, ranging from 0 = “never” to 6 = “nearly every morning”.

The **frequency of nightmares** was also measured retrospectively using the Nightmare Frequency Questionnaire²², a self-assessment tool for quantifying the occurrence of nightmares and disturbing dreams over the preceding three months.

Table S1: Patient characteristics at baseline and their similarity according to the patient's consumption of BZs at 3- and 12-month follow-up.

Characteristics at T0	Withdrawal status at T1				Withdrawal status at T2				Total n = 107
	Group 1 60 (56.1)	Group 2 40 (37.4)	Group 3 7 (6.5)	P-value	Group 1 61 (57.0)	Group 2 33 (30.8)	Group 3 13 (12.1)	P-value	
Sleep									
Diary - Total Sleep Time (TST)	6.8±1.1	6.5±0.7	7±1	0.16 *	6.7±1.1	6.6±0.8	7±0.7	0.46	6.7±1
Diary - Time In Bed (TIB)	8.3±0.9	8±0.8	8.6±1.1	0.08	8.3±0.8	8.1±0.9	8.3±0.7	0.56	8.2±0.9
Diary - Sleep Onset Latency (SOL)	0.6±0.5	0.6±0.3	0.6±0.5	0.71 *	0.6±0.4	0.6±0.5	0.6±0.4	0.93 *	0.6±0.4
Diary - Number of aWAKenings (NWAK)	1.2±0.7	0.9±0.7	1.1±0.6	0.07	1.3±0.7	1±0.7	0.6±0.6	0.01	1.1±0.7
Diary - Wake time After Sleep Onset (WASO)	0.6±0.5	0.5±0.4	0.7±0.4	0.27	0.6±0.5	0.5±0.4	0.3±0.3	0.04	0.6±0.5
Diary - Terminal WAKefulness (TWAK)	0.5±0.4	0.5±0.4	0.6±0.5	0.62	0.5±0.4	0.5±0.3	0.5±0.4	0.68	0.5±0.4
Diary - Sleep Efficiency (SE)	81.7±10.4	81.8±8.1	82±8.5	1.00	81±10.1	81.8±8.7	84.9±7.5	0.40	81.7±9.4
Diary - Sleep Quality (SQ)	0.6±0.2	0.6±0.2	0.6±0.1	0.65	0.6±0.2	0.6±0.2	0.7±0.1	0.12	0.6±0.2
Insomnia - ISI	17.5±5.1	18.8±4.4	18.1±6.7	0.48	18±5.1	18±4.8	18.3±4.9	0.98 *	18±5
Somnolence - Epworth	6.2±4.4	4.8±3.9	4±3.9	0.15	5.8±4.4	5.7±4.3	3.9±2.8	0.34	5.5±4.2
Fatigue - Pichot	11±8.2	10.2±7.5	9.9±6.1	0.85	12±8.2	8.8±7.3	8.7±5.9	0.11	10.6±7.8
Chronotype - Horne et Ostberg	15.4±4.1[50]	15.3±3.5[30]	16.6±3.9[7]	0.74	15.4±4[51]	15.8±3.9[24]	15.2±3.5[12]	0.91	15.5±3.9[87]
Stress/anxiety.before/during bedtime (%)	32.2±26.9	31.5±28.1	41.4±26.1	0.67	32.3±26.5	31.5±29.3	36.2±26	0.87	32.5±27.1
Ruminations.before/during bedtime (%)	35.2±26.1	39.8±32	35.7±34.1	0.74	36.6±26.7	37.3±32.4	37.7±30.3	0.99	36.9±28.7
Dreams and Nightmares									
Dream recall frequency	s4 1.9±1.9[55]	2.8±2.2[36]	2.9±2.7[7]	0.16	2.2±2.1[60]	2.4±1.9[32]	2.8±2.4[12]	0.65	2.3±2.1[104]
Dream recall accuracy	s4 2±2[55]	2.5±1.9[36]	2.1±2.3[7]	0.44	1.9±2[60]	2.2±1.8[32]	1.8±1.6[12]	0.61	2±1.9[104]
Number of nights with one or more nightmares per month	17.6±53.5[55]	18.4±53.3[36]	7.1±9.2[7]	0.93 *	24.2±64.6[60]	20.8±56.1[32]	14.8±20.9[12]	0.89 *	22.1±58.2[104]
Number of nightmares per month	17.6±53.5[55]	18.4±53.3[36]	7.1±9.2[7]	0.93 *	24.2±64.6[60]	20.8±56.1[32]	14.8±20.9[12]	0.89 *	22.1±58.2[104]
Prone to nightmares	s3 5(9.1)[104]	5(13.9)[104]	0(0)[104]	0.36	7(11.7)[98]	5(15.6)[98]	2(16.7)[98]	0.82	14(4.8)[104]
Benzodiazepine									
BZD-304.10 - Mild.(2-3.symptoms present)	s3 11(18.3)	1(2.5)	2(28.6)	0.017	11(18)	2(6.1)	1(7.7)	0.19	14(0.9)
BZD-304.10 - Moderate (4-5.symptoms present)	s3 18(30)	13(32.5)	2(28.6)	0.96	17(27.9)	10(30.3)	6(46.2)	0.45	33(12.1)
BZD-304.10 - Severe.(>6.symptoms present)	s3 31(51.7)	26(65)	3(42.9)	0.32	33(54.1)	21(63.6)	6(46.2)	0.50	60(24.3)
ECAB	7.2±2.2[60]	7.3±2[39]	6.3±2.7[7]	0.54	7±2.3[61]	7.1±1.8[32]	8.1±1.8[13]	0.22	7.1±2.1[106]
Number of withdrawal attempts	8.6±18.6	9.7±21.7	5.3±2.6	0.59 *	7.1±14.1	10.4±23.8	12.5±26.8	0.75 *	8.8±19.2
Several hypnotics	s3 35(58.3)	26(65)	6(85.7)	0.30	34(55.7)	24(72.7)	9(69.2)	0.23	67(24.3)
Motivation to stop BZs (%)	s4 3.5±0.7	3.5±0.7	3.6±0.5	0.98	3.5±0.7	3.5±0.7	3.5±0.7	0.88	3.5±0.7
BZs craving before/during bedtime (%)	10.2±18.6	15.8±27.4	32.9±36.8	0.15 *	10±18.4	15.5±25.6	26.9±37.3	0.19 *	13.7±24
Confidence in stopping BZs (%)	62±29.6	61.5±24.8	35.7±38.2	0.07	63±29.5	59.7±29	47.7±24.5	0.23	60.1±28.9
Confidence in your ability to sleep without BZs (%)	51.7±30.7	51±26.4	21.4±31.8	0.017 *	52.3±30.1	50.9±29.8	32.3±25.2	0.09	49.4±29.9
ACT									
AAQ2	43.8±10.4[60]	44.2±9.9[40]	46.3±12[6]	0.84	44.6±11.1[61]	43±9.1[33]	44.2±9[12]	0.77	44.1±10.2[106]
MAAS	57.5±14.3[60]	57.6±14.1[40]	58±6.4[6]	1.00	56.6±12.9[61]	57.8±16.2[33]	61.4±11.1[12]	0.54	57.5±13.8[106]
COMPACT	85.7±18.3	89.5±18.8	86.7±20.2	0.59	85.5±17.2	90.9±21.6	85.7±15.6	0.38	87.2±18.5

The Sleeping Pill Paradox: Better Sleep After Benzodiazepine Discontinuation

Psychopathology

BFI-10 - Extraversion		3.9±1.4	4.1±1.2	3.1±1.6	0.19	3.9±1.4	3.9±1.3	4.2±1.5	0.79	3.9±1.4
BFI-10 - Agreeableness		5.2±1.2	5.4±1	5.4±1.2	0.71	5.3±1.1	5.1±1.2	5.3±0.9	0.77	5.3±1.1
BFI-10 - Conscientiousness		5.9±0.9	5.9±1.1	6.3±0.4	0.56	5.9±0.9	5.9±1.1	6±0.7	0.90	5.9±0.9
BFI-10 - Neuroticism		3.6±1.2	3.2±1.3	3.4±0.7	0.36	3.6±1.2	3.2±1.3	2.9±0.9	0.06	3.4±1.2
BFI-10 - Openness		4.8±1.2	5±1.2	5.4±1	0.45	4.8±1.2	5.2±1.1	5±1.3	0.28	4.9±1.2
WBSI		45.4±13.1[59]	45.9±10.6[40]	41.9±18.7[7]	0.73	45±13.8[60]	46.6±10.8[33]	44.2±11.1[13]	0.77	45.4±12.6[106]

Control variables

Alcohol units per day	s6	0.4±0.7	0.6±1.1	0.1±0.4	0.16	0.4±0.7	0.6±1.1	0.5±0.8	0.35	0.5±0.9
Number of cigarettes per day	s5	0.5±1.8	0.9±3	2.9±7.6	0.16	0.5±1.7	1.7±4.6	0±0	0.23	0.8±2.9
Other Mental Disorders	s3	19(31.7)	11(27.5)	2(28.6)	0.90	20(32.8)	7(21.2)	5(38.5)	0.38	32(10.3)
Organic Disease	s3	34(56.7)	19(47.5)	6(85.7)	0.14	35(57.4)	15(45.5)	9(69.2)	0.29	59(17.8)
BMI		23.9±4	22.3±3.5	22.4±3.1	0.11	23.9±4	22±3.3	22.8±4.1	0.08	23.2±3.8
WHOQOL 26 - Physical		53.7±15.6	58.8±17.2	61±13.7	0.22	52±16.7	62.7±13.9	58.4±14.5	0.007	56.1±16.2
WHOQOL 26 - Psychological		57.4±15.6	58.2±15.7	56.3±18	0.94	58.7±15.5	55.7±16.3	57.4±15.4	0.68	57.6±15.6
WHOQOL 26 - Social relationship		57.1±16.8	57.8±18.3	51.7±4.5	0.07	s2 57.4±16.4	55.9±18.3	58.1±16.3	0.89	57±16.8
WHOQOL 26 - Environment		70.7±13.2	73.2±10.4	75±4.9	0.44	71.2±13.1	72.4±10.7	74.2±8.2	0.68	71.9±11.8
Nijmegen		17.1±9.2	17.6±10.2	21.6±11.6	0.52	18±8.6	16.4±9.4	18.5±15	0.71	17.6±9.7
MC-SDS		6.6±1.6	6.6±1.6	6.7±1.5	0.97	6.6±1.5	6.8±1.7	6.2±1.4	0.58	6.6±1.5
WAI therapist - Development of bond		23.8±4.3[59]	24±3.8[38]	22.6±3[7]	0.70	23.7±4.2[59]	23.9±3.9[33]	23.9±3.7[12]	0.97	23.8±4[104]
WAI therapist - Tasks		24.4±4.5[59]	24.4±4[38]	22.7±3.6[7]	0.59	24.2±4.5[59]	24.2±4[33]	25.2±4.2[12]	0.77	24.3±4.3[104]
WAI therapist - Agreement on goals		24.1±4.9[59]	24.7±3.8[38]	22.4±3.8[7]	0.47	23.7±4.9[59]	24.6±3.6[33]	25.2±4.7[12]	0.50	24.2±4.5[104]
WAI patient - Development of bond		25.9±3.5[47]	25.5±3.2[31]	23.8±4.9[4]	0.48	25.8±3.6[45]	25.1±3.4[28]	26.2±2.9[9]	0.57	25.6±3.5[82]
WAI patient - Tasks		23.7±4.5[47]	23.5±4.4[31]	23.5±3.9[4]	0.97	23.5±4.6[45]	23.4±4.4[28]	24.7±3.7[9]	0.74	23.6±4.4[82]
WAI patient - Agreement on goals		24.6±4.2[47]	25.2±3[31]	20.2±6[4]	0.06	24.6±4.2[45]	24.6±3.6[28]	25±4[9]	0.96	24.6±4[82]
CSQ-8		30.1±2.6[57]	29.6±3[38]	28.8±4.2[6]	0.54	30.3±2.6[56]	29.8±2.4[33]	27.7±4.2[12]	0.018	29.8±2.9[101]

Mean ± SD and n(%) for quantitative and qualitative data, respectively

T1: at 3 months follow-up, T2: at 12 months follow-up

Group 1 : Drug-free , Group 2: Reduction more than 50% of BZs , Group 3: Failed reduction

P-values were obtained using general linear model by default or general linear model taking into account heteroscedasticity (s2) or logistic (s3), ordinal logistic (s4), nominal logistic (s5), poisson (s6) linear model

Data normalization before general linear modeling

* significant result

AAQ-II - Acceptance and Action Questionnaire ³⁵,

BFI-10 - Big Five Inventory ³⁶,

CIWA-B - Clinical Institute Withdrawal Assessment-B ³⁷,

CompACT - Comprehensive Assessment of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy Processes ³⁸,

CSQ-8 - Consumer Satisfaction Questionnaire ³⁹,

DPQ - Dependent Personality Questionnaire ⁴⁰,

ECAB - Cognitive Attachment to Benzodiazepines Scale ⁴¹

MC-SD - Marlowe-Crowne Social Desirability Scale ⁴²,

MAAS - Mindful Attention Awareness Scale ⁴³,

Nijmegen Questionnaire ⁴⁴,

WBSI - White Bear Suppression Inventory ⁴⁵

WHOQOL-26 - WHOQOL-BREF ^{46,47},

WAI-SR Working Alliance Inventory-Short Revised ⁴⁸

Table S2: Changes in sleep parameters after medium- and long-term withdrawal

	Pre-treatment	3-month FU	dif T1-T0	n1	P1	P2	P3	Pre-treatment	12-month FU	dif T2-T0	n2	P4	P5	P6
Sleep														
Diary - Total Sleep Time (TST)	6.7±1	6.7±1.1	-0.03±0.73	102	0.64	0.24	0.03	6.7±1	6.7±1.1	-0.02±0.78	102	0.81	0.56	0.63
Drug-free	6.8±1.1	6.8±1.3	-0.03±0.74	58	1.00			6.8±1.1	6.7±1.2	-0.04±0.79	59	1.00		
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	6.5±0.8	6.5±0.9	0.05±0.67	37	1.00			6.6±0.9	6.5±0.8	-0.07±0.77	31	1.00		
Failed reduction	7±1	6.6±0.7	-0.46±0.77	7	0.77			7±0.7	7.2±1	0.21±0.78	12	1.00		
Diary - Time In Bed (TIB)	8.2±0.8	8±0.7	-0.25±0.61	102	0.000	0.63	0.07	8.2±0.9	8±0.8	-0.23±0.62	102	0.000	0.33	0.43
Drug-free	8.3±0.8	8.1±0.7	-0.23±0.64	58	0.024			8.3±0.8	8±0.8	-0.28±0.57	59	0.001		
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	8±0.8	7.7±0.6	-0.26±0.61	37	0.029			8.1±1	7.9±0.7	-0.23±0.73	31	0.17		
Failed reduction	8.6±1.1	8.1±0.9	-0.47±0.38	7	0.029			8.2±0.7	8.2±0.6	0.02±0.52	12	0.91		
Diary - Sleep Onset Latency (SOL)	0.6±0.4	0.5±0.6	-0.05±0.53	102	1.00	0.71	0.87	0.6±0.4	0.5±0.4	-0.07±0.43	102	0.11	0.69	0.31
Drug-free	0.6±0.5	0.5±0.7	-0.03±0.59	58	1.00			0.6±0.4	0.5±0.5	-0.08±0.35	59	0.20		
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	0.6±0.3	0.5±0.4	-0.11±0.39	37	1.00			0.6±0.5	0.5±0.4	-0.08±0.49	31	0.77		
Failed reduction	0.6±0.5	0.8±0.6	0.14±0.65	7	1.00			0.6±0.4	0.6±0.5	0.03±0.6	12	0.86		
Diary - Number of aWAKenings (NWAk)	1.1±0.7	0.9±0.7	-0.26±0.56	102	0.000	0.03	0.97	s2	1.1±0.7	1±0.8	-0.11±0.66	102	1.00	* 0.30 0.72 s2
Drug-free	1.2±0.7	0.9±0.7	-0.37±0.6	58	0.000			1.3±0.7	1.1±0.9	-0.16±0.77	59	1.00		
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	0.9±0.7	0.8±0.6	-0.16±0.48	37	0.11			1.1±0.7	1±0.8	-0.08±0.5	31	1.00		
Failed reduction	1.1±0.6	1.3±0.4	0.14±0.31	7	0.28			0.6±0.6	0.7±0.5	0.08±0.41	12	0.42		
Diary - Wake time After Sleep Onset (WASO)	0.6±0.5	0.5±0.4	-0.1±0.40	102	0.014	0.46	0.68	0.6±0.5	0.5±0.5	-0.07±0.42	102	0.12	0.82	0.59
Drug-free	0.6±0.5	0.5±0.4	-0.13±0.46	58	0.11			0.6±0.5	0.5±0.5	-0.08±0.48	59	0.62		
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	0.5±0.4	0.5±0.3	-0.04±0.33	37	0.49			0.5±0.4	0.5±0.4	-0.07±0.34	31	0.62		
Failed reduction	0.7±0.4	0.5±0.2	-0.2±0.3	7	0.26			0.2±0.3	0.2±0.2	0.07±0.28	12	0.95		
Diary - Terminal WAKefulness (TWAK)	0.5±0.4	0.4±0.3	-0.17±0.29	102	0.000	0.43	0.65	0.5±0.4	0.4±0.4	-0.12±0.36	102	0.001	0.36	0.62
Drug-free	0.5±0.4	0.4±0.4	-0.08±0.28	58	0.06			0.5±0.4	0.4±0.4	-0.13±0.37	59	0.025		
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	0.5±0.4	0.4±0.3	-0.16±0.28	37	0.005			0.5±0.3	0.4±0.2	-0.05±0.31	31	0.39		
Failed reduction	0.6±0.5	0.4±0.2	-0.17±0.4	7	0.31			0.5±0.4	0.3±0.3	-0.21±0.41	12	0.21		
Diary - Sleep Efficiency (SE)	81.6±9.5	83.7±11	2.04±6.81	102	0.003	0.33	0.24	81.8±9.6	83.8±10.5	2.02±7.91	102	0.011	0.72	0.16
Drug-free	81.6±10.4	83.5±13.1	1.83±6.79	58	0.09			81.1±10.2	83.6±11.9	2.44±8.06	59	0.024		
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	81.6±8.3	84.5±7.9	2.96±6.16	37	0.018			81.6±8.9	82.9±7.8	1.29±6.96	31	0.31		
Failed reduction	82±8.5	80.8±6.4	-1.12±9.88	7	0.77			85.4±7.6	87.3±8.9	1.88±9.84	12	0.52		
Diary - Sleep Quality (SQ)	0.6±0.2	0.6±0.2	0.04±0.13	102	0.002	0.36	0.32	0.6±0.2	0.6±0.2	0.07±0.14	102	0.000	0.42	0.18
Drug-free	0.6±0.2	0.6±0.2	0.06±0.13	58	0.004			0.6±0.2	0.6±0.2	0.09±0.15	59	0.000		
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	0.6±0.2	0.6±0.2	0.03±0.15	37	0.53			0.6±0.2	0.6±0.1	0.05±0.13	31	0.06		
Failed reduction	0.6±0.1	0.6±0.1	-0.01±0.14	7	0.91			0.6±0.1	0.7±0.1	0.04±0.12	12	0.27		
Insomnia - ISI	18±5	11±6.2	-6.97±5.88	107	0.000	0.07	0.67	18±5.0	11.1±6.0	-6.93±5.87	107	0.000	0.044	0.78
Drug-free	17.5±5.1	9.5±6.5	-8±6.13	60	0.000			18±5.1	9.8±6.2	-8.15±6.2	61	0.000		
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	18.8±4.4	12.7±4.9	-6.05±5.46	40	0.000			18±4.8	12.6±5.8	-5.39±5.12	33	0.000		
Failed reduction	18.1±6.7	14.7±6.9	-3.43±4.08	7	0.07			18.3±4.9	13.2±3.8	-5.08±4.99	13	0.003		
Sleepiness - Epworth	5.5±4.2	5±3.8	-0.5±3.04	107	0.10	0.50	0.53	5.5±4.2	4.7±3.7	-0.84±3.48	107	0.014	0.94	0.43
Drug-free	6.2±4.4	5±4.4	-0.78±3.21	60	0.19			5.8±4.4	4.9±3.9	-0.93±3.88	61	0.19		
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	4.8±3.9	4.7±3.7	-0.05±2.89	40	1.00			5.7±4.3	5±3.6	-0.67±3.11	33	0.43		
Failed reduction	4±3.9	3.4±2.1	-0.57±2.44	7	1.00			3.9±2.8	3.1±2.1	-0.85±2.34	13	0.43		
Fatigue - Pichot	10.6±7.8	6.3±6.7	-4.28±6.6	107	0.000	0.20	0.95	10.6±7.8	6.2±5.9	-4.42±6.74	107	0.000	0.024	0.92
Drug-free	11±8.2	5.7±7.2	-5.3±6.76	60	0.000			12±8.2	6.1±6.6	-5.89±7	61	0.000		
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	10.2±7.5	7.2±6.2	-3±6.16	40	0.008			8.8±7.3	6.9±5.3	-1.97±6.15	33	0.07		
Failed reduction	9.9±6.1	7±4.2	-2.86±7.08	7	0.33			8.7±5.9	4.9±3.1	-3.77±5.26	13	0.048		
Sleep more natural after withdrawal program?														
Drug-free - n (%)								52(68.4)			76	0.002	s13	0.83 0.27 s3
Reduction more than 50% of BZs - n (%)								35(70)			50	0.020	s13	
Failed reduction - n (%)								16(66.7)			24	0.30	s13	
Failed reduction - n (%)								1(50)			2	1.00	s13	
More alert after withdrawal program?														
Drug-free - n (%)								44(57.9)			76	0.21	s13	0.14 0.03 s3
Reduction more than 50% of BZs - n (%)								33(66)			50	0.10	s13	
Failed reduction - n (%)								10(41.7)			24	1.00	s13	
Failed reduction - n (%)								1(50)			2	1.00	s13	
more signals of sleepiness after withdrawal program?														
Drug-free - n (%)								42(55.3)			76	0.42	s13	0.000 0.97 s3
Reduction more than 50% of BZs - n (%)								36(72)			50	0.008	s13	
Failed reduction - n (%)								6(25)			24	0.045	s13	
Failed reduction - n (%)								0(0)			2	0.50	s13	
Dreams and Nightmares														
Feeling of remembering more dreams after taper-program														
Drug-free								3.7±1.7			104	0.000	0.06	0.21 s4
Reduction more than 50% of BZs								4±1.7			60	0.000		
Failed reduction								3.4±1.5			32	0.000		
Failed reduction								3.2±1.8			12	0.000		
Dream recall frequency														
Drug-free	2.3±2.1	3.8±1.9	1.51±2.32	98	0.000	s11	0.18 0.36 s5	2.3±2.1	3.8±2	1.49±2.5	104	0.000	0.52	0.13
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	1.9±1.9	3.9±1.9	1.95±2.24	55	0.000	s11		2.2±2.1	3.9±2.1	1.72±2.68	60	0.000		
Failed reduction	2.8±2.2	3.8±1.9	1.03±2.47	36	0.038	s11		2.4±1.9	3.5±1.8	1.09±2.32	32	0.024		
Failed reduction	2.9±2.7	3.4±2.7	0.57±1.51	7	0.13	s13		2.8±2.4	4.2±2.1	1.42±2.02	12	0.033		
Dream recall accuracy														
Drug-free	2.2±2	3.6±1.7	1.41±2.09	98	0.000	0.16	0.25	2±1.9	3.3±1.7	1.38±2.17	104	0.000	0.25	0.32 s2
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	2±2	3.8±1.6	1.76±2.13	55	0.000			1.9±2	3.5±1.7	1.67±2.27	60	0.000		
Failed reduction	2.5±1.9	3.5±1.7	0.97±2.01	36	0.013			2.2±1.8	3.2±1.6	1.03±2.15	32	0.021		
Failed reduction	2.1±2.3	3±2.2	0.86±1.86	7	0.27			1.8±1.6	2.8±1.9	0.92±1.51	12	0.06		
Number of nights with one or more nightmares per month														
Drug-free	17.1±51.3	13.9±43.2	-3.2±34.8	98	0.85	* 0.60	0.99	22.1±58.2	9.4±25.7	-12.7±51.5	104	0.92	* 0.11 0.14	
Reduction more than 50% of BZs	17.6±53.5	12.7±29.9	-4.9±39.9	55	1.00			24.2±64.6	9.7±30.2	-14.5±52.8	60	0.63		
Failed reduction	18.4±53.3	17.5±61.3	-0.9±29.4	36	1.00			20.8±56.1	5.9±10.7	-14.9±56.4	32	0.97		
Failed reduction	7.1±9.2	4.4±5.8	-2.7±9.6	7	1.00			14.8±20.9	17.3±29.5	2.6±25.1	12	0.40		
Number of nightmares per														